CONSOLIDATED SUMMARY JUDGMENT EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT 8

	Page 452
1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	x
4	
	PAUL IACOVACCI,
5	
	Plaintiff,
6	
	-against- Case No.
7	1:18-cv-08048
8	BREVET HOLDINGS, LLC, et al.,
9	Defendants.
10	x
11	
12	November 1, 2021
	10:04 a.m.
13	
L 4	
15	CONTINUED VIRTUAL DEPOSITION of the
16	Defendant/30(b)(6) witness, DOUGLAS
17	MONTICCIOLO, taken pursuant to Stipulation,
18	held before Fran Insley, a Notary Public of the
19	States of New York and New Jersey.
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	
25	

	Page 453
1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	CYRULNIK FATTARUSO LLP
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff
5	55 Broadway, 3rd floor
	New York, New York 10006
6	
	BY: JASON CYRULNIK, ESQ.
7	PAUL FATTARUSO, ESQ.
	IAN DUMAIN, ESQ.
8	
9	
10	REED SMITH LLP
11	Attorneys for Defendants
12	599 Lexington Avenue, 22nd floor
	New York, New York 10022
13	
	BY: LOUIS SOLOMON, ESQ.
14	COLIN UNDERWOOD, ESQ.
	ADINA LEVINE, ESQ.
15	SCOTT WEISS, ESQ.
	MONICA YANG, ESQ.
16	
17	ALSO PRESENT:
18	MARCELO RIVERA, Videographer
19	MEI-LI DA SILVA VINT
20	DAVID SPINLEY, Brevet Capital
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 454
4	1 age 131
1	
2	I N D E X
3	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE
4	DOUGLAS MONTICCIOLO MR. CYRULNIK 464
5	
6	E X H I B I T S
7	MONTICCIOLO DESCRIPTION PAGE
8	Exhibit 11 9/25/18 Affidavit 544
9	Exhibit 12 1/2018 Affidavit 544
10	Exhibit 13 Limited Liability Agreement 595
11	Exhibit 14 BREVET013260
12	Exhibit 15 BREVET205010 632
13	Exhibit 16 Page 8 of Exhibit 11 642
14	(EXHIBITS TO BE PRODUCED.)
15	PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS
16	Exhibit 10 30(b)(6) Notice 465
17	Exhibit 3 Termination Letter 590
18	xxxx
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 455
1	
2	STIPULATIONS
3	
4	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED
5	by and between the attorneys for the respective
6	parties herein, that filing, sealing and
7	certification, and the same are, hereby waived.
8	
9	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
10	that all objections except as to the form of
11	the question, shall be reserved to the time of
12	the trial.
13	
14	IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED
15	that the within deposition may be signed and
16	sworn to by an officer authorized to administer
17	an oath, with the same force and effect as if
18	signed and sworn to before the Court.
19	
20	xxxx
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Monticciolo

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are going on the record at 10:04 a.m. on November 1st, 2021.

This deposition is being taken remotely of Mr. Douglas Monticciolo in the matter of Iacovacci versus Brevet Holdings LLC.

My name is Marcelo Rivera from

Veritext Legal Solutions. I am the

videographer. The court reporter is Fran

Insley in association with Veritext Legal

Solutions. I'm am not related to any

party in this action nor am I financially
interested in the outcome.

Counsel and all present remotely will now state their appearance and affiliations for the record. If there are any objections to proceeding, please state them at the time of your appearance beginning with noticing attorney.

MR. CYRULNIK: This is Jason

Cyrulnik from Cyrulnik Fattaruso on behalf

of the plaintiff.

MR. SOLOMON: Lou Solomon for the

Page 457 1 Monticciolo 2 defendants and the witness. And just to 3 be clear, I think it is fine to start with page 452, but as I understand it, Jason, 4 5 we are in the 30(b)(6) section of this 6 deposition? 7 MR. CYRULNIK: That's right. 8 MR. SOLOMON: And with me by the way 9 in the room are Colin Underwood and Monica 10 Yang along with the witness. That's all. 11 MR. CYRULNIK: Okay. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court 13 reporter please swear in the witness. 14 DOUGLAS MONTICCIOLO, 15 the Witness herein, having first been duly 16 sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and 17 testified as follows: 18 MR. CYRULNIK: Before I start, Lou, 19 just for my benefit, I see there are two 20 corporate representatives listening in to 21 the deposition on behalf of the 22 defendants? 23 MR. SOLOMON: I don't know who is 24 listening in. If what you mean is Ms. da 25 Silva Vint, she is counsel for the

Page 458 1 Monticciolo 2 company. We've also identified her as 3 corporate representative for some of the other topics, but I don't understand what 4 5 you mean. 6 MR. CYRULNIK: I'm referring to the 7 fact that there are two Brevet 8 representatives. 9 MR. SOLOMON: David Spinley is with 10 Brevet also. 11 MR. CYRULNIK: My understanding is 12 that there was one corporate 13 representative who could attend 14 depositions. 15 I don't know if you had a different 16 understanding, but if you do, I'm happy to 17 sort that out during a break rather than 18 take time now. Do you have a view on 19 that, Lou? 20 MR. SOLOMON: Corporate 21 representatives? I'm not sure what you 22 are talking about. Ms. da Silva Vint --23 THE COURT REPORTER: You are talking 24 at the same time, sorry. 25 MR. CYRULNIK: What I'm referring to

Monticciolo

is, Lou, is that there are two representatives of the corporation, as I understand it, who are not being deposed right now who are listening in.

If I am misunderstanding that state of play, let me know, but I heard two people. I see two people on the list, David Spinley and Mei-Li da Silva Vint, who are both there presumably as representatives of the company attending the deposition.

MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Spinley is not going to be deposed. He is acting in the capacity as a paralegal and what I want to say about him: Ms. da Silva Vint is here as counsel and then when you have questions later on in the day, we are going to be starting her 30(b)(6) and she will then be the corporate representative. She is not attending this deposition as a corporate representative.

MR. CYRULNIK: I think you're misunderstanding me, Lou. There are two people that are attending from Brevet

Page 460 1 Monticciolo 2 apart from the witness. Am I wrong or 3 right? MR. SOLOMON: I'm not familiar with 4 5 the rule that precludes corporate 6 employees from attending this deposition. 7 MR. CYRULNIK: This is why I asked 8 you the question. Is it your 9 understanding that you are permitted to 10 have more than one representative of the company, of the corporation attending a 11 12 deposition that they are not being deposed 13 in? 14 I don't think they are MR. SOLOMON: 15 representatives of the company. One is 16 counsel for the company and one is a 17 paralegal. 18 MR. CYRULNIK: When you say they are 19 not representatives of the company, are 20 they simply here for the sport, to watch a 21 deposition? Why are they listening in to 22 a deposition if they are not here on 23 behalf of the company? 24 MR. SOLOMON: They are certainly 25 here on behalf of the company. You said

Monticciolo

that they were representatives of the company. As you would explain,
Mr. Monticciolo is here as a corporate representative. I don't want to get those confused, but I don't think there is anything wrong with them attending the deposition. If you do, then you should assert an objection and we can then figure out what we want to do. I don't think there is anything wrong with counsel attending deposition.

MR. CYRULNIK: Number one, I don't want to get caught up in semantics about whether they are here on behalf of the company or representing the company. I'm not quite sure what distinction you are drawing. Being here as a representative of the company, it has nothing to do with the fact that they are or are not designated to be testifying in response to a 30(b)(6) deposition notice if that is what you had in mind.

Again, notwithstanding all of that, it sounds to me like your position is that

Monticciolo

more than one, and it sounds like an unlimited number of representatives of the company, employees of the company, whatever term you want to use, are permitted to attend depositions in this matter. If that is your position, as I said, we are happy to take it under advisement and deal with it at a break if we have a contrary position.

It was my understanding and it's been the practice to date to my knowledge that one representative or employee of the company attended the deposition apart from the deponent themselves. So that's why I asked. So did I get your position correct?

MR. SOLOMON: No, no, you didn't get my position correct and I'm not going to make any big speeches about it.

You asked questions of parties
before in their representative capacity
and people have been attending those
depositions who have been and I heard no
objection from you. I am not aware of an

Monticciolo

objection that is a valid one, that counsel for the company can't attend a deposition and that a paralegal in-house at the company can't attend a deposition.

So if you have questions for this witness in this 30(b)(6) capacity, I think you should ask them. If you want to then raise an objection, you should then raise an objection.

MR. CYRULNIK: The irony of you saying you are not going to give speeches and then engaging in a 60 second speech that didn't address my question is not lost on anybody attending.

We will address this at the break if there is something to address, but it sounds like for the record, we have two people here that are not being deposed, apart from Mr. Monticciolo, who are not being deposed who are associated with Brevet apart from their outside counsel at Reed Smith and they're attending the deposition and to the extent there are any issues with that, we will raise it

Page 464 1 Monticciolo 2 separately with Mr. Solomon because, at 3 least as I understood his statement on the record, he believes that that is 4 5 consistent with the rules. EXAMINATION BY MR. CYRULNIK: 6 7 Q. Good morning, Mr. Monticciolo. 8 Α. Good morning. 9 Ο. The purpose of today's deposition as 10 you know is to continue the 30(b)(6) deposition 11 that we started with you on October 7, 2021. 12 Do you recall you have been 13 designated to testify on Topics 1 through 5 and 14 Topic 26 of the 30(b)(6) notice that we had 15 served on the company? 16 Α. Yes. 17 That 30(b)(6) notice for your 18 reference is going to be in your Exhibit Share. 19 We introduced it at the last deposition. 20 Exhibit Share folder that we will be using 21 today has a new date on it, so there will be 22 two Doug Monticciolo folders. One has the 23 11/1/2021 title. That is the one we will be 24 using and you will see in that folder is an 25 Exhibit 10 which was previously marked at your

Monticciolo

first deposition, which is the 30(b)(6) notice that I just referenced.

We don't need to go to it right now. I just wanted to orient you both to the Exhibit Share setup and to the fact that to the extent you or I deem it useful, that deposition notice, 30(b)(6) deposition notice, listing out the topics can be found in the folder that you should be using today. Okay?

MR. SOLOMON: I think we actually would like to take a look at it although if you're clear with your questions, what number you're up to or what number you are on I think that would be helpful, but we are not seeing anything. It just says -- it says it's empty. So I've ask -- got it.

MR. CYRULNIK: Are you telling me that your marked exhibits folder for deposition of Douglas Monticciolo 11/1/2021 is empty?

MR. SOLOMON: No, I'm saying that the screen said it was empty. I think we have the 30(b)(6) notice on the screen now

Page 466 1 Monticciolo 2 if you want us to look at that. I don't, Lou. 3 MR. CYRULNIK: deposing Doug. If you have a problem with 4 5 the logistics --6 MR. SOLOMON: That's why I asked it. 7 MR. CYRULNIK: But the problem has 8 been resolved. You are now seeing 9 Exhibit 10 in the marked exhibits folder 10 for today's deposition? 11 MR. SOLOMON: Yes. 12 MR. CYRULNIK: Okay, great. 13 Q. Mr. Monticciolo, did you do anything 14 to prepare for today's deposition apart from 15 what you did to prepare for the October 7, 16 30(b)(6) portion of the deposition? 17 Α. I don't recall exactly what I said 18 on the prior, but we met with counsel, reviewed 19 the topics with the relevant documents. Ι 20 spoke to people inside the firm to get some 21 additional information. 22 Q. So in between the October 7th date 23 and today's date of November 1st, you spoke to 24 people in the company and to outside counsel to 25 prepare for the 30(b)(6) portion of the

	Page 467
1	Monticciolo
2	deposition; is that correct?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Let's start with meetings with
5	counsel. How many times did you meet with
6	counsel to prepare for the deposition today?
7	A. Maybe twice.
8	Q. When did those meetings take place
9	to the best of your recollection?
10	A. Throughout the last week.
11	Q. So twice last week; is that right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. When you say with counsel, are you
L 4	referring to Mr. Solomon?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Were other members of your counsel
17	team present for either/or both of those
18	meetings?
19	A. Yes.
2 0	Q. And who would those have been?
21	A. Monica and Ellen.
22	Q. For both meetings it was you and
23	three the three Reed Smith attorneys that
2 4	you just identified?
25	A. To the best of my recollection.

Page 468 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. Anybody else attend any of -- a part 3 or all of any of those meetings? I don't recall if Mei-Li da Silva Α. 4 5 Vint was on those calls. So each of the meetings you 6 7 described were phone calls? 8 Α. Yes. 9 You don't recall whether Ms. da 10 Silva Vint was on either/or both of those 11 calls, correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Q. Anyone else that may have been on 14 those calls apart from yourself, the three Reed 15 Smith attorneys you identified and possibly Ms. 16 da Silva Vint? 17 Α. Not that I recall at this moment. 18 Q. Were those teleconference meetings 19 or video conference meetings? 20 I would describe them as attempted Α. 21 video conference usually turns to be 22 telephonic. 23 So you attempted to do video Ο. 24 conferences, but neither -- that didn't work 25 either time, so you resolved yourselves to have

Page 469 1 Monticciolo 2 teleconference meetings. Did I get that right? I can't recall if it was 3 Α. specifically both times or not, but it's always 4 5 problematic. 6 Did you look at documents or because 7 it was telephonic that was too difficult? I don't recall if we looked at 8 Α. 9 documents specifically on those calls, during 10 those calls. 11 Roughly how long did those meetings 0. 12 last? 13 Α. I would be guessing. 14 They both happened last week, right? 0. 15 Can you give me your best recollection? 16 I would again be guessing. They 17 weren't dramatic. 18 Q. Sorry? 19 They weren't significant in my Α. 20 recollection. 21 Let's go with the first one. When 22 was the first one? Do you know what day of the 23 week it was? 24 Α. I don't, sorry. 25 Do you know when the second one was? Q.

Page 470 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. More recent than that, but I don't 3 I have had a lot going on, so in a recall. brief touch base this weekend, Saturday and 4 5 Sunday if I think that through. 6 The brief touch base that you 7 described is separate and apart from the two 8 conferences you previously testified to or is 9 that one of the two? 10 No, it's one of the two. Α. 11 Cumulatively would you say you spent 0. 12 more or less than five hours preparing for this 13 deposition over the course of the last week and 14 by week I mean including dating back to last 15 week? 16 I don't keep a general amount of 17 hours I spent on this. 18 Q. So you don't know? You have no way 19 of telling me whether it was more or less than 20 five hours? 21 I have a very busy schedule. Α. 22 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. 23 Could you speak louder? I'm having 24 trouble hearing you.

Me too.

MR. CYRULNIK:

Page 471 1 Monticciolo 2 (Brief discussion held off the 3 record.) I have a busy schedule and I wasn't 4 Α. 5 recording hours, but I spent a reasonable 6 amount of time preparing. 7 So you don't know whether it was Q. 8 more or less than five hours, is that right, 9 cumulatively? 10 Α. Right. 11 How about ten hours? Do you know 0. 12 whether it was more or less than ten hours? 13 Α. I answered that question. 14 Q. Sorry? 15 Α. I answered that question already. 16 How could you have answered that 0. 17 I just asked it. question? 18 Α. Again, I don't. I wasn't keeping 19 track of the hours. I spent a reasonable 20 amount of time getting comfortable with the 21 materials. 22 0. How about 20 hours, Mr. Monticciolo? 23 Do you know whether it was more or less than 20 24 hours that you spent over the course of the 25 last week preparing for the 30(b)(6)

	Page 472
1	Monticciolo
2	deposition?
3	A. The same answer.
4	Q. How about 50 hours?
5	MR. SOLOMON: The question keeps
6	being more or less than 50 you are going
7	to get the same answer.
8	A. Same answer.
9	Q. How about 100 hours,
10	Mr. Monticciolo, do you know whether you spent
11	more or less than 100 hours over the course of
12	the last week preparing for this deposition?
13	A. Same answer.
14	Q. How about 168 hours,
15	Mr. Monticciolo, do you know whether you spent
16	or more less than 168 hours over the course of
17	the last week preparing for this deposition?
18	A. The same answer.
19	Q. You understand that you are under
20	oath today?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And that you have to answer my
23	questions truthfully?
2 4	A. Yes.
25	Q. You understand that you are

Page 473 1 Monticciolo 2 testifying today as a corporate representative on behalf of Brevet? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 Do you need to change anything about 6 the testimony that you gave at the last 7 deposition on October 7th? 8 Α. No, not as I sit here. Did you have any discussions about 9 Ο. 10 the substance of your testimony since your last 11 deposition? 12 Α. Nothing that I can recall in depth 13 or time. 14 Sorry, I didn't hear the answer. 0. 15 Can you try that again? I am getting a very 16 fuzzy, I don't know if anyone else is hearing a 17 very fuzzy sound when you speak in the background, but it's hard to hear. I'll do my 18 19 best if that's the best you could do. 20 Sure. No, not of any depth or time Α. 21 on it. 22 When you say not of any depth, did Q. 23 you have any discussions at all about the 24 substance of your testimony since your last 25 deposition?

	Page 474
1	Monticciolo
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Were those with anyone other than
4	counsel?
5	A. No.
6	Q. You did have discussions about the
7	substance of your testimony with your counsel
8	from Reed Smith; is that right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Did you review your deposition
11	transcript?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Are you aware of any reason why you
14	would be unable to provide competent testimony
15	today?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Let's start with Topic 26. Who was
18	responsible for the decision to terminate
19	Mr. Iacovacci from Brevet?
20	MR. SOLOMON: Jason, I'm going to
21	pull up 26 or can we show the witness the
22	topic or can you read it to him?
23	MR. CYRULNIK: I mean if you need
24	the topic for some reason, you will let me
25	know, but right now I'm just asking

Page 475 1 Monticciolo 2 questions. I was orienting you primarily, 3 Lou, but you can strike the reference to the topic and just focus on the question, 4 5 Mr. Monticciolo. 6 Who was responsible for the decision 7 to terminate Mr. Iacovacci from Brevet? 8 Α. Brevet was. 9 Ο. When you say Brevet was, are you 10 referring to anybody in particular or are you 11 referring to the corporate entity? 12 Α. I'm referring to the corporate 13 entity. 14 Well, how does the corporate entity 0. 15 make decisions? 16 We have policies and procedures that 17 we follow. 18 Who follows those policies and Q. 19 procedures to make the decisions? 20 So in the fall scenario, it was a Α. 21 long process. As I recall in May, Mark had 22 identified in his periodic e-mail reviews that 23 there was some suspicious activity, 24 recommendations were made that we would pursue 25 it further, which in my capacity as CEO I

Monticciolo

agreed with. I pursued that with outside counsel.

During that time we continued to negotiate in good faith, and I would say there was a turning point some time in -- I remember in August or so, the end of the summer where the negotiations had returned to being a discussion around noncompete.

Our firm really looks to determine that that was the objective as a permanent exiting from the workforce. That's why we listed it with death and permanent disability. So there was a bit of a heightened awareness of what was really going on and I believe in -- I think in early October there was a recommendation made by Mark, the outside counsel, in support of folks like the IT, HR compliance to recommend the termination of Paul for what I believe was termed egregious corporate policies and procedures.

This was a little bit of a surprise to us because things had been going on a course and you know, while that process was proceeding and we felt pretty comfortable enough to this

1 Monticciolo

2 point that our trade secrets and be protected.

We have clear policies and procedures about

4 that.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If there was a termination, that

Paul would abide by those policies and

procedures, protect all the materials and all

the various sort of manuals that legislate how

we do that and that recommendation was made to

terminate him and I agreed with it.

I recall and I know that the letter was signed by Mark Callahan for Paul's termination.

Q. Let me try to break up some pieces of your answer. I know you a couple of times referenced decisions being made or recommendations being made in the past, so I want to better understand who made the actual recommendation, not that it was made.

Let's start with the May review. I believe you said you -- that there were periodic reviews including one that was in May. Can you describe to me what you mean by periodic reviews of Mr. Iacovacci's e-mails?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the form.

Page 478 1 Monticciolo 2 There is no foundation. 3 Yes, I would say that is a question Α. that is for Mark Callahan and the people who do 4 5 those reviews. 6 Ο. Well, you testified that there were 7 periodic reviews. Is that -- are you recanting 8 that testimony? 9 Α. No. I'm saying they presented it to 10 me as part of their periodic reviews. 11 When you say as part of their 12 periodic reviews, were you aware that they --13 Mr. Callahan was conducting periodic reviews of 14 Mr. Iacovacci's e-mail prior to May of 2016? 15 I am aware that he was doing what he Α. 16 had to do in his job to be able to properly 17 follow the policies and procedures --18 I appreciate that --Q. 19 -- under the advice that it included Α. 20 periodic reviews of the e-mails. 21 I appreciate that. And this 22 deposition is going to go a lot longer if we 23 can't stick the questions I am asking. I don't 24 want to know whether you would be surprised. I

don't want to know whether you were aware of

Page 479 1 Monticciolo 2 something else. I just want to know whether or 3 not prior to May of 2016 you were aware that Mr. Callahan was conducting periodic reviews of 4 5 Mr. Iacovacci's e-mail? 6 MR. SOLOMON: I object to the 7 question. It's beyond the 30(b)(6) 8 statement as well. 9 Ο. Go ahead, Mr. Monticciolo. 10 Again, my response is I don't know Α. 11 if -- you know, it is just not Paul Iacovacci's 12 e-mails that are reviewed. It is one of the 13 ways that I understand our compliance efforts 14 are done to review e-mails and correspondence 15 in accordance under our regulations with the 16 SEC. 17 Let me ask the question again. Were 18 you aware, prior to May 2016, that Mr. Callahan 19 was engaging in periodic reviews of 20 Mr. Iacovacci's e-mail? 21 MR. SOLOMON: Same objection as 22 before. 23 I cannot say that I was aware Α. 24 specifically of Paul Iacovacci's. As part of our role it is to review all correspondence and 25

Page 480 1 Monticciolo 2 all e-mails periodically. 3 Okay. I believe you said, so you --Ο. 4 withdrawn. 5 You were aware that Mr. Callahan was 6 engaging in periodic reviews of employees' 7 e-mails even if you didn't know which specific 8 employees were included in that prior to May of 9 2016; is that right? 10 MR. SOLOMON: I object to the 11 question as beyond the scope of the 12 30(b)(6) statement. 13 Α. The answer is we are required to 14 review correspondence periodically, including 15 e-mails and other forms having produced and our 16 manuals and our policies that outlined those 17 quidelines and what we do there. 18 So you were aware, prior to Q. 19 May 2016, that Mr. Callahan was engaging in 20 periodic reviews of employees' e-mails; yes or 21 no? MR. SOLOMON: Same objection. 22 23 As part of his job I would agree, Α. 24 yes, that he was doing that, amongst other 25 things.

Page 481 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. I know you would agree he was doing 3 that. I am asking whether you are aware of that prior to May of 2016; yes or no? 4 5 MR. SOLOMON: Same objection. 6 Α. Yes, I was aware that was part of 7 the role of maintaining a compliance with the 8 regulators. 9 Ο. Was Mr. Callahan a member of the 10 compliance team? 11 He was one of the senior executives 12 of the firm, partner. We all have a 13 responsibility including wearing multiple hats 14 at times. 15 Q. Once again was Mr. Callahan part of 16 the compliance team? 17 The compliance team would involve Α. 18 the specific people as well as the senior 19 management and the partners of the firm. Those 20 are responsible to be a registered advisor. 21 So, yes, Mr. Callahan also worked as 22 part of the compliance team in addition to his 23 other roles? 24 That is not what I said. I said we Α.

all have the responsibility. How we pursue it,

Page 482 1 Monticciolo 2 if he feels that is to be part and to assist 3 with compliance, then yes. Do you know whether Mr. Callahan was 4 Q. 5 asked by compliance to be engaging in the 6 periodic reviews of employees' e-mails that you 7 referenced a few moments ago? 8 MR. SOLOMON: I object. It goes 9 beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice. 10 Α. I do not know if he was asked nor do 11 I believe he would need to be. 12 Why do you not believe he would need Q. 13 to be? 14 Again, we are all part of a 15 registered investment advisor and responsible 16 parties and that was part of the duty. 17 How periodic were the reviews that 0. 18 you were referring to? 19 Again, I don't know. I'm not the Α. 20 one who does them. 21 Do you know whether -- is it your 22 view that periodic reviews are required by the 23 relevant regulations, that is periodic reviews 24 of employees' e-mails? 25 I'm going to continue MR. SOLOMON:

Page 483 1 Monticciolo 2 to object as being beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice. 3 It's a requirement to sufficiently 4 Α. 5 be aware and monitor. I'm not going to tell 6 you if I believe that that is periodic or not. 7 It could be more frequent than what could be 8 periodic. It could be less sufficient in the 9 eyes of the manager. 10 So, you can't tell me how often the 11 periodic reviews that you referenced took 12 place? 13 Α. Correct. That is not my job in the 14 We have people, obviously policy and company. 15 procedures and responsibilities who would 16 determine what is sufficient. 17 Who can tell me how often the 0. 18 periodic reviews that you referenced of 19 employees' e-mails took place? 20 MR. SOLOMON: Objection. This goes 21 beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice. 22 Α. Mei-Li da Silva Vint. 23 0. Anyone else? 24 Α. I'm sure there have been many people 25 over the history of the firm. I don't have

Monticciolo

their names off the top of my head that have that responsibility.

- Q. Did you look into that issue to prepare for this 30(b)(6) deposition?
- A. I did not. It was not part of one of the questions.
- Q. Mr. Monticciolo, is it your testimony that Mark Callahan was not specifically looking through Paul Iacovacci's e-mails in May 2016, but that instead it was part of just a general periodic review that was taking place irrespective of Mr. Iacovacci's announced intentions with respect to leaving the company?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question because it goes beyond the scope and I object to this form. Go ahead.

- A. I did not say that and I do not know if he was looking at others as part of that review.
- Q. Well, if you didn't say that, then I totally misunderstood your prior testimony, so you'll forgive me for going back to it, but you referenced in your answer to my first question

Page 485 1 Monticciolo 2 or one of my earliest questions today to the 3 fact that a recommendation was made based on Mr. Callahan's periodic reviews of 4 Mr. Iacovacci's e-mails in May of 2016. Do you 5 6 generally recall that testimony? 7 MR. SOLOMON: I think that misstates his testimony. I object to the form of 8 9 the question. 10 MR. CYRULNIK: Lou, I asked him 11 whether he recalls it. He can answer it 12 for himself. You don't need to try to 13 answer every question for him. 14 Go ahead, Mr. Monticciolo. 0. 15 MR. SOLOMON: You shouldn't misstate 16 his testimony. By the way, you shouldn't 17 be repeating testimony anyway, okay? 18 That's not your job. 19 Thanks for the MR. CYRULNIK: 20 advice. Lou, I am going to ask you to 21 please try and keep yourself in check. 22 MR. SOLOMON: Okay and I am going to 23 ask you to please keep your tone in check 24 in terms of asking me to keep myself in 25 check. These are proper objections.

Page 486 1 Monticciolo 2 continue and I will continue to make them. MR. CYRULNIK: 3 I have no doubt. Mr. Monticciolo? 4 Ο. 5 Α. So, again I believe if my testimony 6 was understood to be that it was -- Mark was 7 doing it specifically for Mr. Iacovacci, I do 8 not know that it was specifically for 9 Mr. Iacovacci. I know that it was -- it seemed 10 to me at least, it was part of Brevet's 11 periodic reviews of e-mails produced by Mr. 12 Callahan. 13 Q. Who told you that it was part of a 14 periodic review of e-mails as opposed to a 15 specific review undertaken for the purpose of 16 analyzing or assessing Mr. Iacovacci's role 17 with the company and work with the company? I think it was Mr. Callahan. 18 Α. 19 So you do know that Mr. Callahan 0. 20 represented the May 2016 review of 21 Mr. Iacovacci's e-mails to be part of a 22 periodic review, but you don't know in your 23 corporate representative capacity whether that 24 is accurate or whether a specific review was

indeed undertaken with respect to

	Page 487
1	Monticciolo
2	Mr. Iacovacci? Did I understand the
3	distinction you are drawing between what you do
4	know and what you don't know?
5	MR. SOLOMON: I object to the
6	question. It misstates the testimony.
7	A. To be clear, I don't know if it was
8	part of a broad period e-mail review.
9	Q. But you do know that Mr. Callahan
10	told you that it was, right?
11	A. I do know that he said during his
12	periodic review of e-mails he came across these
13	e-mails with Paul.
14	Q. And that was in May of 2016, right?
15	A. I believe that's correct.
16	Q. How did he describe the suspicious
17	e-mails that he came across during that review?
18	A. I don't recall the exact words, but
19	concern and suspicious.
20	Q. Did you ask him what he meant?
21	A. I probably did. I recall I did.
22	Q. What did he tell you?
23	A. It is concerning.
2 4	Q. Well, I appreciate that he told you
25	it's concerning and they are suspicious, but

Page 488 1 Monticciolo 2 did you ask him to explain what he was talking 3 about? Α. Yes. 4 5 What did he tell you? 6 Α. It appeared that a large number of 7 our trade secrets and critical confidential 8 information appeared to be being sent outside 9 of Brevet, which is very concerning. 10 When you say appear to be sent 11 outside of Brevet, are you referring to the 12 fact that these e-mails or other materials were 13 being sent to Mr. Iacovacci at a non-Brevet 14 e-mail address or are you referring to 15 something else? 16 I think our policies are clear on what you are allowed to do with the documents. 17 18 Anything outside of Brevet is a violation of 19 numerous of our policies without written prior 20 So yes, that would include his approval. 21 personal e-mail and any other places that he 22 may have put them outside of Brevet. 23 0. I appreciate that and once again, 24 I'm happy to go as long as you want to go, but

if you want this deposition to be a little bit

Monticciolo

more concise, it's going to be useful to focus on the question that I'm asking and so with respect to the last question I had asked, I heard a partial answer included in the lengthy answer that you gave, but I want to make sure that I'm understanding.

When you say that you came to learn that some of your confidential materials or trade secrets or whatever, however you refer to them, were being sent quote "outside of Brevet," are you referring to the fact that they were being sent to Mr. Iacovacci or being kept by Mr. Iacovacci outside of his Brevet e-mail and related accounts or machines or are you referring to the fact that they were being sent outside of Brevet to somebody other than Mr. Iacovacci? I'm talking about May 2016 in particular.

- A. I think it was both, but definitely to his personal e-mail.
- Q. Setting aside his personal e-mail, what did you learn in May 2016 was being done with Brevet confidential material?
 - A. I believe the company produced

Monticciolo

- numerous documents on this topic and

 Mr. Callahan enumerated extensively a list of
 those e-mails. He enumerated a small subset.
- Q. I'm sorry, I didn't know you were done. You said he enumerated extensively. You're referring to what he did when he discussed this with you in May of 2016 or are you referring to something else?
- A. I'm referring to one of his affidavits.
- Q. Which affidavit are you referring to, Mr. Monticciolo?
- A. I would have to go and check which one, but there was one in September of 2018 and there was another one in January of 2018.

There is a numeration of what I understand to be a very small subset of the thousands of e-mails that are on this topic, but I believe there are maybe several hundred there.

Q. It's your testimony that the list of a subset of -- a small subset of thousands of e-mails that you discovered were being sent out outside of Brevet, that is a list that was

Monticciolo

discovered in May of 2016; is that right?

- A. As I recall, yes.
- Q. Sitting here today as the corporate representative of Brevet, do you know one way or the other whether that list contained any e-mails that were sent outside of Brevet not to Mr. Iacovacci?
 - A. I recall that it did.
- Q. Can you identify for me which e-mails were sent -- containing alleged Brevet proprietary information were sent outside of Brevet, not to Mr. Iacovacci, as you came to learn in May of 2016?
- A. Again, when I believe we produced these materials and you have Mark's affidavits. If you want to go through it or you haven't read them, we can do that.
- Q. Well, I'm asking you a very specific question. So if you need the affidavits I'm happy to show them to you. We will get them uploaded and my colleague will tell me when they are there and we can go back to that question.
 - A. Perfect.

Monticciolo

- Q. Why didn't you fire him then and there, May 2016, Mr. Monticciolo?
- A. As we have policies and procedures, we will investigate things to make sure that we are making proper decisions. We were negotiating his departure. We thought it was in good faith at that point in time.

We have great protections, trade secrets, confidential information that are returned or destroyed by anybody who leaves Brevet. Our expectation was that this would never leave the firm and were unsure as to whether or not that was really a breach at that point in time.

Q. I didn't follow the answer. Let me start with the end.

Why were you unsure whether or not Mr. Iacovacci sending e-mails outside of Brevet to others and to himself was a breach of his obligations to the company in May 2016?

A. As I said, we have policies and procedures. We don't knee jerk things. There is a process. The process is properly followed. We want to make sound decisions

Monticciolo

particularly with a partner who is quite surprising if that was true. We weren't going to knee jerk.

- Q. Mr. Monticciolo, would you characterize sending Brevet trade secrets and confidential information outside of Brevet as an egregious breach of a partner's obligations to the company?
 - A. If it were true, yes.
- Q. You had learned in May of 2016 that Mr. Iacovacci had done just that, right, that he had sent Brevet trade secrets and confidential information outside of Brevet, right?
- A. Again, we have a process to ensure that we do things properly. We double-check. We bring in independent parties. We take, you know, something of this seriousness very seriously. We don't knee jerk.
- Q. I appreciate that and if the plan here is to reference policies and procedures as much as possible without putting any meat on the bone, this is going to be a very long day.

 My question to you is very straightforward.

Monticciolo

You had seen or Mr. Callahan had reported to you directly that thousands and thousands of breaches had occurred whereby Mr. Iacovacci was sending Brevet confidential materials and trade secrets outside of Brevet.

What else did you need to know in order to figure out whether you should be terminating him?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question.

A. May 2016.

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question. It misstates the testimony.

A. Again, I think something this important we always follow a process of making sure that we will investigate to make sure that it isn't just, you know, coincidence, particularly with something like termination and particularly with Paul as the partner should have known and did know, was involved in all these policies and procedures. Spent his entire career knowing the rules.

It was initially shocking that he would break rules that he knew his entire

Monticciolo

career to be critical. So, of course, we would take a double look and make sure we were right.

First, it was surprising and shocking and second, it had to be confirmed.

It is the right process. It's best practices is what we do.

Q. Mr. Monticciolo, what did you need to confirm? You see not one, not ten, not a hundred, but thousands of instances with your own eyes or with Mr. Callahan's eyes of Mr. Iacovacci taking Brevet confidential trade secrets and materials and sending them outside of Brevet.

Did you have one scintilla of a doubt that the information that Mr. Callahan was reporting to you was accurate?

MR. SOLOMON: Object to the question. It misstates the testimony.

A. It's not what I said. I didn't say anything about accuracy. It's proper process. It was shocking. It's important. It's a partner. But I had to make sure. The firm always needs to make sure it's right about such a material decision and that is the right thing

Page 496 1 Monticciolo 2 to do, was to just make sure that they were 3 right. What was the firm unsure about in 4 Q. 5 May 2016 after Mr. Callahan had reported observing thousands of instances of breaches of 6 7 Brevet's trade secret and confidential 8 information policies? 9 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 10 question. Misstating the testimony. 11 I'm sure that Paul would have been 12 that disregarding of everything that he has 13 lived under to have actually done that as 14 egregiously as it appeared. It had to be 15 confirmed. 16 I'm not following. What were you 17 unsure about? I get that you were surprised. 18 What were you unsure about? 19 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 20 question. 21 Just to make sure that what we were 22 missing was accurate, that someone 23 independently looked over our shoulder and said 24 could a partner really do this? Shocking that 25 this was the case. We didn't want to jump to

Monticciolo

any conclusions. We wanted to make sure we were right.

- Q. For example, Mr. Monticciolo, were you unsure whether or not Mr. Iacovacci was sending these e-mails to his own personal address for reasons like he needed to print those things at home? Is that one thing you wanted to double-check and make sure that wasn't what was going on?
 - A. No, that was not one of the reasons.
- Q. Sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off.

 Go ahead.
- A. Well, no, because company policy is very clear that that is not what you do and without prior written approval, of course, and obviously, you know, I can see if there is a panic once or twice, but we had to understand what was going on here and make sure we had a full assessment of it. This is a material regulatory violation.

How do we know that Paul wasn't using the information for insider trading? How do we know that Paul wasn't using material nonconfidential information of private

Monticciolo

companies to his advantage. These are very serious issues that needed to be looked at beyond just our internal people. We need to make sure that it couldn't actually be true that Paul was doing this. It was quite a surprise.

It's a very uncommon thing that people in these positions know better and Paul knew better and it was surprising to us. We wanted to make sure, confirm it separately.

Q. I guess the trouble I'm having,
Mr. Monticciolo, is understanding how it is you
are jumping from we were so surprised, what a
terrible thing, I couldn't believe it, I
couldn't believe my eyes, in all of that piece
of your answer, the entire piece of your answer
and how that translates into we needed time to
confirm that this was happening.

Do you appreciate the difference between being surprised about something and being unsure about what actually transpired?

A. I think you're having a very linear single point of view of this that it was just dealing with materials. What happens if he was

Monticciolo

doing criminal activities, insider trading, theft of private company information? We need to be sure. We only -- I'm pretty sure Mark only looked at a small subset. It needed to be reviewed. This could have been a major regulatory problem. We had no idea what Paul may have been doing.

Q. I appreciate that you may have wanted to look or may have felt the need to look more into this in terms of your own regulatory compliance issues. That's not my question though.

My question is, why did you not terminate Mr. Iacovacci in May after you had learned that he had sent thousands of e-mails containing Brevet proprietary information or trade secrets outside of Brevet?

MR. SOLOMON: Object to the question. It misstates the testimony and it also was asked and answered.

- Q. Mr. Monticciolo, let me just break in with my own question. Did I just misstate your testimony?
 - A. I don't believe that was exactly

Monticciolo

what I said correct.

Q. What did I misstate? I didn't purport to quote your testimony. I tried to summarize it as best I could, but what did I misstate about your testimony in my last question from your view, if anything?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question.

A. I think you characterized that we viewed thousands of e-mails at that point. I think the affidavits are much more clear on that, but the fact that it was conclusive is not the point. I said it was concerning and potentially egregious. That needs to be confirmed. This is no small matter that anybody would be making a knee jerk decision on. He was a partner. We had trust in him. We knew his experience. He had been on the street as long as me, if not longer.

We all know the rules. We all know you don't do this anywhere, any time, any reason and yet it appeared that maybe there was the complete, you know, neglect for that. So it needed to be looked into and we thought it

Monticciolo

was significant enough that it be pursued outside of Brevet to make sure we have a clear view on it. Obviously we knew it was going to be quite a bit of work. It had to be done.

Q. You said that maybe -- it appeared that maybe he had done the exact opposite. I'm not following why this was a question for you. It sounds like you saw these e-mails either with your own eyes or Mr. Callahan saw them with his.

What else did you need to know in order to feel that Mr. Iacovacci needed to be terminated from Brevet?

- A. As I said before, we do not knee jerk. We would not knee jerk with something this material. It is best practice. It is -- our approach on this that if Mr. Callahan -- if Mr. Iacovacci was doing this, we wanted to confirm it definitively and we wanted to make sure that we weren't going to have go to the regulators with something more egregious like insider trading or theft of private company information.
 - Q. Well, you can confirm all those

Monticciolo

2 things after you terminated him, right?

- A. That would not be good business practice.
 - Q. Why not?

- A. Because it's always best to know where we stand definitively and we are not going to knee jerk with a partner. This is a partner of the firm. You wouldn't do that with your law partners, would you?
- Q. Well, Mr. Monticciolo, did you need to understand why Mr. Iacovacci was sending these materials to his personal e-mail address in order to determine whether or not you should terminate him?
- A. Amongst the many things we were to determine as I have stated previously, yes.
- Q. So you didn't feel comfortable terminating him simply because he had forwarded these e-mails to his personal e-mail address. You wanted to know what the purpose of forwarding these e-mails was before you made a determination as to whether or not that purpose gave rise to grounds for termination; is that fair?

Monticciolo

A. That is not fair. That is not what I said. I said why and what I mean by why on that is why so many, why this process, why violate the basic rules. Not why was there a business purpose or that I wasn't clear that there was a business purpose. Just why so many? Why were they, you know, in this scope? Were they limited to just our trade secrets? Were there, like as I said, nonpublic information in there?

- Q. What reason could you possibly have discovered for it that would have been sufficient for you to determine that the fact that he had e-mailed all these documents to himself was not sufficient grounds for termination?
- A. Again, as I said, at that stage we were looking to understand the scope and magnitude and why terminate him? We were trying to determine which of the many violations and the magnitude of those violations if they were worse than just trade secrets which could be criminal stuff and insider trading and that is I believe our duty

Monticciolo

and best practices.

- Q. Well, they didn't need to be criminal violations in order for you to terminate him, right?
- A. I believe it is the best practice and our practice to make sure that we are confident and that it is checked with something of this magnitude that it be true and accurate, that it be properly assessed by preferably somebody independent who, you know, can look at it and say yeah, I see the same thing or assess it. The lawyer has probably better assessment capabilities than just employees do.
- Q. You need a lawyer to confirm that Mr. Iacovacci sending e-mails to himself was, in fact, Mr. Iacovacci sending e-mails outside of Brevet?
- A. No, that's not what I said. I said we needed lawyers to confirm the magnitude, the depth, the complexity, the implications, the clarity of the theft and/or the regulatory violations.
- Q. Let's start with the clarity of the theft. I mean was there anything about what

Monticciolo

Mr. Callahan had reported to you in May of 2016 that was unclear with respect to whether trade secrets had been stolen by Mr. Iacovacci?

- A. You know, you are trying to ask the fine line between someone stealing the formula for a Coke and someone stealing kind of how the process of making Coke is done. I don't know which of those Mark identified in his first review. There was a precursory review. I did want to know did he steal the Coke formula or just how we make Coke.
- Q. The reason you wanted to know that is because if he just stole how we make Coke, you might have kept him around; is that right?
- A. No, he still violated our policies and procedures for distributing our trade secrets outside of Brevet and our policies are very clear on how you do this. They are followed throughout the firm.
- Q. So if he just violated your policies, that alone was sufficient grounds for you to say we are not going to keep this partner around; is that right? Is that your testimony?

1 Monticciolo

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOLOMON: Object to the misstatement of his testimony.

What I said was, you asked me Α. explicitly would that be grounds for it. could be grounds for it. Obviously we would have to debate it and the team would make a recommendation, but if it was the Coke formula, that is the essence of Brevet, it's the essence of Coca-Cola. Some may say we protect it like the what is it, the true static equation, you know inside of a safe, inside of a vault, inside of a volcano, that is a good analogy of what Brevet does because if you take our secret and how we do what we do, you take the full value of Brevet, today and tomorrow, and that we need to know, was it full damage of the firm? Was all of our differentiations, secrets suddenly being taken outside the firm? Was it a portion? Was it -- how bad was it? These are material items.

That's why we have the safe in the vault in the volcano. That is why we do it because that's our business.

Q. Mr. Monticciolo, yes or no, did you

Monticciolo

need to know how close to Brevet's secret formula the materials that Mr. Iacovacci had sent himself were in order to determine whether or not he would be terminated from the company?

- A. Again, I can't answer that without being that is a very specific facts and circumstances and threshold question. You know we would have to look at whether it rose to a point where that would be the only course. It seems very hypothetical.
- Q. Well, what were the other courses that you might have pursued if you found out that he had stolen things that were less than the secret formula?
- A. I would have to consult with my team and lawyers on what the possibilities are to the protection of our trade secrets.
- Q. I'm not following. I'm trying to understand what information you lacked in May of 2016 that would have caused you to delay a decision as to whether or not you could terminate Mr. Iacovacci from his position at Brevet?

MR. SOLOMON: Asked and answered.

Monticciolo

Asked and answered.

- A. And again yes, I answered that question. It is very clear, it is not best practice to knee jerk. It was shocking if a partner of the firm with the knowledge and experience of Paul could have so grossly and blatantly violated the most common basic premises of our business and the regulations that we were not going to knee jerk and just confirm and also determine for the protection of Brevet as to whether or not it actually rose to a much larger regulatory problem. It would be unwise to do anything but.
- Q. The question certainly has been asked. I can assure, at least as I understand it, it hasn't been answered. I appreciate the fact that you have certain things you want to say about why it is you waited. That's why I'm trying to formulate much more specific questions so that I can better understand what it is you are trying to say.

The question I asked you last time and I will ask you again --

MR. SOLOMON: I object.

Page 509 1 Monticciolo 2 MR. CYRULNIK: You object all you 3 want to, Lou. Please try and keep it in check. 4 5 MR. SOLOMON: Now I object to your 6 offensive statement made for the second 7 time today. 8 MR. CYRULNIK: Done? 9 Q. Mr. Monticciolo, I want to know 10 whether there was any information that you were 11 missing in May of 2016 that was necessary in 12 your view to determine whether or not Brevet 13 had the right to terminate Mr. Iacovacci? 14 I don't want to know what other 15 information you were interested in getting. Ι 16 don't want to know what you think best practices would be. I'm asking you about the 17 18 data, the information. 19 Was there any information that you 20 did not have in May of 2016 that precluded 21 Brevet from determining that it had the right 22 to terminate Mr. Iacovacci; yes or no? 23 MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Objection. 24 Asked and answered. 25 I'll repeat the information without Α.

Monticciolo

confirmation of something of this magnitude is not sufficient in our minds until we confirm it.

Q. So you didn't confirm. You needed to confirm what? May 2016 you know that he is sending all these e-mails to himself with these trade secrets, confidential information, but you needed to confirm what?

MR. SOLOMON: Object to the question. Misstated his testimony.

- A. We confirmed that that was true and accurate. Things happen, computer systems, maybe the search was done wrong. Maybe things weren't right. Something of this magnitude of a partner, you know, had to double-check everything.
- Q. So you weren't sure after

 Mr. Callahan reported to you the results of his review of Mr. Iacovacci's e-mail, you weren't sure whether or not the thousands of instances or hundreds of instances of e-mails

 Mr. Iacovacci sent with proprietary trade secret information had, in fact, been sent or whether there was some computer error that was

Monticciolo

showing you hundreds or thousands of instances of such e-mails being sent; is that right?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question. You've misstated his testimony and you now have misstated when he corrected you.

MR. CYRULNIK: Mr. Solomon, I would like to try and make this simple. I would like you to limit your objections to objection to form. Every time you say misstated testimony, number one, it underscores the fact that you don't know what it means to misstate testimony. I'm not stating testimony. I'm asking a question.

And number two, it interferes with this deposition. Number three, it prolongs this deposition. So please do us both a favor. I'm happy for you to get your objection to form on the record as many times as you want to, you'll preserve all your rights. Just say objection to form and please allow the witness to answer the question without interference.

Monticciolo

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question. I object to you misstating his testimony and I object to the fact that he has already corrected your misstatement and you should be listening to his answers too.

MR. CYRULNIK: Mr. Solomon, you are not permitted to coach a witness in the middle of a deposition telling him what he did state or did not state. I'm not purporting to characterize or state his testimony.

I am asking the witness questions.

You know it. You're an experienced

lawyer. Please stop interfering with this

deposition and coaching the witness.

MR. SOLOMON: I think you're being abusive, so I'm going to put my objections on the record.

MR. CYRULNIK: Put your objections on the record and stop talking. It's objection to form and then the witness can answer the question if he understands it.

Q. Mr. Monticciolo, do you understand

Page 513 1 Monticciolo 2 the question I asked? 3 Α. Can you repeat it? I want to know whether or not you 4 Q. 5 are telling us that you had some doubt in May of 2016 as to whether or not the hundreds or 6 7 thousands of instances that you saw 8 Mr. Iacovacci sending e-mails outside of Brevet 9 were potentially bad search results or 10 misinformation? 11 MR. SOLOMON: I think you're 12 misstating testimony to the time. 13 going to object on that basis. 14 Lou, you have to stop MR. CYRULNIK: 15 coaching the witness. This is totally 16 impermissible. 17 MR. SOLOMON: You are not entitled 18 to misstate his testimony like you are. 19 MR. CYRULNIK: Mr. Solomon, I'm 20 entitled to ask the witness whatever 21 question I want to ask. I'm not 22 purporting to characterize his testimony. 23 You know it. I said it on the record four 24 times. So please stop. 25 MR. SOLOMON: Go ahead.

Page 514 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. So my testimony didn't say doubt. 3 said it needs to be confirmed to be sure, to confirm. I'm sure that you doubt when you 4 5 confirm. Mr. Monticciolo, you don't need to 6 0. 7 confirm something that you know already, right? Α. 8 It is best practice to measure 9 twice, cut once and that is what we do. That 10 is what every firm who has good corporate 11 practice does. 12 Mr. Monticciolo, you don't need to Q. 13 confirm something that you already know, right? 14 I don't see how that is relevant to Α. 15 this point. 16 That's fair. Thankfully you're not Ο. 17 taking the deposition, so you don't need to see the relevance. That's for the court to decide. 18 19 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the form. 20 I'm asking a straightforward Q. Do you need to confirm something 21 question. 22 that you already know; yes, no or I have no 23 idea? 24 Α. One more time on the question. 25 Q. Do you need to confirm something

Page 515 1 Monticciolo 2 that you already know; yes, no or I don't know? 3 MR. SOLOMON: You don't have to limit yourself to his -- I object to the 4 5 form. 6 MR. CYRULNIK: Lou, this is all on 7 The court will see the the record. 8 coaching. It will see the colloquy. 9 MR. SOLOMON: Then I can't wait. 10 MR. CYRULNIK: In the middle of the 11 deposition you're not allowed to talk to 12 the witness telling him what to say. You 13 are not allowed to be trying to lead 14 whatever it is you want him to say. 15 job is to sit there, object to the form of 16 a question if you think it's objectionable 17 and to instruct on privilege. So I'm 18 asking you for the fifth time in the last 19 ten minutes to please stop. (cross talk). 20 It needs to stop, Lou. 21 0. Go ahead, Mr. Monticciolo. 22 Α. I would say, yes. It's not a black 23 and white, yes, no answer. It's yes based on 24 the magnitude and implications. 25 Q. So even though you knew that

Monticciolo

Mr. Iacovacci sent hundreds or thousands of e-mails to himself containing Brevet confidential information, that was not enough for you to terminate Mr. Iacovacci, fair?

MR. SOLOMON: Object to the form of the question. You're misstating his testimony.

MR. CYRULNIK: You're doing it again.

A. Completely unfair. That is not what I said. I did not say I knew. I did not have firsthand knowledge of it. I didn't do it. You know that. It was very clear in the affidavits of Mr. Callahan.

Mr. Callahan presented that he had these results. So it is not to say that I knew. It's the right thing to check something of this magnitude. Again, it was something that would be quite surprising just as if one of your partners was caught embezzling escrow accounts, you would be shocked. Well, that was kind of the same feeling and that's where we were. You would want to kind of double-check and that was the right thing to do and we did.

Page 517 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. You didn't personally know because 3 Mr. Callahan was the one who performed the searches. So then following May 2016 did you 4 undertake personally to review the e-mails that 5 6 Mr. Callahan had reported to you he had found? 7 Α. No, not my job. 8 MR. SOLOMON: I object to your 9 laughing at the witness. 10 Lou, you really don't MR. CYRULNIK: 11 need to object to anything other than the 12 form of a question. I don't understand. 13 This is like the first time you are in a 14 deposition. 15 MR. SOLOMON: You are laughing at 16 him. I object. 17 MR. CYRULNIK: Mr. Solomon, please 18 keep yourself under control. You need to 19 limit your objections to objections to 20 form. 21 MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Cyrulnik, please 22 keep yourself under control. 23 MR. CYRULNIK: Creative. 24 Q. Mr. Monticciolo, to make sure you 25 had everything right, to make sure you

Monticciolo

understood this shocking revelation was what you thought it was, you then reached out to Mr. Iacovacci and asked him to explain himself; is that the next step that you undertook?

- A. Well, that would be a bizarre step if you're unsure about something. You would want to confirm it first.
- Q. Well, if you're unsure about something, you wouldn't want to ask the person that was your partner for so many years that you couldn't believe was engaging in what you were looking at to explain to you what it is you were looking at?
- A. Correct. I wouldn't want to make a false accusation or assumption, have a discussion on something. I think that would be, you know, just as you would with your partner who may be perceived to be selling escrow accounts. You want to make sure before you approach somebody or make a decision as to whether or not maybe you should have a discussion.

Again, that wouldn't be my position. That would be guidance from outside counsel,

Page 519 1 Monticciolo 2 with our HR, our compliance team. So there 3 would be quite a process there. But you didn't want to ask 4 Q. 5 Iacovacci because you didn't want to offend 6 him in case you were wrong, right? 7 What I said, I want to make sure 8 that I'm right. You want to know that you are right 9 Ο. 10 before you went to Mr. Iacovacci and said what 11 is going on here, right? 12 Α. Yeah. Maybe there was a computer 13 glitch. 14 Maybe a computer glitch? 0. 15 Α. Maybe the e-mail recording was 16 wrong. 17 Maybe the e-mail recording was 18 wrong. So you wanted to confirm all those 19 things before you asked Mr. Iacovacci about it, 20 right? 21 I believe it's best practice to 22 presume someone is innocent until proven 23 quilty. We wanted to make sure that we were 24 right about something of this magnitude. 25 At which point in time did you end Q.

Page 520 1 Monticciolo 2 up reaching the level of comfort that you were 3 sure you had it right, that you had understood what had happened and that you were now ready 4 5 to confront Mr. Iacovacci and ask him about it? 6 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 7 question. Asked and answered. 8 Again, that wasn't my decision. Α. I 9 think I have already given testimony on this. 10 It was Mr. Callahan, outside counsel, our IT 11 department, our HR, our compliance to make the 12 recommendation as to what the next steps are. 13 Q. Mr. Monticciolo, you understand that 14 you are here as a 30(b)(6) representative of a 15 company? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. I'm asking my question again. 18 not talking about you, Mr. Monticciolo. 19 talking about you, Brevet, the company. 20 At what point did Brevet reach its 21 comfort level that it knew -- sufficiently knew 22 what happened so that it was ready to approach 23 Mr. Iacovacci to ask him what was going on? 24 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the

question.

Monticciolo

- A. I don't believe that that was a path that was applicable in this situation given the egregiousness of this.
- Q. Let me get this straight. I thought you just told me that you were so careful as a company you didn't want to even approach Mr. Iacovacci to ask him about this because you still wanted to do more and more confirmation; did I get that right?
- A. No, I think you mischaracterized that.
 - Q. What did I get wrong there?
- A. Again, we wanted to make sure that we were correct, that it was checked independently because potentially it was egregious and we confirmed that it was egregious. And as the outside counsel and people I mentioned come to their conclusion as to what the right measure is, I'm not going to second guess the decision process there. It was what was felt to be the right process for the situation.
- Q. Whose decision process did you need, did you not want to second guess?

Page 522 Monticciolo

- A. The firm's procedures for making recommendations for outside counsel,

 Mr. Callahan, HR, compliance, supportive IT.
- Q. You're going to need to be more specific than that, Mr. Monticciolo, if you would like this deposition to go anywhere. I want to know whose decision you said you didn't want to second guess?
- A. Again, it is not an individual.

 It's a recommendation by a group of people,

 just to make sure that, you know, everything is

 being done appropriately and properly and

 thoroughly thought through.
- Q. I want to know who made the decision that you didn't want to second guess.

MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Asked and answered.

- A. Again, Mr. Callahan, outside counsel, HR, compliance, and probably in support of the information from IT.
- Q. What Brevet entity was Mr. Iacovacci terminated from?
- A. He was terminated from the Brevet Holdings, which is the place where he was an

Page 523 1 Monticciolo 2 employee and FD, LLC as a member. 3 All at the same time? 0. Α. Yes. 4 5 Let's throw out Brevet Holdings. 0. 6 Was there a meeting to discuss Mr. Iacovacci's 7 termination from Brevet Holdings? 8 Α. I'm not sure if there was a meeting 9 or a phone call, but I recall a recommendation 10 being made and questioning the support, 11 listening to what was provided to Mr. Callahan, 12 outside counsel, HR, compliance and I'm sure IT 13 was providing any input they had and I agreed 14 with that. 15 Q. We are back to using the passive 16 voice, Mr. Monticciolo, a recommendation was 17 made you're a 30(b)(6) witness. I want to know who made the recommendation to terminate Mr. 18 19 Iacovacci from Brevet Holdings? 20 Individuals don't make a Α. 21 recommendation. A recommendation is provided 22 by a group of people on behalf of those people 23 recommendations to do something. It's called 24 best practice. It's not an individual makes a

recommendation. That wouldn't be corporate

Page 524 1 Monticciolo 2 practice. 3 Did they all say in unison? I mean Ο. somebody had to actually make the 4 5 recommendation if it was being done on behalf 6 of somebody else, right? 7 Somebody may have been speaking, but 8 speaking on behalf of the whole team. To the 9 best of my recollection, it was all those 10 people speaking. 11 Let's start with who was speaking. 12 What does that mean it was all those people? 13 Who said to you, in words or in substance, we 14 recommend terminating Mr. Iacovacci from Brevet Holdings? 15 16 I answered this question. 17 repeat it for you. Mr. Callahan, outside 18 counsel, HR, compliance. 19 Let's go one by one because 20 otherwise I think it's going to get unwieldy. 21 I'm going to write down we've got Mr. Callahan, 22 outside counsel, HR, compliance. Anyone else 23 by the way? 24 Not that I recall. Α. 25 Let's start with Mr. Callahan 0.

Page 525 1 Monticciolo 2 because he's the only individual at least that 3 we can talk about. Mr. Callahan made the recommendation 4 5 to you, Doug Monticciolo, or to some entity to 6 terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment with 7 Brevet Holdings? 8 Α. Made the recommendation to the CEO 9 of Brevet Holdings for approval based on their 10 recommendation. 11 And you are the CEO of Brevet 0. 12 Holdings, right? 13 Α. I am. 14 So Mr. Callahan made the 15 recommendation to you for your approval to 16 terminate Mr. Iacovacci from Brevet Holdings; 17 did I get that right? 18 Α. Say that one more time. There was 19 some noise. 20 Mr. Callahan made a recommendation Ο. 21 to you as a CEO of Brevet Holdings to terminate 22 Mr. Iacovacci from Brevet Holdings; did I get 23 that right? 24 No, Mr. Callahan on behalf of the Α. 25 various roles that were represented made the

Monticciolo

recommendation for approval or denial to terminate Mr. Iacovacci.

Q. I don't think that is inconsistent with what I asked, but if it makes you more comfortable, that's fine.

I was going to ask you who he was speaking on behalf of other than himself. I'm really trying to break this into baby steps because I think it's the only way to get through this deposition in a reasonable period of time.

So Mr. Callahan was the voice. It was Mr. Callahan's voice that made the recommendation to you, Mr. Monticciolo, for approval or denial of the termination of Mr. Iacovacci from Brevet Holdings; did I get that right?

- A. I don't recall that it was solely his voice.
- Q. I didn't say solely in my question.

 I'm asking right now about Mr. Callahan's voice making that recommendation to you. If there are other voices or if you made it on behalf of other people or departments, we will get to

Monticciolo

2 that next.

Mr. Callahan's voice made a recommendation to you to terminate

Mr. Iacovacci from Brevet Holdings and it was submitted to you for approval or denial; did I get that piece right?

- A. I don't believe that's a fair characterization of how the process works. I'm confused that you don't understand committees and processes. A team of people, somebody might be speaking for them, but I believe they would be speaking as the whole, not the individual, and that's why we have almost all the processes at Brevet work this way. One person may be the spokesperson, but it's not their sole decision.
- Q. We can call it voice. We can call it spokesperson. You pick the term you want to. I am trying to focus on words coming out of somebody's mouth. So let's use spokesperson because you just used that in your answer.

I don't want to imply one way or the other whether the spokesperson was speaking on behalf of himself or one or more other

Page 528 1 Monticciolo 2 entities. I just want to focus on the actual 3 spokesperson's recommendations. 4 Did Mr. Callahan, as spokesperson 5 for one or more people or entities recommend to 6 you, Doug Monticciolo as CEO of Brevet 7 Holdings, that the company terminate 8 Mr. Iacovacci's employment? 9 Α. Yes. 10 When did that event take place? Q. 11 MR. SOLOMON: Asked and answered. 12 Α. As I said, I think that was early 13 October. 14 Can you be more specific than early 0. 15 October? 16 No, I can't unfortunately. Α. 17 Do you know when it was relative to 0. when a termination letter was sent to 18 19 Mr. Iacovacci? 20 Before. Α. 21 Was it days before, weeks before? Ο. 22 Α. Within a week or two as I recall. 23 So you recall there being a gap of 0. 24 time, but that the gap of time was not more than a couple of weeks? 25

Page 529 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. Enough time to write the letter I 3 believe. So just enough time to write the 4 Q. 5 So pretty close in time to when the 6 letter was sent? 7 I was using that as a metric to try 8 to measure the time. 9 Q. So you don't know if it could have 10 been earlier that day, earlier the day that the 11 letter was sent? 12 I don't believe it was that close 13 because we do -- we are careful about things we 14 do. We don't like to knee jerk something of 15 this importance remember. 16 I forgot for a second. I forgot who 0. 17 I was dealing with. So did Mr. Callahan make 18 that -- again we are talking about 19 Mr. Callahan, the spokesperson, did he make 20 that recommendation to you in person or over 21 the phone or in writing? 22 Α. I don't recall whether it was in 23 person or over the phone. 24 Did you check with him before this Q. 25 deposition?

Page 530 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. I did not. 3 0. Do you know it was oral, not in writing; is that right? 4 5 Α. I believe that's correct. 6 0. Did Mr. Callahan tell you when he 7 made the recommendation to you whether he was 8 speaking on behalf of one or more individuals 9 or entities other than himself? 10 Α. In this context he doesn't need to 11 specifically tell me which context. It's part 12 of our policy to be representing all those that 13 are supporting or involved. 14 There is a policy, a Brevet policy, 0. 15 that when you speak, you're speaking on behalf 16 of all Brevet entities? 17 Α. It's not exactly what I said or 18 intended. What I mean is he was speaking on 19 behalf of the results of a group of people. 20 What group of people? Q. 21 MR. SOLOMON: Asked and answered. 22 Α. Again, outside counsel, HR, compliance, et cetera. 23 24 So if I'm understanding you Q. correctly, Mr. Callahan made the recommendation 25

Monticciolo

to you as a spokesperson on behalf of outside counsel, HR and compliance; is that right?

- A. Ask your question one more time.
- Q. If I understood what you said correctly, Mr. Callahan made the recommendation that you referenced a few moments ago as a spokesperson on behalf of outside counsel, HR and compliance. Did I get that right?
- A. As I sit here I believe that to be correct.
- Q. And he didn't tell you that he was speaking on behalf of any of those departments; you just understood that based on general policies is that -- did I get that part right?
- A. I don't recall if he specifically said that or not.
- Q. But you know for a fact that he was speaking on behalf of those three departments, right?
- A. Again, I think we already answered that this was, if not was the culmination of the prior May activities which culminated in all those entities coming back with the result of their recommendation to study further and

Page 532 1 Monticciolo 2 assess the situation. 3 Sorry, I missed part of what you said. It was a little fuzzy. Did you say each 4 5 of these departments made a recommendation? 6 Α. No. 7 Did each of these departments make a Q. 8 recommendation? 9 Α. No. 10 Was each of these departments 11 involved in the post May 2016 activity that you 12 described to confirm or learn more about what 13 had happened? 14 I don't know. You have to ask them 15 or Mr. Callahan. 16 Well, I'm asking their corporate 17 representative. I take it you didn't have a chance or didn't understand that you were 18 19 supposed to be checking with each of those 20 entities to confirm whether or not each of them 21 or all of them made a recommendation with 22 respect to Mr. Iacovacci's termination? 23 Right. I thought it was clear Α. 24 already in the record from Mr. Callahan's 25 affidavits.

Monticciolo

Q. We will have to talk to you and your counsel about that afterwards, but we are asking you questions that we are entitled to ask irrespective of what you think is in the interrogatory responses.

So, sitting here today in your capacity as corporate representative, you don't know which of the departments you identified, that is outside counsel, HR or compliance did, in fact, make a recommendation to terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment with Brevet Holdings; is that right?

MR. SOLOMON: I think that misstates his testimony. Objection.

MR. CYRULNIK: I'm asking him, not you.

- A. That is not what I said. I said I don't know, but that is not the process.

 Again, we went through this, as a spokesman on behalf of.
- Q. I think that you just said that is not what I said, but then you repeated what I said. Did you or did you not know, do you or do you not know, sitting here today, whether or

Monticciolo

not each of the three departments you just

identified, compliance, HR, outside counsel,

made a recommendation to terminate

Mr. Iacovacci, yes or no?

A. I do not know because there would be no reason for them to make a separate recommendation, so you're asking a question which I thought I clearly laid out our process which if you understood that would not be our process and it would not be separate recommendations.

So again I mentioned who was looking into it as a group and you represented that -- you reiterated that Mr. Callahan was the spokesperson for those people.

Q. We will get to Mr. Callahan, but let me just try and make sure we are on the same page. When I ask you do you know X, do you know whether they made a recommendation, if you know, but you have a very good explanation in your mind as to why they didn't, the answer to my question is still no and if you know they did make a recommendation, the answer would be yes.

Monticciolo

I will endeavor to do my best,

Mr. Monticciolo, to allow you to give the whys

if I think those are relevant and I'm sure your

very competent counsel sitting by your side is

going to ask whatever follow-up questions he

wants to if he feels there is something he

thinks he wants to get into the record or you

want to get into the record and you didn't get

an opportunity to testify to during my cross.

I simply asked you whether or not you know, sitting here today, whether each of these three departments or any of these three departments made a recommendation and I just want to know whether the answer is yes, I do know or no, I don't know.

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question because it misstates his testimony. You can clarify if you want to.

MR. CYRULNIK: Lou, if you read back that question and identify for me how it's possible for that question to misstate any testimony because it's just a question, we are going to have a lot more efficient

Page 536 1 Monticciolo 2 time getting through this deposition. 3 Please pay attention to the question instead --4 5 MR. SOLOMON: I don't need your 6 remonstration, Jason. Okay. You don't 7 need to tell me what to do. 8 MR. CYRULNIK: I think I do, Lou. 9 MR. SOLOMON: You can ask a clear 10 question right now if you wanted and you 11 are not. 12 MR. CYRULNIK: Mr. Solomon, read the 13 question. Do you have real time? 14 MR. SOLOMON: Do you have any more 15 questions for this witness? You're 16 running out of time. 17 MR. CYRULNIK: Do you have real time? 18 19 MR. SOLOMON: I'm not answering your 20 questions. Do you have real time? 21 MR. CYRULNIK: You're refusing to 22 tell me whether you have a live transcript 23 of this deposition? 24 MR. SOLOMON: You mean is the court 25 reporter streaming it into us? She is

Page 537 1 Monticciolo 2 not. 3 MR. CYRULNIK: That's what I mean, Well, I think that would probably 4 yeah. 5 be a useful investment for the future 6 because I think if you read the question 7 on the page, there was no attempt to 8 characterize any testimony. And so an 9 objection to mischaracterize the 10 testimony, which itself is objectionable 11 because all you need to do is object to 12 form, is even more unfounded when it comes 13 to a question like the one that I just 14 asked. 15 Q. Getting back to the question, 16 Mr. Monticciolo. The question for you was, do 17 you or -- do you know, sitting here today, 18 whether or not each of the departments that you 19 identified outside counsel, HR and compliance 20 made a recommendation to terminate 21 Mr. Iacovacci? 22 Α. Given the guidance that you just 23 gave me a few minutes ago, no. 24 Q. Okay. Can we take a restroom break? 25 Α.

	Page 538		
1	Monticciolo		
2	Q. Absolutely.		
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is		
4	11:33 a.m. and we are going off the		
5	record.		
6	(Brief recess taken.)		
7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is		
8	11:48 a.m. and we are back on the record.		
9	Q. Mr. Monticciolo, before the break we		
10	were talking about the recommendation made to		
11	terminate Mr. Iacovacci. I want to make sure		
12	that I'm clear on your testimony today.		
13	Yes or no, did Mr. Callahan make		
L 4	that recommendation on behalf of HR?		
15	A. Alone?		
16	Q. No, not alone.		
17	A. Yes, I mean it's on behalf of		
18	Q. HR?		
19	A. As I said before so the answer to		
20	that is yes.		
21	Q. Did he make that recommendation on		
22	behalf of compliance as well?		
23	A. I believe so, yes.		
2 4	Q. What is the basis for your testimony		
25	that Mr. Callahan made the recommendation on		

Page 539 1 Monticciolo 2 behalf of HR and compliance? 3 As I recall that they were involved Α. in the process as I stated before and it 4 5 would -- he was the spokesperson of that 6 effort. 7 Q. I appreciate that those two 8 departments were involved in the process that 9 you described. I'm specifically trying to 10 focus in on the recommendation at the end of 11 that period of time that Mr. Callahan made to 12 you to terminate Mr. Iacovacci and I want to 13 understand the basis for your testimony, if I'm 14 getting this right, that Mr. Callahan made that 15 recommendation to terminate on behalf of both 16 HR and compliance; that is that compliance was 17 asking Mr. Callahan to recommend Mr. Iacovacci's termination and that HR was 18 19 asking Mr. Callahan to recommend 20 Mr. Iacovacci's termination? 21 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the form of 22 the question. 23 To use the word on behalf of? Α. 24 Q. Yes. 25 So I would say solely on behalf of, Α.

Page 540 1 Monticciolo 2 no. 3 Not solely. You put the word solely 0. That's why this deposition is going to 4 in. 5 take longer than it needs to. I'm just asking whether it was on behalf of, whether it was in 6 7 addition to others or whether it was solely both of those would be on behalf of. 8 That's 9 why I'm trying to be careful with my questions. 10 So if you take, with that 11 clarification, Mr. Monticciolo, whether it was 12 solely or not is factored into my question. 13 solely or not solely are both fine. 14 Did Mr. Callahan -- is it your testimony Mr. Callahan made the recommendation 15 16 to terminate Mr. Iacovacci on behalf of 17 compliance and on behalf of HR? 18 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the form. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Did he tell you that? Q. 21 I do not recall. Α. 22 Q. How do you know, what did you do to 23 determine that Mr. Callahan was making that 24 recommendation on behalf of the two departments you just identified? 25

Page 541 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. I think I answered that question. 3 The recommendation was made in conjunction with Mark as well as these other parties. 4 5 0. I don't understand what that means. 6 Can you explain that a little differently 7 please or a little more clearly? 8 MR. SOLOMON: I object to the 9 question. 10 Α. Sure. Whether it was in a single 11 conversation or multiple, it was clear to me 12 that Mark was pursuing his recommendation 13 either based on or in conjunction with HR, 14 compliance and outside counsel for 15 recommendations. 16 Okay. Let's get to outside counsel. Ο. 17 So it's similarly clear to you that Mr. Callahan was spokesperson on behalf of 18 19 outside counsel in connection with 20 recommendation to terminate Mr. Iacovacci's 21 employment? 22 Α. No. 23 That's not similarly clear to you? 0. 24 Α. Not similarly clear. He was a 25 spokesperson for outside counsel. They were

Page 542 1 Monticciolo 2 counsel. They provided guidance and 3 counseling. Did they make the recommendation to 4 5 terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment? 6 I don't have firsthand knowledge, 7 but that was my understanding. 8 What was the basis for that Q. 9 understanding? 10 The meeting and communications with Α. 11 Mr. Callahan. 12 What about those communications Q. 13 forms the basis for your testimony that outside 14 counsel made the recommendation to terminate 15 Mr. Iacovacci's employment? 16 MR. SOLOMON: I'm going to instruct 17 you not to give any of the substance of 18 the --19 THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear 20 you, Mr. Solomon. 21 I'm instructing the MR. SOLOMON: 22 witness not to communicate the substance 23 of any attorney/client discussions. 24 Q. Well, that is going to be a little 25 difficult because I think he already told me

Page 543 1 Monticciolo 2 that they did make the recommendation. So I 3 will limit my question to what you have already spoken about for now. It's your 4 5 understanding -- strike that. 6 As the 30(b)(6) corporate 7 representative of Brevet, it is your testimony that outside counsel made a recommendation to 8 9 terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment; is that 10 right? 11 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 12 question. 13 Α. No. 14 As a 30(b)(6) corporate 0. 15 representative, is it your understanding that 16 outside counsel did not make a recommendation 17 to terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment? 18 Α. Correct. 19 So it was Mr. Callahan, on behalf of Q. 20 or in conjunction with HR and compliance; is 21 that right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Anyone else make the recommendation 0. 24 to terminate Mr. Iacovacci? 25 Α. I'm not aware, but there might have

Page 544 1 Monticciolo 2 been. 3 And the recommendation was made to 0. you as CEO of Brevet Holdings for your approval 4 5 or your denial of the recommendation; is that 6 right? 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. You chose to approve it? 9 Α. Yes. 10 With a heavy heart? Q. 11 Α. Always. 12 Mr. Monticciolo, you have referenced Q. 13 Mr. Callahan's affidavits earlier. If you 14 could take a look at the marked exhibits 15 folder. We've put as Exhibits 11 and 12, the 16 affidavits of Mr. Callahan. Exhibit 11 is the 17 September 25, 2018 affidavit and Exhibit 12 is 18 the January 2018 affidavit. 19 (Whereupon affidavits were marked 20 Exhibits 11 and 12 for identification as 21 of this date.) 22 Q. If you could please take a look at 23 those exhibits and tell us what you were 24 referring to earlier that would be useful? 25 why don't we start with Exhibit 11 which is the

Page 545 1 Monticciolo 2 September 25, 2018 Callahan affidavit and 3 confirm for me that is one of the two 4 affidavits you were referring to earlier? 5 Α. (Witness reviewing documents). 6 Okay. 7 Is this one of the two affidavits Q. 8 you were referring to in your testimony earlier? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 0. And can you tell me, is there a 12 particular portion of this affidavit that you 13 had in mind when you were talking about the description of what Mr. Callahan had uncovered 14 15 during his periodic review in May of 2016? 16 It is a good portion. We could go 17 through it. I'll cite by paragraph. 18 Q. Okay. 19 Paragraph 10. Α. 20 Q. Okay? 21 Paragraph 11, 12, 15, 16, and the Α. 22 relevance of 18. 23 What did you say before 18? 0. 24 And 18. Α. 25 Q. Okay.

	Page 546		
1	Monticciolo		
2	A. 19, 20, 21, 23 and 24.		
3	Q. Anything else?		
4	A. I'm working on it. Twenty-nine.		
5	Q. I want to make sure we are talking		
6	about the same thing as you're going along,		
7	Mr. Monticciolo. You're telling me the things		
8	that you were referring to earlier as to what		
9	Mr. Callahan had discovered in May of 2016,		
10	right?		
11	A. Clarification on that then, so		
12	probably not 28 then.		
13	Q. Okay.		
14	A. The dates on the rest of these		
15	items, but I assume they are throughout the		
16	whole time period.		
17	Q. So I have 23, 24. Did you want 29		
18	in there?		
19	A. No.		
20	Q. Okay. Anything else?		
21	A. I think that's sufficient for this		
22	quick review.		
23	Q. Take your time.		
2 4	A. Okay then. I think 30. I think		
25	that's good.		

Page 547 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. Let's go back to 10. I see there a 3 description of documents that Mr. Iacovacci e-mailed to himself. Do you see that? 4 5 Α. Where are you looking? 6 0. I'm looking at the first paragraph 7 you identified, paragraph 10. 8 Α. That starts with "Iacovacci, 9 however, breached his obligations under the LLC 10 Agreements?" 11 Q. Yes. 12 Α. Yes. These are the lists of documents 13 Q. 14 that Mr. Callahan discovered in May 2016 15 Mr. Iacovacci was e-mailing to himself, 16 I'm looking at the description in the 17 parenthetical. Do you see that? 18 Α. The parenthetical? 19 At the end of the list you'll see Q. 20 reference to Exhibit A, "Examples of Plaintiff 21 e-mailing confidential Brevet documents to 22 himself." Do you see that? 23 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 24 question. 25 Α. Yes, could the court reporter just

Page 548 1 Monticciolo 2 repeat the question. 3 (Whereupon the record was read back by the reporter.) 4 5 Answer, yes I see that. 6 So the list here in paragraph 10, 7 Exhibit 11 is a list of documents that 8 Mr. Callahan had discovered in May 2016 that Mr. Iacovacci was e-mailing to himself, 9 10 correct? I don't know if this was that exact 11 Α. 12 list back in 2016. 13 Q. Oh, you don't know whether this is 14 the list? 15 Α. No, I missed your qualification of 16 2016 when looking at this. Oh, that's okay. I asked you 17 Q. 18 previously to tell me what it is that 19 Mr. Callahan had discovered in May 2016 and you 20 had directed me, among other things, in terms 21 of what you gave me whatever answers you could 22 and then you directed me to two affidavits and 23 we are looking at the first of those two and I 24 think the first one you mentioned was this one, 25 so that's why I presented this to you.

Monticciolo

Do you not know whether the materials listed in paragraph 10 here were indeed discovered in May 2016 as having been e-mails by Mr. Iacovacci to himself?

- A. I do not know.
- Q. So let's then back off and go back to the question I had asked you. Can you tell me what Mr. Callahan had presented to you or discovered in May 2016 that you found to be very suspicious and concerning and whatever other adjectives you used to describe it?
- A. Sure, if I remember in early 2000 -- in early May it was that he found a few e-mails that were concerning and that it should be pursued further.
 - Q. Oh, he found only a few in May 2016?
- A. At the beginning of May, yeah. I'm sorry if I wasn't clear on that. I believe as it went on is when it really became clear that it was one of just a few.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to speak with your counsel during the break about this deposition?
 - A. No.

Page 550 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. You didn't speak with your counsel 3 during the break about this deposition at all? Other than when we were going back 4 Α. 5 in and I was using the restroom. 6 0. Yeah, other than that. 7 Α. No. 8 It's your testimony now, 0. 9 Mr. Monticciolo, that in May 2016, only a few 10 documents were discovered that Mr. Iacovacci 11 had e-mailed to himself during the periodic 12 review that Mr. Callahan had conducted? 13 MR. SOLOMON: I object to the 14 question. 15 That's not what I said. I said in Α. 16 early May when Mark first brought it up, you 17 asked, it was a few. In May, yes, I recall 18 that later did find many more, but that was I 19 believe after the process had started with 20 taking a further look. 21 When in May did Mr. Callahan conduct 22 his periodic review? 23 I don't have the specific date in 24 front of me. 25 Well, did you do anything to Q.

Page 551 1 Monticciolo 2 determine that in preparation for this 30(b)(6) 3 deposition? 4 I didn't think that that would be a 5 material point. 6 Q. So, no, you didn't? 7 Α. Not specifically for that item. 8 0. But you know --9 Α. I'm sorry, I don't recall the specific date. 10 11 And just for reference for this 0. 12 question and for others, if I ask you about a 13 specific date and you don't know, I would ask 14 for your best, you know, best recollection or 15 even if it requires a range, your best 16 recollection of what the date is. 17 So if you don't know the particular 18 date, can you give me a best recollection as to 19 when Mr. Callahan performed the periodic review 20 in May 2016? 21 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the question. 22 23 I recall early May. I don't have a Α. 24 specific date. Previously you had not asked a 25 specific date in May.

Monticciolo

- Q. Okay, that's probably true. You recall that after Mr. Callahan had reported his findings to you, he then continued to look for additional e-mails or instances of what he had found and the numbers increased; is that a fair description of what you were trying to say?
- A. I don't have specific knowledge if it was before or after bringing in outside counsel, but it did include -- yes, after initial May dates.
- Q. By the end of May there were hundreds or thousands of instances that you had, that you, meaning Brevet, had discovered of these improper forwarding confidential information from Mr. Iacovacci's Brevet e-mail address to his home e-mail address?

MR. SOLOMON: Object to the question.

- A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. And by the end of May there were hundreds or thousands of instances of Mr. Iacovacci sending Brevet proprietary or confidential information or trade secrets outside of Brevet; is that right?

		Page 553
1		Monticciolo
2		MR. SOLOMON: I object to the
3	questi	on.
4	A .	To the best of my understanding,
5	yes.	
6	Q.	When did Brevet make the decision to
7	retain outs	ide counsel to assist in reviewing
8	the Mr. Iacovacci situation?	
9	Α.	As I recall, that was in May.
10	Q.	And that's Greenberg Traurig?
11	A.	I believe so.
12	Q.	What were their charge did you
13	ask them to	conduct an independent
14	investigati	on?
15	A.	I wasn't the one who engaged them.
16	Q.	Who did?
17	A.	I believe it was Mr. Callahan or the
18	compliance	department.
19	Q.	You don't know which one?
20	Α.	I did not review that engagement
21	letter.	
22	Q.	Do you know who signed the
23	engagement	letter?
24	Α.	I do not.
25	Q.	Do you know what the engagement

Page 554 1 Monticciolo 2 letter identifies as Greenberg Traurig's, as 3 the scope of the Greenberg Traurig engagement with respect to this investigation? 4 5 Α. I do not. I take it you did not do anything to 6 0. 7 prepare to respond to those questions in 8 preparation for this deposition? 9 Α. Right. I believe those were already 10 discussed in prior depositions and I said I 11 think given the recommendations and the process 12 we pursued was not necessary. 13 Q. Was Greenberg Traurig hired to 14 determine whether or not Brevet had grounds to 15 terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment? 16 I don't know. Α. 17 How was Greenberg Traurig selected? Q. I don't know. I would have to look 18 Α. 19 at your process. 20 Who would know the answers to these Q. 21 questions that I am asking? 22 Α. Mr. Callahan and our compliance. 23 MR. CYRULNIK: Well, we are going to 24 have to, Lou, obviously reserve rights on 25 these questions. We think these are

Page 555 1 Monticciolo 2 covered by the scope of the 30(b)(6) topic 3 and it sounds like Mr. Monticciolo did not 4 have an opportunity or at least the 5 understanding that he should have the 6 answers to those questions for us. 7 MR. SOLOMON: We obviously don't agree that they were covered as he did his 8 9 homework with respect to the topics that 10 were covered. 11 Has the engagement letter with 0. 12 Greenberg Traurig been produced? 13 MR. SOLOMON: Jason, Ms. da Silva 14 Vint has entered the room. 15 Thank you, Lou. MR. CYRULNIK: Do 16 you mean she has entered the physical 17 room? 18 MR. SOLOMON: Yes, you mean the 19 She just entered the deposition room? 20 She is now in the room. room. I'm sorry 21 she was in the Zoom room. Now she is in 22 the physical room. 23 MR. CYRULNIK: That was my question. 24 I'm looking forward to meeting you Ms. da 25 Silva Vint, but go ahead.

Page 556 1 Monticciolo 2 MR. SOLOMON: So am I. 3 MR. CYRULNIK: You never met Ms. da Silva Vint? 4 5 MR. SOLOMON: I'm looking forward to 6 you meeting her. 7 Could the court reporter repeat the 8 question? 9 Ο. The question was, do you know 10 whether the engagement letter with Greenberg 11 Traurig was produced? 12 Α. I don't recall. I didn't think that 13 would be something I would need to prepare for 14 this. That would be the questions I have. 15 Q. Have you ever seen the engagement 16 letter with Greenberg Traurig? 17 I'm sure I have in review of this Α. 18 lengthy process, but I don't recall. 19 Q. Well, I would ask you to -- after 20 this deposition, to please see to it that --21 either confirm that it was produced and tell us 22 what it is or else if you could arrange with 23 Mr. Solomon who is going to have his own 24 thoughts on the request, but arrange with 25 Mr. Solomon to have that produced promptly.

Page 557 1 Monticciolo 2 We will consider it. MR. SOLOMON: 3 Over what time period did Greenberg 0. Traurig conduct the investigation? 4 5 I don't know. I wasn't directly 6 involved in it, but I know the conclusion was 7 before the early October meeting. 8 So you know it started in May. Q. Ιt 9 ended before the October meeting, but you don't know whether it was a one month investigation, 10 11 two month investigation, three month 12 investigation, fair? 13 Α. Fair. 14 Did they interview witnesses? 0. 15 I do not know. Α. 16 Did they interview you? 0. 17 Α. I do not recall. 18 Did they produce a report of their Q. 19 findings either formal or informal? 20 Α. I don't know. 21 Sitting here today, you don't know 22 whether there was a report of their findings? 23 I am not sure if there was a report Α. 24 or verbal communication of their findings. 25 know it was support for the recommendation from

Page 558 1 Monticciolo 2 the team in early October. 3 Did Brevet rely on Greenberg Ο. Traurig's investigation or recommendation in 4 5 making the decision to terminate 6 Mr. Iacovacci's employment? 7 Α. Not solely. 8 Q. I'm sorry? Not solely, no. 9 Α. 10 Q. Not solely you said? 11 Α. Correct. 12 I appreciate that. Once again, I'll Q. 13 clarify that unless I say solely, I'm not 14 asking you to limit your answers to my version 15 of the question with the word solely inserted 16 in it. 17 Did Brevet rely on Greenberg's Traurig's investigations, either its finding or 18 19 its recommendations, in deciding to terminate 20 Mr. Iacovacci's employment? 21 Α. Yes. Can you summarize for me 22 Q. specifically what Greenberg -- withdrawn. 23 24 Can you summarize for me the results 25 of either the written or unwritten findings

Page 559 1 Monticciolo 2 that Greenberg Traurig made in connection with 3 its investigation? MR. SOLOMON: I'm going to instruct 4 5 the witness not to disclose the substance of any advice that Greenberg or counsel 6 7 made in connection with this. 8 Let me make sure I'm understanding 0. 9 the state of play here. Mr. Monticciolo, 10 Greenberg Traurig was doing a factual 11 investigation into what had transpired in 12 connection with the potential misappropriation 13 of trade secrets or other Brevet confidential 14 documents by Mr. Iacovacci; is that right? 15 MR. SOLOMON: Who are you asking 16 that question of, of the witness? 17 MR. CYRULNIK: Yes, almost all of my 18 questions are to the witness. 19 Not as a 30(b)(6)? Α. 20 No, you're a 30(b)(6) Q. 21 representative. 22 Α. Okay. So could you repeat the 23 question? 24 Q. Yes. Greenberg Traurig conducted an 25 investigation into the facts surrounding the

Page 560 1 Monticciolo 2 alleged misappropriation of confidential 3 information or trade secrets by Mr. Iacovacci, right? 4 5 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the question. 6 7 I'm sorry, could you repeat it one 8 more time? 9 Q. Greenberg Traurig conducted an investigation into the factual events related 10 11 to the alleged misappropriation of confidential 12 information or trade secrets by Mr. Iacovacci, 13 correct? 14 MR. SOLOMON: I object. 15 Α. I'm not going to comment on 16 Greenberg's results. 17 You're refusing to tell me whether Q. 18 Greenberg Traurig looked at the facts 19 surrounding the suspicions that you identified 20 earlier that Brevet had with respect to 21 Mr. Iacovacci's alleged misappropriations of 22 trade secrets or confidential information? 23 Our team made a decision and Α. 24 recommendation based on a variety of information. If there was information provided 25

Monticciolo

by our counsel in their privilege, then that is something I would say went into their decision.

- Q. Well, the problem is that is an unclear answer. You said if there was information that was provided it would have gone to their decision and I want to know whether there was information provided that went to their decision?
- A. That was a recommendation. The recommendation is based on, as I previously stated, with the input of outside counsel and other members of Brevet.
- Q. I want to understand the factual component of the Greenberg Traurig investigation separate and apart from any legal advice that they may or may not have been provided with respect to Brevet's rights or Ms. Iacovacci's rights or obligations, et cetera.

With respect to the factual piece, was Greenberg Traurig charged with investigating what Mr. Iacovacci did?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question.

Monticciolo

- A. That's a very vague question. Could you be a little more precise?
- Q. I could try. I don't think it's particularly vague, but I want to know whether Greenberg Traurig was tasked with putting together an assessment or summary of what Mr. Iacovacci did in connection with the allegations that he misappropriated confidential information or trade secrets?
- A. As I stated previously, they were involved to help us confirm the initial, based on the initial findings of Mr. Callahan, exactly how they did that, what they did is, you know, their work product.
- Q. I think your counsel would advise you with respect to the application of the privilege, but I'm trying to understand the facts of what they did before I get to the actual findings. They, for example, were given access to Mr. Iacovacci's e-mails. They were able to look into what he was forwarding to himself or outside of Brevet or any of that, correct?
 - A. Again, I'm not the one who would be

Page 563 1 Monticciolo 2 specifically involved in doing that nor was 3 that something that I thought would be relevant to the questions that I was asked as a 4 5 30(b)(6). 6 0. We all make mistakes. So you don't 7 know? 8 MR. SOLOMON: I object to your 9 comments. 10 Well, I mean, Lou, to MR. CYRULNIK: 11 be fair this is clearly directly related 12 to Topic 26A and B and the witness has 13 told me two or three times that he just 14 didn't look into this stuff. So I'm not 15 taking him to task for it, but it clearly 16 is a problem that we need to address. 17 MR. SOLOMON: I appreciate that is 18 your view. You can use the word clear as 19 many times as you want. It's absolutely 20 clear to me that questions you are asking 21 now have nothing to do with this topic. 22 think we came prepared to give you the 23 company's position with respect to each of 24 these topics. 25 Q. Mr. Monticciolo, let me ask you a

	Page 564
1	Monticciolo
2	question. Did Greenberg Traurig's
3	investigation relate to plaintiff's termination
4	from Brevet?
5	A. Say that one more time.
6	Q. Did Greenberg Traurig's
7	investigation relate to plaintiff's,
8	Mr. Iacovacci's termination from Brevet?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Did Greenberg Traurig's
11	investigation relate to the reasons underlying
12	Brevet's decision to terminate Mr. Iacovacci?
13	A. Explain what you mean by reasons.
1 4	Q. What do you understand reasons to
15	be?
16	A. I'm not the one asking the
17	questions. Reasons? Like
18	Q. Let me ask you this,
19	Mr. Monticciolo. Brevet had reasons for
20	terminating Mr. Iacovacci's employment, right?
21	A. Had causes.
22	Q. Did it have reasons for terminating
23	it?
2 4	A. I think causes, breaches and reasons
25	are different things.

Page 565 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. Would you say Mr. Iacovacci's 3 breaches of various obligations were one or more of the reasons why Brevet terminated his 4 5 employment? 6 Α. Yes. 7 I'm just trying to confirm, because Q. 8 I don't have that much clarity on this 9 Greenberg Traurig investigation. I take it 10 that Greenberg Traurig's investigation and the 11 findings therefrom and the recommendations they 12 made, one or all of those things were related 13 to the reasons why plaintiff proceeded to 14 terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment from 15 Brevet Holdings? 16 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 17 question. 18 Is that a fair high level summary? Q. 19 Do you want to repeat the question? Α. 20 Sure. Q. 21 MR. CYRULNIK: Can the court 22 reporter please read back my question. 23 (Whereupon the record was read back 24 by the reporter.) 25 Α. No, it doesn't agree with the word

	Page 566
1	Monticciolo
2	recommendations.
3	Q. If you take the word recommendations
4	out, is it a fair summary?
5	MR. SOLOMON: I object to the
6	question.
7	A. Repeat the question.
8	Q. The question is whether Greenberg
9	Traurig's investigation or findings constituted
10	one or more of the reasons why Brevet proceeded
11	to terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment from
12	Brevet Holdings?
13	MR. SOLOMON: I will object to the
14	question.
15	A. I wouldn't characterize it as
16	constituting a reason. It was support and
17	findings.
18	Q. Support for the termination
19	decision?
20	MR. SOLOMON: No, I'm not going to
21	let him testify to the substance of the
22	legal advice.
23	Q. I didn't ask him about the substance
24	of it.
25	MR. SOLOMON: You said support.

Monticciolo

MR. CYRULNIK: He said support.

MR. SOLOMON: By the way, before you were so quick to say I didn't object in a timely way. I don't want him getting into the substance of any advice that Greenberg Traurig made.

MR. CYRULNIK: First of all, I don't think I ever criticized you for not objecting in a timely way. If I did, you can show it to me, but not that it's impossible. I just don't remember doing it.

More importantly, I literally just rephrased what he said. I believe he said support, not I. I shouldn't say not I. He said support and then I was clarifying it in my follow-up question, so I'm not quite sure for the basis of the objection, but I think the question on the table is were Greenberg Traurig's investigation findings, did they provide support in the witness' view or in the company's view for its decision to terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment?

	Page 568
1	Monticciolo
2	MR. SOLOMON: I'm going to instruct
3	you not to disclose the substance of any
4	legal advice that Greenberg Traurig made.
5	Q. Setting aside legal advice,
6	Mr. Monticciolo, did Greenberg Traurig's
7	factual findings or analysis in your view
8	support Brevet's decision to terminate
9	Mr. Iacovacci's employment?
10	MR. SOLOMON: Object to the
11	question. Assumes a fact not in evidence.
12	A. Yeah, I can't comment one way or
13	another on that.
14	Q. Why is that?
15	A. Because I wasn't the person involved
16	in receiving it.
17	Q. So sitting here today as Brevet's
18	corporate representative, you don't know
19	whether Greenberg Traurig's investigation
20	supported plaintiff's decision to terminate
21	Mr. Iacovacci's employment?
22	MR. SOLOMON: I object to the
23	question and I reiterate my instruction.
24	A. That is not what I am saying.
25	Q. Well, I think I just gave you two

Page 569 1 Monticciolo 2 literally polarized spectrums. One of them has 3 to be true. Why don't you tell me what you are 4 trying to say? 5 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 6 question. 7 I think I was clear. 8 I can assure you you weren't clear. Ο. 9 Mr. Monticciolo, I want to know whether or not 10 Greenberg Traurig's factual findings or factual 11 analysis as provided to Brevet supported 12 Brevet's decision to terminate Mr. Iacovacci's 13 employment in your view? 14 MR. SOLOMON: Same objection. Same instruction. 15 16 I have to say no, I wasn't directly 17 involved in that, no. 18 No, as in you don't know because you Q. 19 weren't directly involved in it or no as in no, 20 it didn't support it? 21 No, as I was not directly involved. Α. 22 Q. So this is again one of those things 23 where you don't know the answer because this is 24 not something that you understood you needed to 25 get up to speed on it in order to testify on

Page 570 1 Monticciolo 2 Topic 26; is that fair? 3 It seems pretty clear to be Α. consistent with question 26 which is where we 4 5 are. 6 0. Sorry, what did you say? 7 I prepared for question 26. didn't think that would be a reasonable thing 8 to practice I thought. 9 10 Your counsel and I will hopefully 11 reach an agreement on how to address that, but 12 I appreciate the clarity on at least that 13 topic. 14 Did you ever review Greenberg 15 Traurig's recommendations or findings whether 16 they were in writing or communicated orally 17 prior to your decision to approve the 18 recommendation made by Mr. Callahan to 19 terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment from 20 Brevet Holdings? 21 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the form. 22 Α. We've already answered this 23 question. 24 Q. Okay, well what is the answer? No? 25 Α. Are you telling me it's no?

Page 571 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. I'm sorry? 3 Are you telling me it's no? Α. No, I'm trying to ask you what the 4 Q. 5 answer is. Is it yes or is it no or I don't 6 know? 7 Would you repeat the question one 8 more time? 9 Q. The question, Mr. Monticciolo, was 10 whether you reviewed the findings or 11 recommendations from Greenberg Traurig, whether 12 they were written or oral prior to your 13 agreeing with or accepting Mr. Callahan's recommendation to terminate Mr. Iacovacci from 14 15 Brevet Holdings? 16 No, not to the best of my 17 recollection. 18 Q. Why not? 19 Because it seems that Mr. Callahan Α. 20 and others were presenting a recommendation. 21 Wasn't it important for you to know 22 what Greenberg Traurig's view was? 23 It is important that I have a team Α. 24 that can be trusted to follow rigor and process 25 and I trust them in doing their jobs.

Page 572 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. Well, Mr. Monticciolo, the buck 3 stops with you, right? You're the CEO of Brevet Holdings, right? 4 5 MR. SOLOMON: I object to the 6 question. 7 I would not say that. There is a 8 process for virtually everything. 9 Ο. Well, if there is a process, 10 Mr. Monticciolo, why is it that you had to 11 approve the recommendation of all of the other 12 departments that you mentioned to terminate 13 Mr. Iacovacci? If you said no, what would have 14 happened? 15 Α. A number of things. 16 0. Care to share? 17 Α. I don't want to hypothesize. 18 You may not want to hypothesize, but Q. 19 my question is what would have happen if you 20 did not accept their recommendation? 21 We could sit here for hours going Α. 22 through the hypothetical next steps if I 23 denied. 24 Q. I prefer not to sit here for hours. 25 I just prefer to get an answer to my question.

Page 573 1 Monticciolo 2 If you had not accepted the recommendation that 3 Mr. Callahan had made on behalf of whomever he was making it to terminate Mr. Iacovacci's 4 5 employment, what would have happened? 6 I'm not going to -- I can conjecture 7 as to exactly what would have happened. 8 How about generally without the word Q. 9 exactly in there? 10 Generally I'm not going to Α. 11 conjecture. 12 Well, that's unfortunate. Q. 13 Mr. Monticciolo, did you have an understanding 14 that absent your acceptance of the 15 recommendation, Mr. Iacovacci's employment was 16 not going to be terminated; yes or no? Α. 17 No. 18 So you -- was it your understanding Q. 19 that regardless of what you thought once 20 Mr. Callahan communicated the recommendation to 21 terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment, the 22 decision was made and that action was going to 23 be undertaken one way or the other regardless 24 of your view? 25 Α. That's not what I said, no.

Monticciolo

- Q. Well then you're going to need to help me understand what the middle ground there is. What was your role in the termination of Mr. Iacovacci after you received the recommendation from Mr. Callahan?
- A. Approved based on verification and review and deny if I think it didn't rise to doing the best practices.
- Q. You understood that you had the ability to deny the recommendation and that Mr. Iacovacci would not have been fired, right?

MR. SOLOMON: Asked and answered.

- A. That is -- I didn't say that. I said no. I can deny it.
- Q. Mr. Monticciolo, when I ask you a question, I'm not really asking you whether you said it or not. Generally speaking, if you said it already, I'm probably not asking you that question anyway or at least I didn't understand you had said it. So rather than quibble with whether you said it or didn't answer it, if you could just please try and focus on the question.

I want to understand your view. Did

Page 575 1 Monticciolo 2 you have an understanding in 2016 that you had 3 the ability to approve or deny the recommendation by Mr. Callahan to terminate 4 5 Mr. Iacovacci's employment from Brevet 6 Holdings? 7 Object to the MR. SOLOMON: 8 question. Asked and answered. 9 Α. I'm sorry, repeat the question. 10 Did you have an understanding in Q. 11 2016 that you had the ability to accept or deny 12 Mr. Callahan's recommendation to terminate 13 Mr. Iacovacci's employment with Brevet 14 Holdings? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Did you have an understanding that 0. 17 if you were to accept the recommendation that Mr. Iacovacci would then be fired? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Did you have an understanding if you 0. 21 were to reject or deny the recommendation that 22 Mr. Iacovacci was not then going to be fired? 23 No. Α. 24 Q. So why is it that you are being 25 presented with the opportunity -- withdrawn.

Page 576 1 Monticciolo 2 What did you understand it to mean if you rejected or denied the recommendation to 3 fire Mr. Iacovacci? 4 5 Α. What I understood that maybe the 6 recommendation didn't rise to either acceptance 7 or denial and maybe if there was a denial, 8 maybe it needed to have further support and come back for a new recommendation. 9 10 Okay, but in order for the 11 recommendation to fire Mr. Iacovacci to be 12 acted on, you understood that one way or 13 another you, Doug Monticciolo, would need to 14 accept such a recommendation; is that fair? I would need to not deny the 15 Α. 16 recommendation. 17 You would need to not deny it? 0. 18 Α. As I previously stated. It's we 19 accept the recommendation as stated, which is 20 either it's a non-denial or a denial. 21 So the two options are you don't 22 deny or you deny? 23 Α. Right. 24 If you don't deny, then it's acted Q. 25 on, right?

Page 577 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. Yes. 3 And if you deny it, then it is not 0. acted on and they can either abandon the whole 4 5 thing or come back to you with a revised 6 recommendation or an updated recommendation or 7 whatever it is for you to again either non-deny 8 or deny, right? 9 That's a possibility. 10 In order for you to decide whether Q. 11 or not you should deny the recommendation, you 12 did not feel it was important for you to review 13 Greenberg Traurig's findings or recommendations 14 directly; is that right? 15 Α. It is not my job to second guess the 16 professionals in the firm. 17 Well, isn't second quessing the 0. 18 professionals in the firm the whole purpose of 19 the deny or non-deny procedure you just 20 described? 21 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the question. 22 23 Second quessing would be Α. 24 mischaracterizing of what that process is. 25 is confirming whether or not the firm believed

Page 578 1 Monticciolo 2 what to be best practices, not second quessing. 3 Well, if you denied the Ο. recommendation and they made the 4 recommendation, you wouldn't characterize that 5 6 as you second guessing what they were 7 recommending? 8 Α. Absolutely not. 9 Ο. Why didn't you sign the termination 10 letter that was sent to Mr. Iacovacci? 11 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 12 question. 13 Α. I don't know. That is not my job. 14 Whose job is it to fire a partner? 0. 15 It's -- if it's related to LLC, it's Α. 16 one of the partners which would be in this case 17 either I believe Mark Callahan, maybe John 18 Tripp, but probably Mark Callahan. 19 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, what 20 was the second name? 21 Mark Callahan or John Tripp with two Α. 22 Ps. 23 What is the basis for your assertion 0. 24 that it is their job to sign termination 25 letters?

Monticciolo

- A. At the LLC you need to be one of the partners. I recall the specifics need to be one of the -- there is a name for it, but one of the managing partners that's why I corrected and said Mark Callahan and at the company it's just wherever corporate policies legislate.
- Q. You never signed termination letters, right?
 - A. Not to the best of my knowledge.
- Q. You typically ask other people to sign things instead of you to minimize accountability?
- A. I never asked somebody to sign something on behalf of me. It's not corporate policy. There are authorities that are in place to make sure the appropriate people and the appropriate policies -- the appropriate signatures are on documents.
- Q. Signature of the CEO of Brevet
 Holdings would not be an appropriate signature
 on a termination letter for someone who has
 been with the company for as long as Paul
 Iacovacci was?
 - A. Again, you're trying to make it

Page 580 1 Monticciolo 2 something other than just a process. It's a 3 corporate process. 4 Can I get the answer to my question? 5 Α. I think your question wasn't 6 relevant. 7 I appreciate that. We've gone Q. 8 through this before. Your view on the 9 relevance of my questions is really not going 10 to dictate how this deposition goes. for the court to decide. 11 12 Α. True. 13 Q. Can you answer my question, please? 14 Can you have the court reporter Α. 15 repeat it? 16 0. We can. 17 Α. I can't remember what the question 18 was. 19 (Whereupon the record was read back 20 by the reporter.) 21 The answer is correct, it is not the 22 length of time that legislates who signs a 23 letter. 24 Q. Prior to Mr. Callahan engaging in 25 the May 2016 review of Mr. Iacovacci's e-mails,

Page 581 1 Monticciolo 2 were you involved in any discussions whatsoever with anybody regarding the desire to 3 investigate whether the company had grounds for 4 5 terminating Mr. Iacovacci from his employment 6 or from his partnership? 7 Α. I don't believe so. 8 You believe so you said? Q. 9 Α. I do not believe so. You don't believe so? 10 Q. 11 Right. Α. 12 You didn't ask Mr. Callahan to look Q. 13 through Mr. Iacovacci's e-mails or see whether 14 or not the company had any grounds to proceed 15 with the termination rather than a negotiated 16 retirement? 17 Α. I answered this question before. 18 Unequivocally absolutely not. 19 It's just coincidence that in Q. 20 May 2016 the thick of the negotiations for the 21 terms of the separation agreement that you had 22 been negotiating with Mr. Iacovacci, 23 Mr. Callahan engaged in a periodic review of 24 Mr. Iacovacci's e-mails and identified grounds 25 for potential termination; is that your

Page 582 1 Monticciolo 2 testimony? 3 MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question. 4 5 I don't believe that's my testimony. 6 I never said it was grounds for his 7 termination. 8 What Mr. Callahan found during that Ο. 9 periodic review did not constitute grounds for 10 Mr. Iacovacci's termination? 11 MR. SOLOMON: I object to the 12 question. Asked and answered. 13 Α. I believe I've answered this as 14 I didn't jump to conclusions. concerns. 15 Q. Did you ever give Mr. Iacovacci 16 notice that you were concerned about things 17 that you had found and that you were considering -- and/or you were considering 18 19 terminating him prior to sending him the 20 termination letter of October of 2016? 21 I don't know the answer to that. Ι 22 don't believe so. 23 You're a 30(b)(6) witness on behalf 0. 24 of Brevet in connection with Topic 26. 25 don't know whether or not you provided notice

Page 583 1 Monticciolo 2 to Mr. Iacovacci of his prospective termination 3 or the concerns you had that led to it? I don't think either one of those 4 Α. 5 rose to the occasion until October. 6 Can you explain what you mean with 7 your last answer? I didn't follow that. 8 Α. I don't think we -- I don't think 9 there was a concern of either of those things 10 until we were sure there was a concern. 11 So in May you learned that 12 Mr. Iacovacci is sending things outside of 13 Brevet, confidential information trade secrets, 14 but you have no concern about potentially 15 needing to terminate his employment; is that 16 your testimony? 17 MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Misstates 18 the testimony. 19 I didn't say that. I said we just Α. 20 had concerns that needed to be looked into 21 further. 22 And I'm asking whether you ever 23 provided Mr. Iacovacci notice of your concerns 24 prior to terminating his employment in 25 October 2016?

Page 584 1 Monticciolo 2 MR. SOLOMON: Asked and answered. 3 Again, we don't knee jerk things. Α. We don't do things off of potential conjecture 4 5 especially of this magnitude without being 6 sure. Why would we? 7 Q. Again, I'm going to keep on asking 8 the question until I get an answer to my 9 question. 10 My question is, did you ever provide 11 notice to Mr. Iacovacci, whether it was in May 12 or June or July or August or September or the 13 beginning of October, that you had concerns 14 about things that you thought he was doing or 15 that you were considering terminating his 16 employment? 17 MR. SOLOMON: I object to the 18 question. Asked and answered. 19 I answered this question. I don't Α. 20 think we had any clear view until early October 21 and you know the decision or recommendation was 22 followed at that point. 23 When did you finally have a clear 0. 24 view on whether Mr. Iacovacci had engaged in

misconduct?

Page 585 1 Monticciolo 2 MR. SOLOMON: I object to the 3 question. Asked and answered. Again, this is -- I answered this 4 Α. 5 already. This was early October when the 6 recommendation was made. 7 Until Mr. Callahan made his Q. 8 recommendation or the recommendation you made 9 on behalf of those other entities to you, you 10 didn't have a clear view; is that a fair 11 summary of what your position is? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Q. Okay. Well, when Mr. Callahan made 14 the recommendation to you in early October, did 15 you contact Mr. Iacovacci immediately and 16 provide him notice of the concerns that you had 17 or the prospective termination that you were 18 going to be acting on? 19 I don't believe we did contact him Α. 20 and I believe that the recommendation was that 21 this was beyond a simple resolution. 22 Q. When you say the recommendation was 23 I am hearing more passive voices. Whose

recommendation was it that this was beyond a

and I'm forgetting your words anyway, but who

24

Page 586 1 Monticciolo 2 were you referring to? 3 Again, we answered this question. Α. The recommendation was spokesperson Mark 4 5 Callahan to terminate Paul. I see. So when Mr. Callahan made 6 7 the recommendation to terminate Paul, it was 8 accompanied by a recommendation not to tell 9 him, not to tell Paul, not to give Paul any 10 notice? 11 I didn't say that. I don't believe Α. 12 there was, you know, any discussion on that 13 specific point. Again, that would be handled 14 by either HR or compliance. 15 Q. Paul was your partner for how many 16 years at that point in time, Mr. Monticciolo? 17 What was that again? Α. 18 Q. Paul was your partner for how many 19 years at that point in time? 20 I don't know. Just a few. Α. I mean 21 he was in -- the company is 23 years old. 22 Maybe 10, 15, maybe at that point. Not even. 23 Maybe 10 plus, half the life of the firm. 24 Q. Ten plus years your partner. This 25 is the first time you were terminating a

Page 587 1 Monticciolo 2 partner? 3 You know, if there is a situation Α. where there is potentially a regulatory 4 5 violation, courtesies and you know time periods 6 really don't matter. 7 Mr. Monticciolo, I think it will be Q. 8 useful, instead of trying to anticipate my next 9 question, you just focus on the question I'm 10 asking, okay. I don't mean to say that with 11 any level of disrespect. I'm just concerned 12 with your time and candidly my time and 13 Mr. Solomon's ongoing expressed concerns about 14 timing. 15 The question I asked you was, 16 Mr. Iacovacci was a partner of yours for 10 17 plus years by the point in time that you 18 decided to terminate him, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And you had never terminated a Q. partner before, right? 21 22 Α. No. 23 And you didn't feel that it was 0. 24 appropriate to provide Mr. Iacovacci any notice 25 about the concerns that led to his termination

Monticciolo

or about the plan to act on the termination recommendation prior to sending him the letter terminating his employment on October 14th of 2016, right?

- A. This is a business. My feelings don't come into business decisions particularly when it's of a regulatory nature.
- Q. Mr. Monticciolo, didn't you just testify for 25, 30 minutes earlier today that your feelings about how long Mr. Iacovacci had been a partner of yours contributed to the desire to act very, very carefully and no knee jerk reactions and look into everything with investigations and months and months; do you remember that general testimony?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ SOLOMON: I object to the question.

A. I would say misstatement of what I said. I never said because he had been a partner for 10 years. He's a partner. He has regulatory responsibility, of a responsibility he is well aware of. That is the only reason why and the fact that it is best practice that we would do that, not that he has been here 10

Page 589 1 Monticciolo 2 years. 3 Ο. Is it your understanding that there is a regulatory requirement that you not 4 5 provide notice to a partner or employee prior to their termination when the concern driving 6 7 the termination is regulatory violation 8 related? 9 I don't know the answer to that. Ι 10 wasn't told I need to prepare on the regulatory 11 law. 12 You're not testifying that the Q. 13 reason you didn't provide any notice to 14 Mr. Iacovacci of his impending termination was 15 because there were regulatory violations 16 involved, are you? 17 Α. I did not say that. 18 Q. You're welcome to add, but I was 19 asking a yes or no question, but that's fine. 20 Did you understand the LLC agreements to 21 provide that you were supposed to give notice 22 to an employee, to a partner before 23 terminating? 24 Not that I'm aware of. Α. 25 Let's take a look at the next 0.

Page 590 1 Monticciolo 2 exhibit. Exhibit -- we are going to go back to 3 an exhibit that was previously shown. It's the termination letter. Let me know when you have 4 5 that up, please. MR. SOLOMON: What exhibit number? 6 7 MR. CYRULNIK: Exhibit 3. 8 MR. SOLOMON: It references Exhibit 9 Μ. 10 Α. Yes, it's on the screen. 11 Is this the termination letter that 0. 12 was sent to Mr. Iacovacci signed by 13 Mr. Callahan that we were referring to 14 previously? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Who drafted this termination letter? 0. 17 Α. I don't know. I wasn't involved. You didn't research that for 18 Q. 19 purposes of this deposition? 20 I did not, no. This was probably Α. 21 work product of counsel. 22 Q. Well, I want to make sure I'm 23 getting this. I thought you just told me you 24 didn't know who drafted it. Now you're telling 25 me it's work product of counsel?

Page 591 1 Monticciolo 2 MR. SOLOMON: He speculated. You 3 didn't hear his full question. I said probably work product of 4 Α. 5 counsel. I will speak up for you. 6 Do you know one way or the other 7 whether this was drafted by counsel? 8 Α. I do not. 9 Ο. So you didn't research who drafted 10 this letter in preparation for this 30(b)(6) 11 deposition on the subject of Mr. Iacovacci's 12 termination, right? 13 Α. From the questions, the topics that 14 I was asked to read, I think that would be a 15 reasonable thing to do. This letter has been 16 produced, right? 17 Q. Sorry? 18 This letter has been produced? 19 Yes, the Bates number indicates that Q. 20 it is a production and you'll see it's a Brevet 21 Bates number, so it was produced from Brevet's 22 files. 23 By this point in time October 14, 24 2016, Brevet had finally confirmed whatever it 25 wanted to confirm from its suspicions back in

Page 592 1 Monticciolo 2 May of 2016, correct? MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 3 question. 4 5 Can you repeat the question? 6 By this point in time, October 14, 7 2016, Brevet had finally confirmed whatever it 8 wanted to confirm as raised back in May of 2016, correct? 9 10 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 11 question. 12 I wouldn't say finally, but I would Α. 13 say we have drawn that conclusion at or before 14 then. 15 You were drawing conclusions about Q. 16 Mr. Iacovacci sending Brevet confidential 17 materials or trade secrets outside of Brevet, 18 right? 19 Α. No. It would be to the point where 20 the firm was willing to or prepared to make a recommendation for his termination as I 21 22 previously stated. 23 I'm not sure I followed your answer 0. 24 to my question. My question was, by this point 25 in time you had confirmed that Mr. Iacovacci

Monticciolo

had sent Brevet confidential materials or trade secrets outside of Brevet hundreds or thousands of times, right?

- A. That would be part of that recommendation.
- Q. Can you show me where in the termination letter you identified that as the findings that contributed to your decision to terminate Mr. Iacovacci?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question.

- A. I'm not a lawyer that can tell you how this termination letter refers to the specifics. There are sections mentioned here.
- Q. I appreciate that there are sections mentioned here. I'm asking if you can identify anywhere in this letter where it tells

 Mr. Iacovacci that Brevet had concluded that he had sent hundreds or thousands of iterations of Brevet confidential materials and/or trade secrets outside of Brevet and that those are the grounds -- those are one or more of the grounds for his termination?
 - A. I again point you to the paragraph

Page 594 1 Monticciolo 2 that says your conduct and I believe that's 3 encapsulated and violated numerous Brevet policies. 4 5 So you didn't bother to tell Mr. Iacovacci that he had sent Brevet 6 7 confidential materials outside of Brevet 8 thousands of times and that that was part of 9 the reason you were terminating him, instead 10 resting on the very summary sentence that you 11 identify beginning with the words "your 12 conduct" and ending with the words "trade 13 secrets," right? 14 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 15 question. 16 I don't write the employment 17 letters, but if I read this and I was Paul, I 18 would know what they are talking about. 19 But you're not Paul and I'm asking Q. 20 you a question. 21 I think I answered it. Α. 22 Q. I don't think you did, so I'll ask 23 it again. You didn't tell Paul that you had 24 found thousands of iterations of his sending 25 Brevet confidential materials or trade secrets

Page 595 1 Monticciolo outside of Brevet and if that was one of the 2 3 grounds for terminating his employment, did you? 4 5 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 6 question. Asked and answered. 7 I didn't tell Paul anything. 8 is this letter. It seems pretty clear that to 9 me numerous Brevet policies given the industry 10 that we are in, given his years in the 11 industry, that would be, if not obvious, then 12 you know coming to a conclusion. 13 Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 13. 14 (Whereupon document was marked 15 Exhibit 13 for identification as of this 16 date.) 17 Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Monticciolo? 18 19 It's not up yet. Α. 20 That's why I ask. We have Exhibit Q. 21 13 of Tab 1. Let me pull it up. That's one. 22 Α. After we review this document, can 23 we take a restroom break? 24 We can take a break after these Q. 25 questions, yeah, sure.

Page 596 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. This is the Limited Liability 3 Company Agreement. 4 Yes. Do you recognize it? Q. 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. If you scroll down to page 10? 7 Α. Document page 10? 8 Internal pagination page 10. It's Q. Article 7, Section 7.1B. 9 10 Α. It starts on page 9? 11 It does, yes. I'm going to 0. 12 specifically direct you to the end of the first 13 full sentence which continues onto page 10. 14 you'll see a little Roman 5 or a V before the 15 words "a Member's material breach." Just tell 16 me when you are ready. 17 Α. Okay. 18 Q. Do you see the LLC Agreement 19 provides that a Member can be terminated for 20 cause if, and I'm looking at 5 as I said, "a 21 Member's material breach of any provision of 22 this Agreement and such Member's failure to 23 remedy such breach within ten days after 24 receiving written notice from the Company of 25 the evidence of such breach; " do you see that?

Page 597 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. I do. 3 Did you provide Mr. Iacovacci with 0. written notice of the evidence of a breach and 4 5 offer him ten days to cure it? 6 Α. I didn't research that. I could go 7 look. 8 Well, you're the 30(b)(6) corporate Q. 9 representative on the subject of 10 Mr. Iacovacci's termination. I want to know 11 whether or not you provided Mr. Iacovacci 12 written notice from the company of the evidence 13 of material breach and whether or not you gave 14 him ten days to cure such a breach or remedy 15 such a breach. 16 I don't have that in front of me. Ι 17 think that was something I would need to 18 prepare for this. 19 I'm sorry? Q. 20 I didn't think that would be Α. something that I would have to prepare for 21 this. Well, I can check. 22 23 You didn't think that would be 0. 24 appropriate material for you to get up to speed 25 on prior to offering your testimony as the

Page 598 1 Monticciolo 2 corporate representative on the subject of 3 Mr. Iacovacci's termination, right? I believe I did a reasonable job to 4 Α. 5 prepare for this, yes. Sitting here right now as Brevet's 6 7 corporate representative, do you know one way 8 or the other whether you either provided 9 written notice from the company of the evidence 10 of material breach that Mr. Iacovacci had 11 engaged in prior to sending him the October 14 12 termination letter or whether you had given him 13 10 days to remedy such a breach? 14 Α. Sitting here right now I do not 15 know. 16 Any idea why you would not have 0. 17 given Mr. Iacovacci written notice of a breach 18 and/or an opportunity to remedy such a breach 19 within 10 days? 20 Α. I'm not going to conjecture. 21 Ο. You're the CEO of the company, 22 right? 23 Right. Α. 24 Q. Do you think you should have given 25 Iacovacci notice of this before sending him

Page 599 1 Monticciolo 2 the October 14th letter terminating his 3 employment and membership interest? I'm not going to conjecture. I'm 4 Α. 5 not a lawyer. 6 I'm not asking you to conjecture. 7 I'm not asking you to give me a legal opinion. 8 I'm asking you for your opinion as the 9 corporate representative of Brevet. 10 Do you think you should have given him notice of this? 11 12 Α. I don't know. 13 Q. Do you think you should have given 14 him an opportunity to remedy a breach within 10 15 days at least? 16 If a breach is remediable, that 17 would be -- make sense but a remediable breach, 18 that's a question for the lawyers. 19 Did you have a view one way or the Q. 20 other prior to sending this October 14 21 termination letter that we were just looking at 22 as to whether or not the material breaches that 23 you believed you had uncovered on behalf of 24 Mr. Iacovacci were amenable? 25 I believe the recommendation made Α.

Monticciolo

from the team took into account many considerations and factors and I would expect that our decision would stand as to upon my approval.

- Q. I don't know if that answered my question. Did you and the team ever discuss the question of whether or not to provide Mr. Iacovacci notice of material breaches that he had engaged in?
- A. Again, me and the team wouldn't be involved in that discussion. That is the job of other folks in the firm.
- Q. Mr. Callahan came to you with the recommendation on behalf of either himself or several other departments to terminate Mr. Iacovacci's employment.

Did you and Mr. Callahan discuss the question of whether or not you should give Mr. Iacovacci any notice of the termination that was being recommended prior to sending him the October 14th letter?

A. If it wasn't expressly part of the recommendation, then I would assume the recommendation was accurate.

Page 601 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. You just do what you are told; you 3 don't ask questions, right? MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 4 5 question. 6 I don't do everybody's job in the 7 firm if that's what you're trying to insinuate. 8 Whose job was it to ensure that Q. 9 Mr. Iacovacci got notice of any alleged 10 material breaches of this agreement in your 11 view? 12 That's assuming that your question 13 is relevant and that decision is made by again, 14 I answered this question, Mark, quidance of 15 outside counsel, HR, compliance to make the 16 right recommendation that they feel is 17 appropriate. 18 Did Brevet know at the time that Ο. 19 Mr. Iacovacci was doing business with 20 Mr. Robert Nokley? 21 At the time I do not know if it was 22 in one of those e-mails. 23 I know you asked for a break for a Q. 24 bathroom. Let's go off the record. 25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is

Page 602 1 Monticciolo 1:05 p.m. 2 We are going off the record. 3 (Lunch recess taken.) AFTERNOON SESSION 4 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 1:37 p.m. and we are back on the record. 6 7 BY MR. CYRULNIK: Welcome back, Mr. Monticciolo. Did 8 Q. 9 Mr. Iacovacci attempt to execute any business 10 transactions using Brevet's trade secrets? 11 Yes. Α. 12 What business transactions did Q. 13 Mr. Iacovacci attempt to execute using Brevet's 14 trade secrets? 15 Α. From what we are aware of, the 16 , as we noted, activities 17 , the entering with the 18 into Non-Disclosure Agreements, our 19 Non-Disclosure Agreements with third parties 20 without bringing them in as part of Brevet when 21 he was here in '15 and '16. 22 We have using our trade secrets, our 23 processing, binding the firm to nondisclosures 24 without recording them as our materials and I 25 could go on through the list of the impact of

Monticciolo

trade secrets which anything leaving the firm through a personal e-mail is the use of our trade secrets because it takes them out of the Brevet control environment.

Q. You'll certainly have your opportunity to go through, I think the last part of what you wanted to cover. Let me just be specific on these questions and again I'll remind you that I'm doing my best to try to get through this important material methodically and efficiently so I'm going to try to ask targeted questions and none of those are to the exclusion of asking other questions that you may want to cover and of course Mr. Solomon will allow you to cover more if he wants to as well.

I want to focus on the business

transactions that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to

execute using Brevet's trade secrets. I heard

you mention the _______ and the

. I want to

ask you some questions about those, but just

let me make sure.

Are there any other specific

	Page 604
1	Monticciolo
2	business transactions, transactions that
3	Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using
4	Brevet's trade secrets?
5	A. I believe it's related to
	, I forget its second name for a minute,
7	which is a related transaction. Obviously I
8	mentioned the . There
9	was a company called
	. That is
11	just a good example of a few that are
12	significant financial impact and damages to us.
13	Q. And I appreciate that. I don't want
14	examples. I want to have the complete list.
15	So I heard you mention now the
	and you think that's associated
17	with ; is that what
18	you are thinking of?
19	A. Yes, correct.
20	Q. Let's call that one and I'll ask you
21	some questions about that. You mentioned the
22	and I heard you mention
23	a third one, was it Can you spell
24	that?
25	A. It's

Monticciolo

- Q. And that's a third business transaction that you contend Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets?
- A. Those are the ones that are listed there. As I mentioned previously, there were -- trade secrets are included on Non-Disclosure Agreements which we previously produced under affidavits from Mr. Callahan on numerous times when our NDAs were being used by Mr. Iacovacci outside of his role as an employee or partner of Brevet.
- Q. Are you referring to NDAs that Mr. Iacovacci entered into binding Brevet without authorization; is that what you are referring to?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But, you don't contend that those -you may contend those are improper, but you
 don't contend that those are business
 transactions that Mr. Iacovacci was attempting
 to execute?
 - A. I am contending that those likely

Monticciolo

led into business transactions that I don't have in front of me here because I didn't think that was the goal here, but a substantial number of them would be materials being sent back and forth. Those were business transactions that did not come to Brevet that were lost opportunities.

Q. I'm not asking you about lost opportunities. Again, I would ask you politely to please focus on my question because there is a fair amount of subject matter.

There is lost opportunities. There is damages. There is business transactions Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute. I'm going methodically over here, but in order to do that, I am going to need your help. If you can focus on my question, I want a complete list, sitting here right now as Brevet's corporate representative on the Topics 1 through 5, I want a list of the business transactions that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets if any.

I heard you list so far

Monticciolo

. Are there any other business transactions that you can identify sitting here now that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question and I object to your personal definition.

- Q. Thank you. Go ahead.
- A. And attempted to execute, I would reference then what has been produced at least through affidavits and through the e-mails, all those transactions where NDAs were executed and no -- with Mr. Iacovacci and then directed to his personal e-mail. There are too many to just sit here and list off of memory, but they were produced. They are in the affidavits.
- Q. You don't need to use memory,
 Mr. Monticciolo. If there is a list you want
 to provide us, that's fine. I'm happy to go
 through those if you have it in front of you,
 but I want to know what business transactions
 you contend Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute
 using Brevet's trade secrets.
 - A. I believe that that was already

Page 608 1 Monticciolo 2 produced in Mr. Callahan's affidavit, at least 3 a subset of those. Mr. Monticciolo, I don't want 4 5 subsets. I don't want examples. This is a 6 30(b)(6) deposition. I want answers. I want 7 to know what business transactions 8 Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using 9 Brevet's trade secrets. 10 You can refer to whatever materials 11 you want to refer to as long as you tell me 12 what they are, but I want that list so I can 13 ask you about them. 14 So I believe off the top of my head 15 we then have to go to affidavit from 16 Mr. Callahan dated I believe it's the 17 January 17th one. So I'm going to do this off 18 the top of my head. 19 Is it the 2018 affidavit because if Q. 20 so, I'm happy to provide that to you. 21 Exhibit 12 in your folder. 22 Α. No, it's not that one. There is a 23 defense's response as well from April, I 24 believe. 25 MR. CYRULNIK: Let me ask your

	Page 609
1	Monticciolo
2	counsel. Do you have the document that
3	Mr. Monticciolo is cross referencing for
4	me?
5	MR. SOLOMON: Just give me a minute.
6	MR. CYRULNIK: Let's go off the
7	record.
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
9	1:45 p.m. Going off the record.
10	(Brief recess taken.)
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
12	1:46 p.m. We are back on the record.
13	BY MR. CYRULNIK:
14	Q. We are back on. Mr. Monticciolo,
15	did you have an opportunity to locate the
16	document that you had in mind with the list of
17	business transactions that you attempted
18	that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using
19	Brevet's trade secrets?
20	A. Let's start with the defendant's
21	responses objected to by the plaintiffs dated
22	9/25/2018. I believe it's already number 6.
23	Q. Okay. 9/25/2018, number 6?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Do you have that in front of you?

	Page 610
1	Monticciolo
2	A. No.
3	MR. SOLOMON: I can put it in front
4	of him.
5	A. Are you going to post it?
6	Q. It's Exhibit 11. I think what you
7	are referring to is Exhibit 11. You'll tell me
8	if that's what you had in mind. Is that what
9	you are talking about, Mr. Monticciolo?
10	A. No, that's an affidavit.
11	MR. SOLOMON: So I put in front of
12	him the answer to interrogatory number 6
13	of the third set of interrogatories.
14	MR. CYRULNIK: Okay. If you would
15	like that to help you, Mr. Monticciolo,
16	can you tell me when you are ready to
17	respond to the question?
18	A. Sure. So you would like to go down
19	the list?
20	Q. I just want to get a list from you.
21	Let me be clear about the question. I want you
22	to tell me as Brevet's corporate
23	representative, 30(b)(6) representative at this
24	deposition, what business transactions
25	Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using

	Page 611
1	Monticciolo
2	Brevet's trade secrets?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay, go for it?
5	A. We can enumerate them or we can
6	reference the list on the document we just
7	referenced.
8	Q. The document you are referencing,
9	just to be clear for the record, is an
10	interrogatory response from Brevet dated what?
11	A. September 25, 2018. It's index
12	number 158735/2016. Is that helpful?
13	Q. Is this in the State Court or in the
14	Federal Court?
15	A. State Court.
16	MR. SOLOMON: State Court. I'm also
17	going to put in front of him the
18	supplemental responses and objections to
19	the first set of interrogatories in the
20	State Court.
21	Q. Well, let's go one by one, but okay.
22	MR. SOLOMON: That's number 23. Go
23	ahead.
24	MR. CYRULNIK: Do you have the
25	ability to put these on Exhibit Share,

Page 612 1 Monticciolo 2 Lou? 3 If we do. MR. SOLOMON: If we don't, we can't do it. We can't do it, 4 5 but we are going to e-mail it to you. 6 MR. CYRULNIK: Let's go off the 7 record just so we can get them and then we 8 will go back on. 9 MR. SOLOMON: Let's not go off the 10 record. 11 MR. CYRULNIK: I would like to go 12 off the record. 13 MR. SOLOMON: We are going to keep 14 our own time. 15 MR. CYRULNIK: Mr. Solomon is 16 objecting to going off the record because 17 apparently he wants to use the time it 18 takes for him to send the exhibit that the 19 witness was looking for and is now going 20 to reference to us. 21 We will just stay on the record and 22 we will note that of course this doesn't 23 count against our time for the 30(b)(6) 24 deposition, but if it makes Lou happy, we 25 can all do it while the videographer and

Page 613 1 Monticciolo 2 court reporter are looking on. 3 We will tell you when we get the e-mails. 4 5 MR. SOLOMON: We sent both 6 documents. 7 MR. CYRULNIK: Okay, I have the 8 documents in front of me. Take it away. 9 Α. So the one from 9/25. 10 I have that one up. Q. 11 Page 8. The paragraph starting, Α. 12 "Defendants also discovered that Plaintiff was 13 diverting business opportunities," that 14 paragraph. 15 Let's take a look at the first 16 bullet point there. Can you describe to me the 17 business transaction that Mr. Iacovacci was 18 attempting to execute using Brevet's trade 19 secrets that is in your view referenced or 20 alluded to in the first bullet points on page 8 21 following the word "Defendants?" 22 Α. I can't recall that exact one. As 23 you can tell, there are pages of these. 24 has been produced as a Bates stamp, the 25 specifics of it, but we should go through each

Monticciolo

one of these under pulling up all the Bates stamps if that is necessary.

I don't want to do that, Ο. Mr. Monticciolo. This is a 30(b)(6) deposition. I would have thought that you would be prepared to answer these questions. Rather than cross referencing a list that I don't understand, your job is to be prepared to address these topics through testimony. welcome to use, you know, interrogatory responses if they will help you to respond to those questions, but if they don't give you sufficient information, then that's not adequate preparation in our view for this topic on the 30(b)(6) notice.

Let me just short circuit the lengthy inquiry that would be necessitated. I'm looking at a list of bullet points on page 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 which appear to all be referencing an e-mail, "Plaintiff's e-mail on" with a date, et cetera and I believe every single one of them starts with that, but I can't make the representation with a hundred percent certainty because I'm scrolling through

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Monticciolo

this quickly, but do any of these bullet points identify business transactions that you are testifying Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets?

- Yes. This list very clearly of which I think would be unreasonable to memorize, hundreds of these as you just pointed out, hundreds of pages, I know I can't remember more than 11 cards in a deck of cards, so I think it would be unreasonable to think I can memorize every one of these, but I think it's already been in this response shown that these are discovered to be diverting business opportunities of deal information of his personal account and maybe as you say are potential off market real estate opportunity, documents related to a potential Brevet client, an NDA for a potential Brevet client. These are documents representing transactions that are being diverted away from Brevet.
- Q. I'll try this again,
 Mr. Monticciolo. I'm not quite sure why this
 is so challenging. I'm not talking about
 diverting corporate opportunities right now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Monticciolo

I'm asking you about business transactions that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute. Do you understand the difference?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question.

- A. No, I don't.
- Q. If you don't understand the difference, let me explain to you what I mean. I don't want to know right now about potential corporate opportunities that you think Brevet had that Mr. Iacovacci diverted. I want to know whether or not Brevet is contending that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute a business transaction using Brevet's trade secrets.
- A. What I am very clearly saying is that the actions denoted here are exactly the attempt. It's the action that you use to do a business transaction. Each one of these is an action that you take to attempt to do a business transaction.
- Q. I'm asking you to identify the business transaction, not the action you undertake to do a business transaction. Not some bullet point list of corporate

Monticciolo

opportunities.

I want to know what you can tell me, as Brevet's corporate representative on these topics, as to what business transaction

Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using

Brevet's trade secrets and if the answer is I don't know, all I know is that he sent e-mails to himself, then tell me that, but if you're contending that there are business transactions that you know of that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute himself, I want to know what they are.

Α.

Q. Which one are we talking about?

A. I think you can find it yourself on the first page. It's unreasonable to be prepared to look at every one of these e-mails. I think it's unreasonable to think that hundreds of these would be things that would be specific. We've already done that. That is what has been produced.

There are other ones. An

Monticciolo

I don't think these are conjecturing or the way you make it sound.

These are business transactions that we looked into previously and I did my homework which I think was reasonable to confirm that this was a list of business transactions that were previously put together and sent under a court's submission and to memorize them all I think would be an unreasonable preparation for this.

I don't have that kind of memory. I don't think you do or anybody else does. You wanted a few examples and I think I did that already.

Q. Mr. Monticciolo, if you could please focus on the question. I'm not asking you about memorization. I told you now at least two times, probably more, that you are welcome to refer to whatever materials you want to refer to as long as you identify what you are referring to if you don't have a memory that

Monticciolo

can actually cover the material.

What I don't want you to do is cross reference interrogatory responses that we found to be completely deficient or unclear and try and use those to get out of your obligations to provide 30(b)(6) deposition testimony and so my question to you is, is it your position that every single one of the bullet points that you just referenced, that is every bullet point on page 8 beginning on the third bullet point from the top of the page going all the way down to the last bullet point on page 13 is an example of a business transaction that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets; yes or no?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What did you do to determine that each of these was a -- an example of a business transaction Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets?
- A. We looked at all of these e-mails.

 If they contained any of our trade secret

 materials which is noted on all of these, then

 that would be the use of our trade secret

Page 620 1 Monticciolo 2 materials. 3 What did you do to determine that 0. each of these bullet points is a business 4 5 transaction that Mr. Iacovacci was attempting 6 to execute? 7 Α. Again, I answered that question. 8 0. I know that you feel that you did, 9 but obviously I feel that you didn't. So try 10 again. 11 I'll stand on my point. I answered Α. 12 that question. 13 Q. What did you do to determine that 14 each of these bullet points identifies a business transaction Mr. Iacovacci was 15 16 attempting to execute? 17 Are you asking a slightly different 18 question? I think I answered that as part of 19 my prior question. 20 Mr. Monticciolo, rather than use 21 time to tell me whether you think you answered 22 a question, whether you didn't answer a 23 question, just answer the question, please. 24 Α. I did answer the question. 25 looked at the e-mails. We looked at the

Monticciolo

materials attached. If they are related to our trade secrets, the action of the e-mail is an attempt to do the business. That's why we chose them.

- Q. Mr. Monticciolo, is each of these bullet points reflective of a different business transaction Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets in your view?
- A. I didn't look to see if they were unique, but I believe they are.
 - Q. What is the basis for that belief?
- A. Because my quick review of them appears that they were unique.
- Q. I'm having trouble understanding what it is you are reviewing and what it is you are referring to, so let's try this a different way.

Can you tell me with respect to the first bullet point on page 8 under the word "Defendants", can you describe to me the business transaction that you contend Mr. Iacovacci was attempting to execute using Brevet's trade secrets?

Monticciolo

- A. Let's pull up Bates number 025010 again. I don't have the ability. I think it's unreasonable to memorize every one of these.
- Q. So you're unable to do that without looking at the referenced document; is that what you are telling me?
- A. Yes. There are hundreds of them.

 It is unreasonable that I can remember all of these. The ones that stood out that have damages that appear to be in the hundreds of millions of dollars we provided as examples.

 That we thought that was sufficient and reasonable for this line of questioning.
- Q. Look, we're don't need to debate the efficiency or the relevance or any of those things. I'm sure Mr. Solomon will have your back when it comes time for the court to make those decisions, Mr. Monticciolo.

Let me make sure I am understanding one piece of what you just testified to. Is page -- are the pages that we just talked about in this interrogatory response only examples of the business transactions that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade

Page 623 1 Monticciolo 2 secrets as an incomplete list; is that right? 3 MR. SOLOMON: So I object to the question. We identified a couple of 4 5 different ones. You want to just put 6 those both together? 7 MR. CYRULNIK: You're talking about 8 the supplemental response, Mr. Solomon? 9 MR. SOLOMON: I am. 10 You're welcome to -- I will include Q. 11 the supplemental response in the question. 12 Between the September 25, 2018, pages 8 through 13 pages 13 list and the supplemental response 14 that Mr. Solomon just I think put in front of 15 you --16 What date is that MR. CYRULNIK: 17 from, Lou? 18 MR. SOLOMON: Hold on. 19 September 29, 2007. Then to complete it 20 although I don't think -- I think it's 21 cumulative is the affidavit that we have 22 not sent you and will in opposition to the 23 Motion for Entry of Declaratory Judgment 24 and that is September 17, 2018. So if you 25 put all those together, you want to ask

	Page 624
1	Monticciolo
2	whether
3	MR. CYRULNIK: I want to make sure I
4	got the dates there. I have a
5	September 24, 2018 interrogatory response
6	number 6 we have been talking about. Did
7	you say there was a supplemental response?
8	What was the date of that?
9	MR. SOLOMON: September 28, 2017.
10	It's a supplemental response. I can give
11	you the full name. Didn't we send this to
12	you?
13	MR. CYRULNIK: It's not a
14	supplemental response to this
15	interrogatory number 7.
16	MR. SOLOMON: It is not. It's
17	Supplemental Responses and Objections to
18	Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories.
19	MR. CYRULNIK: Which pages on that
20	one are you referencing?
21	MR. SOLOMON: 13
22	MR. CYRULNIK: That's a question for
23	Mr. Monticciolo. He doesn't need your
24	help on that.
25	A. I'm sorry, I missed that.

Monticciolo

- Q. Which pages on the September 29,
 2017 document that Mr. Solomon just provided
 you are you referencing as a list of
 transactions, business transactions
 Mr. Iacovacci attempted to engage in using
 Brevet's trade secrets?
 - A. Page 13 response to 23.
- Q. Is it your testimony each of those Bates numbers actually is a reference to a business transaction in which Mr. Iacovacci attempted to engage using Brevet's trade secrets?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So I have a list in response to interrogatory number 23 on the 9/29/17 responses to interrogatories. Then I have the list on pages 8 through 13 that you identified in the 9/25/18 responses to interrogatories and what else did you want me to look at?
- A. Page 15 answer the question 29, the bottom of the response, the last part of it subject to without waiver further goes into clarification of what those documents were that were attached to those e-mails.

Page 626 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. I think your counsel is going to be sending that one to us as well. Between those 3 three --4 5 MR. SOLOMON: Wait. Stop, stop. 6 Α. I got more. 7 Stop. He's now on the MR. SOLOMON: 8 same document you were just on. He just 9 gave you subsequent pages. 10 What pages were you referring to on 11 the 9/29/17 response to 23? 12 What I'm referencing is on the Α. 13 document page 16. It's a response to question 14 29 and it's the bottom half of the response, 15 starting with the word "Subject to and without 16 waiver." 17 Q. Are you looking at the response to 18 30 perhaps? 19 No, right above it and the response Α. 20 to 30. The response to 30 --21 Let's slow down. Let's look at 29. 22 I'm on 29. I don't know -- what sentence are 23 you referring to? 24 Α. Where like, approximately 10 up from 25 the bottom beginning with "Subject to."

Monticciolo

- Q. "Subject to and without waiver of the aforegoing projections, Defendants identify Brevet's sourcing network." Is that one?
- A. Yes, right. This is the clarification of what some of the attachments were on the e-mails, but if you want to go and just give Bates numbers of the e-mails, we can just move on to question 30.
- Q. I don't want to move on 30 while I'm in the middle of 29. Is it your contention that the response to number 29 identifying Brevet's sourcing network and then there is a bunch of other things which we will go through, let's start with sourcing network. Is that a business transaction in which Mr. Iacovacci was attempting to engage?
- A. No. Again, I was clarifying that that could be helpful on those e-mails. We could then -- so I retract that. To help clarify let's go to question 30.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Our response, which is, I think, maybe where you were already. Which is in the middle of that response toward the bottom of

Page 628 1 Monticciolo 2 page 16 is "Subject to and without waiver." 3 0. Okav. Is it your contention that each of the documents listed there by Bates 4 5 number identifies a different business 6 transaction in which Mr. Iacovacci attempted to 7 engage using Brevet's trade secrets? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. So we got 23 and 30? 10 Α. And we have 31 on the next page. 11 Same thing. Every one of 0. Okay. 12 those pages or series of pages Bates ranges 13 identifies different business transactions in 14 which Mr. Iacovacci was attempting to engage 15 using Brevet's trade secrets in your view, 16 right? 17 It seems like you know which ones 18 I'm talking about already. It's the ones 19 towards the bottom of the response of question 20 31 beginning with "Defendants further refer 21 Plaintiff ." 22 Q. Okay. Anything else? 23 Yes, so there is a third document Α. 24 that was provided. 25 Okay. Is this a document that is Q.

Page 629 1 Monticciolo 2 dated 1/17 of 2018? It's an affirmation from 3 Callahan? Α. Correct. 4 5 Where are you looking there? I am -- again this is a summary of 6 Α. 7 the results that we pull the specific e-mail. 8 It's a reference to some are specifically noted 9 here. On page 5, question 12 and so this 10 relates to the monthly transactions we 11 mentioned previously. 12 Q. That's number 12. 13 Α. On question 13, which again 14 references e-mails to his personal Yahoo! 15 e-mail address. 16 So that also identifies 17 business transaction in which transactions in 18 which Mr. Iacovacci was attempting to engage 19 using Brevet's trade secrets? 20 Yes, right in the middle there, Α. 21 "Devin Scott of Stealth Power, a prospective 22 client for Brevet's EB-5 visa funding program." 23 So 14 outlines further e-mails of which are 24 Bates numbered here. 25 Q. What are the transactions, business

Page 630 1 Monticciolo 2 transactions in which Mr. Iacovacci attempted 3 to engage as identified in 14 that you are referring to? 4 5 Sure. So, I'll read this carefully. So there aren't details in here while I'm 6 7 pulling additional information, so let's move 8 on to 15. 9 Ο. Are you retracting 14? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. You want me to look at 15 0. 12 now? 13 Α. Yes. We will look at that together. 14 I would have preferred if you had 0. 15 looked at these ahead of time, but I am happy 16 to sit here while you are doing it. 17 It's unfortunate I don't have an Α. 18 infinite memory, so I did my best to be aware 19 as you pointed out hundreds of e-mails that I 20 was supposed to be immediately aware of. So in 21 here is noted the models being sent to Sproutt 22 Financial. It is mentioned here as 23 S-P-R-O-U-T-T Financial, which is provided 24 under exhibit on this agreement as has been produced here. 25

Monticciolo

- Q. So the Sproutt Financial, that is a transaction, a business transaction in which Mr. Iacovacci attempted to engage Brevet's trade secrets in your view; is that right?
- A. Yes, there are others listed on this page. So a -- let me read this carefully.

 I'll take 15 to be just capsulating that one,
 just that one reference on paragraph 15.
- Q. Sproutt Financial reference; is that right?
- A. Correct. There are exhibits that give more detail, but I don't have them in front of me.
- Q. Let's take a look at the first bullet point on the 9/25/18 list that you started with. That's page 8. Can you and we've put up as Exhibit 15 the Bates number that you asked for Bates stamp 205010 and if you can tell me after reviewing the document that you asked for if you can describe for me the business transaction in which Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets.

(Whereupon document was marked

Page 632 1 Monticciolo Exhibit 15 for identification as of this 2 3 date.) MR. SOLOMON: I will object because 4 5 I think it goes beyond the scope of your 6 questions on the 30(b)(6) notice. 7 MR. CYRULNIK: I don't see how that is possibly true, but okay, your objection 8 9 is noted for the record. 10 MR. SOLOMON: Well, it's possibly 11 true because I don't (inaudible). 12 We posted as Exhibit 15 a document Q. 13 you said you needed access to in order to 14 explain how the first bullet point on page 8 of the 9/25/18 list that you identified is in fact 15 16 identifying a business transaction that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using 17 Brevet's trade secrets. 18 19 Well, he's sending to himself Α. 20 material information about one of the most 21 proprietary programs of Brevet, Brevet 22 information about these transactions, specific 23 company names and specific information about 24 these transactions which --25 Go ahead? Ο.

Monticciolo

- A. Which is, you know, it's the essence of Brevet. This is a backbone business of Brevet.
- Q. I think we are talking past each other. Mr. Monticciolo, I want you to explain to me the nature of the business transaction that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets. I don't want you to talk to me about how important the trade secrets are on this question or backbones of Brevet.

I want you to explain to me what was the business transaction that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute. You referenced this bullet point. I provided you with all the information you asked for.

MR. SOLOMON: Note my continuing objection. It goes beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice.

- A. The business transaction is to utilize our documents, our policies, customer names and participants we used, potentially with third parties for himself.
 - Q. Potentially with third parties. Is

	Page 634
1	Monticciolo
2	it your position that Mr. Iacovacci attempted
3	to execute a business transaction potentially
4	with third parties, potentially without third
5	parties?
6	MR. SOLOMON: Same objection. It
7	goes beyond the scope.
8	A. It's not my place to conjecture. I
9	could find no other reason why somebody would
10	send this to their personal directory or home
11	outside of Brevet with one of the most critical
12	parts of the firm.
13	Q. Let's talk basic details.
14	Mr. Monticciolo, who is the third-party?
15	A. The third-party is this transaction
16	
17	Q. I'm sorry?
18	A.
19	Q.
20	A.
21	
22	
23	
24	Q. Well, I don't want to know who is
25	mentioned. I'm trying to understand the

Monticciolo

?

- A. He was attempting to do a financing similar to what we do with R&D, which is probably why he needs these documents, but this is conjecture because it doesn't say specifically on here, but this is confidential information and transactions and borrowers that we did execute business with regarding this.
- Q. I don't want conjecture. I want answers. You're a corporate representative here. Your job is not to sit here conjecturing. I want to know whether or not your position is that Mr. Iacovacci did, in fact, attempt to execute business transactions with using Brevet trade secrets or whether you think that Mr. Iacovacci might have attempted to execute a business transaction with using Brevet's trade secrets?

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question going beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice.

Monticciolo

- A. I can't answer that from the definition of reviewed. I would see no other reason why this would be taken outside of Brevet.
- Q. You could appreciate that I can't rely on whether the fact that you can't see any other purpose is going to convince anybody that this is actually an example of a business transaction that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute. You understand that, right?
- A. I understand that this is misappropriation of our trade secrets of the essence of Brevet.
- Q. If I asked you questions about misappropriation of trade secrets in particular that would have been an appropriate response. What I have been asking you about for the last 25 or 30 minutes is for you to identify the business transactions that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets, not the trade secrets themselves, and instead I have gotten cross references to lengthy lists that appear to be different from business transactions.

Monticciolo

That's why I am presenting you with just the first one, the very first one, Mr.

Monticciolo, you pointed me to. You said you couldn't answer my question when I showed you the bullet point that you referenced. You needed the document. I gave you the underlying document and now I'm asking you the question again.

So for a clear cut question, the question is: Sitting here right now as Brevet's corporate representative, do you know one way or the other whether or not Mr. Iacovacci was attempting to execute a business transaction in the document that is referenced here currently marked as Doug Monticciolo Exhibit 15?

MR. SOLOMON: Object to the question. It goes beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice.

A. I'm not taking conjecture, but if you want to because it would be a long answer, we can chose others on this list. The one I pointed out as the first one I pointed out which goes to potential market real estate

Page 638 1 Monticciolo 2 opportunity. 3 Mr. Monticciolo, you're spinning. Ο. I'm asking you a question. Do you know one way 4 5 or the other with respect to the first bullet 6 point that you identified and the underlying 7 document that I provided you with at your 8 request, whether or not, yes or no, do you know 9 whether or not Mr. Iacovacci was attempting to 10 execute a business transaction using Brevet's 11 trade secrets from this document? 12 MR. SOLOMON: I want a continuing 13 objection. 14 MR. CYRULNIK: Lou, a standing 15 objection on follow-up questions regarding 16 the same topic is all you need. I get it. 17 You made your objection. Please let try 18

- to keep this moving.
- Go ahead, Mr. Monticciolo. Q.
- I can't determine from this one Α. I would have to look further. document.
- Q. So you don't know, sitting here right now, whether this, in fact, is an example of a business transaction Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 639 1 Monticciolo 2 secrets, right? 3 Α. I gave my answer. That wasn't my 4 answer. 5 You do know or you don't know; yes 0. 6 or no? 7 I said I would have to look further. 8 Because you haven't looked further, Q. 9 you don't know, sitting here today, whether 10 this is an example of a business transaction 11 Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using 12 Brevet's trade secrets, right? 13 Α. It's one of the hundreds I pointed 14 out, right. 15 Q. First one, exactly. In fact, the 16 lists that you pointed me to, Mr. Monticciolo, 17 those are lists of e-mails that Mr. Iacovacci 18 sent not of business transactions per se that 19 he was engaging in, right? 20 No, that's not. These are attempts Α. 21 to do business. 22 Q. How do you know that? 23 Sending an NDA is the first step in Α. 24 attempting to do business, in your definition. 25 In my definition, attempting to do business

Monticciolo

starts with sending an NDA. So there is just that list of those that we can point out.

- Q. Right now I'm focusing on the ones you pointed to initially. Do you want to retract your testimony that pages 8 through 13 of the 9/25/18 interrogatory response? Each one of those bullets identifies a business transaction in which Mr. Iacovacci attempted to engage using Brevet's trade secrets or do you want to stick by that testimony?
 - A. I want to stick by that testimony.
- Q. You're going to stick by that testimony?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. Let's take a look at the second bullet point. You reference an e-mail October 7, 2015. Do you see that?
 - A. On page 8?
- Q. Yes. We are still on page 8, page 8 of the 9/25/18 interrogatory responses, the list there, second bullet point. Can you tell me what business transaction Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using Brevet's trade secrets as described in the second bullet point

Monticciolo

2 on page 8 under the words "Defendants?"

MR. SOLOMON: I object to the question as beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6) notice and I'll continue to object on that basis for any question on this second one.

- A. My response is the same as before.

 If you want, let's pull up the Bates number and look at the documents that were received for a potential Brevet filing.
- Q. Before looking at the document sitting here today, do you know one way or the other whether, in fact, the second bullet point we are looking at reflects a business transaction in which Mr. Iacovacci attempted to engage using Brevet's trade secrets?
- A. And I answered this question before.

 I cannot be expected -- it's beyond reasonable
 to have knowledge of every one of these e-mails
 back five years.
- Q. I am sure the court will have its view on reasonableness. That's fine. I just want to have a clear answer to my question. Do you know sitting here today?

Page 642 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. Sitting here today what? 3 Whether or not the second bullet 0. point on page 8 here under the word 4 5 "Defendants" identifies a business transaction 6 that Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using 7 Brevet's trade secrets? 8 Α. Given that this list is already 9 selected to be that and it says "Attaching 10 documents received from a potential Brevet 11 client," I would say it more likely than not 12 does. It's conjecture. We can pull the Bates 13 and look at it. 14 Go for it. Exhibit 16 all yours. 0. 15 (Whereupon document was marked 16 Exhibit 16 for identification as of this 17 date.)

- I pulled for you the reference Bates Q. number, Mr. Monticciolo, and you're welcome to use this to help conform your answer.

Sitting here today, do you know one way or the other whether the second bullet point on page 8 identifies a business transaction which Mr. Iacovacci attempted to engage using Brevet's confidential trade

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 643 1 Monticciolo 2 secrets? 3 Α. Give me a second. And your question again? 4 5 Sitting here today as Brevet's 6 corporate representative, do you know one way 7 or the other whether the second bullet point on 8 page 8 identifies a business transaction which 9 Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using 10 Brevet's trade secrets? 11 It looks like he was attempting to Α. 12 utilize this business outside of Brevet. 13 Q. Again, I know you have testimony 14 that you want to give on trade secrets and 15 taking it and misappropriation and all that. 16 I'm asking you a specific question. 17 Is there a business transaction 18 Mr. Iacovacci attempted to execute using 19 Brevet's trade secrets that is identified in 20 the second bullet point on page 8 or in the 21 e-mail that you asked me to pull up? 22 Α. It appears that there was a business 23 opportunity that he was attempting to pursue 24 because there would be no other reason to 25 forward these materials outside of Brevet.

Page 644 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. Can you describe to me the business 3 transaction Mr. Iacovacci was attempting to 4 execute? Who was the counterparty? 5 Α. 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 So tell me about the business Q. 16 transaction Mr. Iacovacci was attempting to 17 execute using Brevet's trade secrets? Who were 18 the counterparties? and 19 Mr. Iacovacci; is that the deal? 20 It would be, and this is just Α. 21 conjecturing from these materials. 22 I don't want you to conjecture. Ι 23 want you to tell me what you know. 24 What I know I don't recall the Α. 25 details of this, of the one several hundred,

Page 645 1 Monticciolo 2 but the document seems to stand on its own. When you say you don't recall, is it 3 0. your testimony that you once knew the nature of 4 5 the business transaction, but you no longer 6 recall it or that you never knew whether there 7 was a business transaction that Mr. Iacovacci 8 was attempting to execute here using Brevet's 9 trade secrets? 10 I don't recall. This has been six Α. 11 years. 12 You don't know one way or the other; 13 is that right? 14 My response stands. Α. 15 Q. The last time you identified a list 16 of trade secrets that Brevet contends 17 Mr. Iacovacci appropriated; do you recall that? 18 Α. I'm sorry, say the question again. 19 At the last deposition in October, Q. 20 you provided a lengthy list of trade secrets 21 that Brevet contends Mr. Iacovacci 22 appropriated. Do you recall that? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Do you have anything to add or 25 subtract to the list you provided at the

Page 646 1 Monticciolo 2 deposition? 3 Α. I do. Okay. Let's start with 4 Q. 5 subtractions. Anything you want to take off 6 the list? 7 Α. No. 8 Let's go to additions. You want to Q. 9 add things to the list of what I think were 32 10 different trade secrets that you referenced; is 11 that right? 12 I think you pointed out 26, but I Α. 13 haven't counted them. 14 Maybe my team did a better job. 0. I'm 15 sorry, you're right, 26. 16 So to be clear, our offering 17 materials, our memoranda, so our offering 18 materials are important. Our limited 19 partnership agreements, our subscription 20 agreements, our asset structures, the legal 21 opinions related to those asset structures, all 22 alternative investment vehicles and any related 23 opinions. Our board compositions and our board 24 types, our accounting policies and their use 25 and our tax opinions and tax structure.

Page 647 1 Monticciolo 2 Those are things that I think should 3 be explicitly stated because those are important to the trade secrets of Brevet. 4 5 How did you get this list of 6 supplemental items? 7 Α. It's from protecting my business. 8 No, how did you come up with this Q. 9 list of supplemental items between the October 10 deposition and today's deposition? 11 I just thought more. I went back Α. 12 and looked at the lists of everything that had 13 been provided in detail and I wanted to make 14 sure that these documents were clearly denoted. I did it myself. 15 16 You did it yourself? 0. 17 Α. I did it myself. 18 Q. Did you speak with counsel about it? 19 No. Α. 20 You identified tax opinions last Q. 21 time, right? 22 Α. I don't recall. I may have. Ι 23 wanted to be clear that these additions -- I'm 24 sorry if they were duplicative. 25 Are these additional Q. No problem.

Page 648 1 Monticciolo 2 categories or categories you listed today, the 3 categories that are supposed to go on the list of 26 trade secret categories with damages or a 4 5 list of six categories without damages? 6 Α. These are definitely with damages. 7 Let's start with all of our investor Q. 8 Do you recall identifying that as a 9 trade secret? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Please describe this purported trade 0. 12 secret? 13 Α. Investor lists are one of the most 14 confidential proprietary items protected under 15 regulatory rules as well. This goes under who 16 Brevet's investors are. 17 Where is this list located? Is it one list or is it a series of lists? What are 18 19 we talking about? 20 There are lists maintained for each Α. 21 of the investment vehicles. 22 Q. So each investment vehicle has its own list of investors? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 Where is each investor list 0.

Page 649 1 Monticciolo 2 maintained? Where is it located? 3 Α. It's maintained by the third-party administrator. 4 5 Who is that? 0. 6 Α. 7 8 Each of the investment vehicles Q. houses its investor list with ? 9 10 Α. Correct. 11 And how does a Brevet employee go 0. 12 about accessing that investor list? 13 Α. You would have to be in compliance 14 or in a need to know request situation to get 15 that access to that list. 16 Where is that, the need to know 0. 17 request access list, maintained? 18 Α. It is maintained again at 19 That's the formal books and records. I believe 20 there is a shadow copy kept by compliance, but 21 the master list, the definitive list is 22 maintained by the administrator. 23 Where is the list that you are Ο. 24 referring to or the lists that you are 25 referring to? Where are they located in the

Monticciolo

parties' document production or other discovery responses in this litigation?

- A. I would have to go back and look through all these e-mails, but there were e-mails with specific investor name -- the contact information provided.
- Q. Was the list that you were referring to misappropriated or are you talking about the misappropriation of individualized contact information for certain investors?
- A. The list informs -- I don't believe it was the complete list, but maybe Paul's rendition of it and the contact information for those investors.
- Q. I didn't understand your answer. Say that again, please, the first part.
- A. It was a list that may have been put together by Paul and the contact information separately.
- Q. You said a list that was put together by Paul? I'm not following. Who puts together the investor list that you are identifying as trade secrets and that you say were housed with

Page 651 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. So, it's put together and maintained 3 I do not know if Paul accessed a version of it as a partner or had access. 4 That 5 would probably not be easily traceable. You don't know whether Paul was on 6 7 the list of need to know people for the 8 investor lists that were housed at 9 Α. Correct. 10 Okay. Do you know whether Paul ever 11 reviewed those investor lists that were housed 12 3 at 13 Α. I don't know. 14 Is it fair to say that you don't 0. 15 have any reason -- withdrawn. 16 Is it fair to say that you don't 17 know whether Paul took those investor lists 18 or from the shadow copy housed with 19 compliance and kept it for himself, do you? 20 That would be conjecture by the way. Α. 21 To what extent is this trade secret 22 known within Brevet? 23 Which trade secret are you talking Α. 24 about? 25 The investor lists. Q.

	Page 652
1	Monticciolo
2	A. No one. It's limited.
3	Q. Can you elaborate on that, to what
4	extent is it known?
5	A. I answered the question already.
6	It's a need to know.
7	Q. How many people have access to the
8	investor lists?
9	A. You would have to ask compliance to
10	give the exact number at any exact point in
11	time.
12	Q. Give me a rough estimate.
13	A. Two or three.
14	Q. Two or three people had access to
15	the investor list and you don't know whether
16	Paul Iacovacci was one of them?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. Do you have any were you one of
19	those two or three people?
20	A. No, I'm on a need to know, no.
21	Q. You said you are on the need to
22	know?
23	A. If I need to see it, I can get
2 4	access, but I'm not one of the people that
25	holds that access.

Page 653 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. So you're saying two or three people 3 have regular access and then a bunch of other people would be able to access it if they say 4 5 they need to; is that right? 6 MR. SOLOMON: Object to the 7 question. 8 I didn't say that. Your Α. 9 characterization of say they need to would not 10 be a proper representation of the process. 11 What would be a proper 12 representation of the process for the need to 13 know people? 14 They would have to justify a need to 15 know, not just say they want it. 16 They have to justify a need Ο. I see. 17 to know to whom? 18 Α. To compliance. 19 You don't have any evidence that Q. 20 Paul actually -- withdrawn. 21 What has Brevet done to quantify the 22 value of this purported trade secret investor 23 list? 24 Α. Obviously investors are critical So knowledge of who our 25 part of Brevet.

Page 654 1 Monticciolo 2 investors are, if someone wanted to disrupt or 3 tried to convince our investors to leave, the value could easily be looked at as being the 4 5 entire value of Brevet. 6 Right, but if -- did you quantify 7 the value of the actual list? 8 Α. Again, I would say it's the value of 9 Brevet. 10 The entire value of Brevet is housed Ο. 11 within this investor list? 12 Α. It's the source of all of our 13 revenue. It's not just the sole source of 14 value. 15 What did Mr. Iacovacci do to Q. 16 misappropriate this purported trade secret? 17 Α. Took it outside of Brevet's domain. 18 Q. I guess I'm not following. I 19 thought you testified a few moments ago that 20 you don't know whether he took it outside of 21 the Brevet domain or whether he even accessed 22 it. Did I get that right? 23 No, I said I would have to look at Α. 24 the e-mails to see where he did copy it because 25 I do recall in my review of the affidavits and

Page 655 1 Monticciolo 2 responses. 3 Again, I can't point to specifically which one that mentioned that those were --4 5 that the investor list and investors were 6 specifically identified as the e-mail by Paul. 7 Mr. Monticciolo, you're the 30(b)(6) Q. 8 corporate representative of Brevet here to 9 testify on Topics 1 through 5. 10 Can you identify whether 11 Mr. Iacovacci misappropriated the investor 12 lists that you just described; yes or no? 13 Α. As I sit here without reviewing the 14 hundreds of e-mails, I cannot point to the 15 specific one, but I can testify that he did in 16 part or in whole. I cannot answer that right 17 now as I sit here. 18 When did he misappropriate the Q. 19 investor list? 20 Again, we are going back on this Α. 21 question of going to have to look through the 22 hundreds of e-mails to identify which one was 23 which date. 24 You're saying there are multiple 25 investor lists that he misappropriated; you

Page 656 1 Monticciolo 2 just don't know which dates he misappropriated which lists? 3 I clarified and said in part or in 4 5 Whether he sent individual investor information or lists, I would have to go back 6 7 and look at the e-mails. 8 Did Paul deal with the investors, Q. 9 the Brevet investors? 10 At one point in his career early in. Α. 11 How early? 0. 12 Α. Early. My recollection is much 13 before the 2015 timeframe. 14 But in the 2014, 2015, 2016 time 0. 15 period Paul wasn't dealing with investors, 16 right? 17 I would have to go back and check 18 those specific dates to be accurate. 19 If Mr. -- well, withdrawn. Q. 20 If somebody e-mails to himself an 21 electronic business card of a single investor, 22 would that count as misappropriating the 23 investor list in your view? 24 Α. I am not in the position to make 25 that judgment. That is why lawyers are here.

Monticciolo

It depends what is in that information.

- Q. I appreciate the fact that you delegated that to your lawyers. I'm trying to understand your testimony. You told me that you do know that Mr. Iacovacci misappropriated in whole or in part investor lists to some extent and I'm trying to understand what you have in mind. Is Mr. Iacovacci forwarding to himself a business card that had contact information for an investor or whether you have something else in mind? Is my question clear?
- A. Our investors are confidential. Any of that information leaving the firm is confidential information leaving the firm.
- Q. So is the answer to my question yes if Mr. Iacovacci e-mailed himself a business card that had the contact information for one of Brevet's many investors, you would understand that to be an example of Mr. Iacovacci misappropriating the investor lists in part that you were referring to as a Brevet trade secret; is that right?
- A. Again there is many -- the reason what was sent home in that card, but again our

Page 658 1 Monticciolo 2 investor list is confidential and so, yes. 3 What harm or damages resulted from Ο. Mr. Iacovacci's e-mailing himself one or more 4 5 electronic business cards that had contact information for one or more of Brevet's 6 7 investors, if any? If any, contacting those investors 8 Α. in trying to divert business away from us or 9 10 attract them to the 11 others. 12 I'll ask my question again. What 13 harm or damages, if any, resulted from 14 Mr. Iacovacci's e-mailing himself a business card containing contact information from one or 15 16 more investors? 17 The harm is that some investors did Α. 18 not invest with us. 19 Which investors -- I'm sorry, I Q. 20 didn't mean to cut you off. I thought you were 21 done. 22 Α. I just mentioned them. I mentioned 23 the two off of the top of my head. 24 Q. I heard 25 Let's go through each of those.

Page 659 1 Monticciolo 2 Let's start with the 3 It's your contention that the reason did not invest with you, Brevet, is 4 5 because Mr. Iacovacci misappropriated the 6 contact information for the 7 is that -- did I summarize that 8 correctly? 9 No, I think you just conflated those 10 two. Can you ask your question again? 11 If I did, I didn't mean to. Is it 12 your testimony that the 13 did not invest with Brevet because 14 Mr. Iacovacci had misappropriated its contact 15 information? 16 It's our belief and our sales 17 force's belief that the 18 acted in a way as if they were no longer 19 pursuing us in light of the possibility to 20 pursue Mr. Iacovacci and there are others. 21 Let's just go one by one because 22 otherwise it is going to get wieldy. So on 23 , when did you first 24 reach out to I have to go back and check the call 25 Α.

Page 660 1 Monticciolo 2 logs, but we have been talking to them for a 3 very long time. What does that mean? 4 Q. 5 Α. Pretty confidently before the 2015/16 time period. 6 7 When did you stop talking to them? Q. 8 Α. I don't think we ever stopped 9 talking to them per se, but the ability to then 10 pursue investing with us from conversations with our sales team ended on or about the time 11 12 period that Paul was reaching out to them. 13 Q. Which was when? 14 Α. I'd have to go back and look at 15 those call logs. 16 Well, can you give me your best 0. 17 recollection as a 30(b)(6) witness here? 18 Α. Over the last couple of years. Ιt 19 doesn't happen like in a single phone call. 20 What is it that you draw as the 0. 21 causal link between Mr. Iacovacci taking 22 contact information from the 23 and the fact that the 24 refused to invest with Brevet? 25 Α. So this is trade secrets right and

Page 661 1 Monticciolo 2 there are others like I mentioned which is a 3 concern of people that they could -- someone has access to our trade secrets which is a 4 5 backbone, the essence of differentiation as a 6 manager. So who knows what Paul will be doing 7 or saying to do that. 8 Q. Did tell you 9 we are not investing with you because 10 Mr. Iacovacci had access to your investor list 11 and so we don't think that you guys are 12 properly maintaining your investor lists as 13 trade secrets? 14 That isn't what I said. Α. I'm trying to understand then what 15 Q. 16 is it that you viewed Mr. Iacovacci as the 17 reason for --18 One second. Sorry, would you repeat Α. 19 your question? 20 The question was what is Q. Yes. 21 the -- how did Mr. Iacovacci taking -- let me 22 actually take one step back. When did 23 Mr. Iacovacci take the 24 misappropriated 25 contact information?

Page 662 1 Monticciolo 2 Α. I would have to go back and look at that. That is -- there is a lot of contacts 3 that were sent to the e-mail. 4 Do you know sitting here today 5 6 whether he took the 7 contact information from Brevet? 8 Α. Yes. 9 You do. Can you get that on his 10 No chance he got the own? contact information on his own? 11 12 Α. Given that we were talking to them 13 when he was at the firm? 14 Given whatever you like to. 0. 15 there any chance Mr. Iacovacci got 16 contact information on his own? 17 Α. In getting the details, I would say 18 unlikely. 19 Getting what details? Q. 20 Α. Phone numbers, e-mail addresses. 21 You say unlikely, but you really 22 don't know sitting here one way or the other 23 for certain whether or not Mr. Iacovacci got 24 those details from Brevet or from his own 25 research, right?

Monticciolo

- A. I'm saying that we know that he had it when he was here. I don't know what the difference would be if he took it or, you know, and e-mailed it, wrote it down.
- Q. Well, let me make sure I understand.

 Mr. Iacovacci had the

 contact information while he was working for

 Brevet and then three, four, five years later

 he reached out to the

 using some other source for the phone number

 that he would reach out to or the e-mail he

 would send an e-mail to.

Is it your view that Mr. Iacovacci misappropriated a trade secret in the form of Brevet's investor lists?

- A. If that is the only thing he did, it would have to be very fact and circumstanced based as to the details around that. I would have to see it myself.
- Q. Fair enough. So without knowing -sitting here today, without knowing the details
 of when and how Mr. Iacovacci reached out to
 the post his employment
 with Brevet, you would agree with me that he

	Page 664
1	Monticciolo
2	misappropriated
3	contact information with Brevet; is that fair?
4	A. No, I believe that we know that he
5	did send his contact information from the
6	contact information
7	to his personal e-mail address. I don't know
8	if we can confirm it.
9	Q. When you say you have to confirm it,
10	you are sitting here today as a 30(b)(6)
11	witness. Are you for certain that
12	Mr. Iacovacci got the
13	information from Brevet or is it possible he
14	got it on his own?
15	A. I think it's unreasonable to ask me
16	to look at the as noted thousand contacts that
17	were e-mailed and memorize every one. I did
18	give you some examples of transactions and
19	other specifics that there is clear cut
20	communication, but I am not going to sit here
21	and say I remember the exact e-mail date.
22	Q. Mr. Monticciolo, in all fairness, I
23	think this was the first example. So I'm just
24	asking you about the first one you mentioned.

I'm asking you with respect to the

	Page 665
1	Monticciolo
2	, do you know for
3	certain, yes or no, that Mr. Iacovacci
4	misappropriated
5	contact information from Brevet?
6	A. I know that my team has told me that
7	he has. Do I have the specific e-mail in front
8	of me? No. There are other firms,
9	
10	Q. We are going one by one here.
11	MR. SOLOMON: We are going one by
12	one although even given the few extra
13	minutes, I would like the court reporter
14	to let us know when we are at four hours
15	for the day.
16	Q. Who on your team told you for
17	certain that Mr. Iacovacci misappropriated
18	contact information?
19	A. Our salespeople.
20	Q. Who?
21	A. Chris Hurd.
22	Q. Chris Hurd. When did he tell you
23	that?
24	A. He said that I don't remember the
25	exact details, but I remember a conversation

Monticciolo

back during that time during that period that, you know, that was a concern that came up that run a bell as a contact that had been sent to Paul's old e-mail and I remember Chris Hurd responding a concern on that because we were talking to him.

- Q. Is it your view that

 phone number or e-mail address

 constitutes a trade secret?
 - MR. SOLOMON: Asked and answered.
- A. I answered that. It's very fact and circumstance. What else was there?
- Q. I don't think you answered that, and if you did, my apologies for missing it. I don't think I asked it, so if you answered it, it would have been an anticipatory answer which is possible because there have been a few of those, but I want to know whether it is your view whether phone number or e-mail address constitutes a trade secret.
- A. Well, no, if you generalize it to be the no.
 - Q. How about the individual or

Page 667 1 Monticciolo 2 individuals at the that 3 Mr. Iacovacci contacted, are those contact information, e-mail addresses or phone numbers 4 5 for those individuals in your view trade 6 secrets? 7 Α. Again, the trade secrets involves 8 that information plus the fact that we were 9 talking to them and the details of that 10 conversation. So if you want to limit it to 11 just that, I can't tell. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You're already at 13 four hours. 14 MR. SOLOMON: If you want to go 15 another five minutes, please do. 16 Otherwise, we are going to conclude. 17 MR. CYRULNIK: To be clear, I'm 18 going through the trade secrets that your 19 client identified and I'm asking him 20 questions about them. 21 It's taking an extraordinarily long 22 time because I ask yes and no questions 23 and I never get yes or no answers or 24 almost never get them and I get answers to 25 other questions.

Monticciolo

MR. SOLOMON: I disagree about this. I think you spent an hour on something he couldn't possibly prepare for. It wasn't even in the subject matters of your 30(b)(6) notices. And you spent an hour on it so, of course, he is going to give you I don't knows.

MR. CYRULNIK: He didn't give me I don't knows. The problem is he gave me lengthy answers to other questions.

MR. SOLOMON: Tell me one place where you asked for actual specific business transactions and you didn't.

MR. CYRULNIK: We got to go one at a time. This is also not a good use of time. I'm sure you're counting it against my time on the record as you give your soliloquy.

MR. SOLOMON: That's why we are going an extra five minutes because I want to be even more than fair. Listen, we have a difference of opinion on this. We haven't even started Ms. da Silva Vint. We think this is your tenth deposition and

Monticciolo

you can't expand and expand and expand by asking 39 or however many categories you asked for in your 30(b)(6) notice. Take five minutes if you want to finish up, please, otherwise --

MR. CYRULNIK: We are in the middle of a deposition. If you're going to pull the witness in the middle of his going through his list of trade secrets, that is for you to do and we will deal with the consequences, but I'm trying to go through his list.

- Q. So yes or no, do you know whether or not Mr. Iacovacci misappropriated a confidential phone number or confidential e-mail address or confidential information before the
- A. I know that he e-mailed contact information which may have included just those limited items or more to himself.
- Q. You just don't know one way or the other sitting here whether it included anything beyond that?
 - A. Correct.

Page 670 1 Monticciolo 2 Q. If I went onto the website or the internet, would I be 3 able to find the phone number and e-mail 4 address for the individual or individuals that 5 6 Mr. Iacovacci reached out to at the 7 ? Α. 8 I don't think that would be easy to 9 do. 10 Why not? Q. 11 Because they don't want everybody Α. 12 under the sun calling them. 13 Apart from believing that they don't Q. 14 want everybody under the sun calling them, is 15 there any other basis for you contending that I 16 could not get 17 information, e-mail address or phone number for the individuals that Mr. Iacovacci reached out 18 19 to on my own using the internet and some 20 resourceful phone calling to their main 21 numbers? 22 Α. I think it's substantially more 23 difficult than just commonly try to find 24 somebody using those techniques for a reason as 25 I mentioned.

Monticciolo

- Q. Do you know one way or another whether I would be able to get those contact information details, whether it's a phone number, an e-mail address or both for one or more of the individuals Mr. Iacovacci reached out to on my own without ever having worked for Brevet or accessing their investor list; yes or no?
- A. I didn't think I needed to prepare for this to go and do web searches to find that information. I haven't done it myself.
- Q. How about the -- let's go with the methods you used to create competitive barriers in your investment strategy. Can you identify for me where those methods are located or housed in the Brevet information systems?
- A. That's extensive. That's the essence of the firm. Yes, they are in our policies and procedures and our loan documents, in our fund structures of the items I enumerated a while ago. What we do is unique. So there is not -- there are not competitors doing what we do. It is all of them trade secrets that I mentioned.

Page 672 1 Monticciolo 2 MR. SOLOMON: We need to go off the 3 record just for a second, Jason. 4 witness can stay. 5 MR. CYRULNIK: I'm sorry, what was 6 that? 7 MR. SOLOMON: I need to go off record for a second. The witness can stay 8 9 right there. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11 3:01 p.m. We are going off the record. 12 (Brief recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 13 14 3:06 p.m. We are back on the record. 15 MR. CYRULNIK: Before the break you 16 were telling us about, you were 17 answering -- Mr. Solomon had to get up in the middle. I think he is back. I know 18 19 he wants to say something on the record 20 with respect to ending this deposition in 21 our view prematurely. 22 We will do that if that's what he 23 wants to, but I would like to return to 24 the category of trade secrets you 25 identified if he will allow me to do so

Monticciolo

and ask you how vacation policies constitute trade secrets in your view.

MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Cyrulnik, thank you. Because I think improperly you asked the question when I told you that we were going to be closing the deposition and seeking some guidance from the court. I'm going to let the witness answer the question and then I would like to reiterate that before the deposition began, we counted the number of hours that you had for 30(b)(6) witnesses and you needed three hours left and that's all you had.

We have gone now over an hour beyond the three hours and we think that is all the rules entitled you to. So we are going to finish this because you have asked the question. I'll let the witness answer that question and then we are going to be in our view shutting the deposition down. Go ahead.

BY MR. CYRULNIK:

Q. Let's start with the answer to my

Monticciolo

question. We can talk about the rest of that in a minute. Mr. Monticciolo?

A. So you used the word vacation policies. I believe I said holidays. And holidays matter because we are what is called an open-ended fund. Open-ended fund has notice periods. Notice periods are how many days before you have to send the notice before we have to do things and how many days we have to respond.

One of the unique things about

Brevet and any trade secret of Brevet is how do

we calculate those because they are not

calculated the way the industry norm is. They

are calculated using a cumulative global set.

I don't want to give all our trade secrets on it, but the net result is we get a very big advantage and have historically for the fact that we count holidays differently, which gives you a simple synopsis. If it is something where someone has to tell us 90 days in advance, there may only be 60 days that they can actually do that, which is tremendously valuable to the type of fund that we run and

Monticciolo

how much time we have in a similar fashion to actually respond to that.

These are material advantages of which we know no one else who takes the position that we do and have always taken it.

MR. SOLOMON: We feel that we are done. You've gone beyond your time. You don't have any time left and we believe that this deposition and the 30(b)(6) notice is now satisfied and the deposition is concluded.

MR. CYRULNIK: So obviously I'm -first of all, I want to understand the
witness' last answer and follow up on that
but before I do, I will respond to
Mr. Solomon's statement on the record.

Obviously we believe that are in the middle of a 30(b)(6) deposition. We have accommodated this witness and several other witnesses left and right in terms of their own schedules. We weren't able to complete the 30(b)(6) deposition testimony October 7th with this witness and on a prior October date I believe it was with a

Monticciolo

different witness. In fact, we have allowed Brevet to designate different witnesses to cover those topics for this follow-up day of deposition.

Ms. da Silva Vint is supposed to be going on certain topics instead of Mr. Callahan for unidentified reasons, but we accepted that. Mr. Monticciolo is supposed to be covering on some of the ones he was designated on. We believe we have time to complete those depositions.

Mr. Solomon has again, I don't want to characterize it in a way that he is going to take offense to, but I believe he is threatening to pull the witness in the middle and I take it from his statement that he is threatening not putting Ms. da Silva Vint up for her topics, which is remarkable as well.

We are prepared to continue to complete these depositions today with the designated witnesses that Brevet has identified to cover the remaining topics of the 30(b)(6) which we think are

Monticciolo

important.

We are also prepared to reach out to the court to the extent Mr. Solomon wants to stand on whatever contrary view he has to seek the court's guidance rather than to cut a deposition short by having the witness walk out in the middle and having the other witness who is scheduled to be deposed who I believe is in the room with Mr. Solomon not go on camera.

So I would invite Mr. Solomon to either allow us to complete the deposition, particularly given the amount of leeway we have given this witness in terms of the types of answers we have gotten to some straightforward questions and the fact that we have many hours remaining under the rules.

We are at a minimum if he feels he is not prepared to do that without guidance from the court reach out jointly with the court right now so that we can get the court's guidance to complete these depositions today.

Page 678 1 Monticciolo 2 MR. SOLOMON: Thank you very much. 3 MR. CYRULNIK: We are not off the record. We are not going off the record. 4 5 If you want to walk out in the middle of 6 the deposition. 7 MR. SOLOMON: Forgive me. From my perspective we are off the record. 8 Thank 9 you very much. 10 MR. CYRULNIK: I don't know what 11 that means. 12 But Mr. Monticciolo --Q. 13 MR. CYRULNIK: Well, do you want to 14 reach out to the court with me then, 15 Mr. Solomon? 16 MR. SOLOMON: I'm not able to do it 17 It's not appropriate to do it now, 18 but we will certainly be willing to do 19 that. Thank you. 20 MR. CYRULNIK: Mr. Solomon, I'm able 21 to reach out to the court right now if 22 you're unable to do that now. 23 MR. SOLOMON: Jason, I'm not able to 24 do it now nor do I think it's appropriate 25 to do it now. You keep promising us that

Monticciolo

you are going to send us cases. You haven't.

MR. CYRULNIK: Mr. Solomon, no one has promised to send you cases. That is not what happened at all. I'm happy to tell you what the case law says. If you didn't do the research ahead of time, you're not prepared to explain to the court why you're pulling a witness in the middle of the deposition, that's your problem. But I'm prepared to call the court if you insist on reaching out to the court.

I'm prepared to continue these depositions and finish them today and there are at least several hours of depositions that are scheduled, so I don't know what conflict you have with reaching out to the court now.

I will note for the record that

Mr. Solomon and Mr. Monticciolo have

turned off their cameras. I believe on

camera they stood up and were starting to

walk out in the middle of the deposition.

Monticciolo

My best guess is that they've completed that exercise and that they are no longer here. We think that's wholly improper and we're intend to raise that with the court and unfortunately there is nothing more we can do.

We are not in the same room with the witness. Even if we were, there would be very little we could do apart from reaching out to the court, which we would like to do. And so we will have to do that and complete this deposition whenever the court orders the witness to show back up and we will obviously ask for the appropriate costs associated with having to engage in that exercise.

I'll give Mr. Solomon and the witness another minute to come back. We have given Mr. Solomon and the witness time to return. It's now 3:15 p.m. and they still haven't returned. So I don't think we can reach out to the court exparte.

I think the proper thing to do would

Monticciolo

be to reach out to the court at this point after the deposition and we will obviously provide the court with the appropriate transcript and video if necessary of Mr. Monticciolo leaving the deposition in the middle of the 30(b)(6) questioning on Topics 1 through 5.

I will also make a record because I believe we were going to continue this deposition transcript and recording with the remainder of the topics being covered by Ms. da Silva Vint.

I will separately confirm, but it seems like she is being pulled from today's deposition slate and not going to sit down and appear to address those topics which is quite unfortunate as well.

So I guess I'll let the court reporter and the videographer off the hook at this point. Just to make clear, we are sitting here roughly five minutes after the witness walked off of the deposition. No sign of either the witness or his counsel. No sign of the next witness who

Monticciolo

is supposed to be deposed as well and a refusal by opposing counsel to reach out to the court together with us to get whatever guidance is needed to ensure that these depositions get completed today.

And so let me just note for the record the topics that have not been completed, and then we will close it up I suppose unless they show back up.

Just in terms of the topics, I want to make sure this is clear.

Mr. Monticciolo was designated on Topics 1 through 5 and 26 for today. He has covered 26, which was the first half of the deposition. We transitioned to 1 through 5 and we are in the middle of those. He has listed roughly 32 categories of purported trade secrets and then purported to add more today to that list.

We went through I believe one and a half of them and then he got up in the middle of the deposition. So we have 1 through 5 remaining trade secrets

Monticciolo

categories that need to be covered for Ms. da Silva Vint. Again, just for the record and I'll see whether we need to make this record on another transcript, but Ms. da Silva Vint was designated on Topics 6 through 8, 10 through 14, 27 through 31 and 36 through 38 and we haven't gotten to those today. So those are the open topics from the 30(b)(6) deposition notice that is Exhibit 3 to this deposition. believe it's Exhibit 3. And I'm sorry, it's Exhibit 10 to this deposition. we will deal with that at the appropriate time with the court.

Thanks to the court reporter and to the videographer for their time and if you can stay on after we go off the record, let me know whether you think there is another court reporter or videographer to take the nonappearance of Ms. da Silva Vint that will be appreciated.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:18 p.m. going off the record.

(Time noted: 3:18 p.m.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 684
1	
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT
3	
4	STATE OF :
	:ss
5	COUNTY OF :
6	
7	I, DOUGLAS MONTICCIOLO, hereby certify
8	that I have read the transcript of my testimony
9	taken under oath in my deposition on the 1st
10	day of November, 2021; that the transcript is a
11	true, complete record of my testimony and that
12	the answers on the record as given by me are
13	true and correct.
L 4	
15	
	DOUGLAS MONTICCIOLO
16	
L 7	Signed and subscribed to before
	me this day of
18	, 2021.
19	
20	
	Notary Public of the State of
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 685 1 2 3 CERTIFICATE I, FRAN INSLEY, hereby certify that the 4 5 Deposition of DOUGLAS MONTICCIOLO was held before me on the 1st day of November, 2021; 6 7 that said witness was duly sworn before the commencement of testimony; that the testimony 8 9 was taken stenographically by myself and then 10 transcribed by myself; that the party was 11 represented by counsel as appears herein; 12 That the within transcript is a true 13 record of the Deposition of said witness; 14 That I am not connected by blood or 15 marriage with any of the parties; that I am not 16 interested directly or indirectly in the outcome of this matter; that I am not in the 17 18 employ of any of the counsel. 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 20 my hand this 10th day of November, 2021. 21 22 FRAN INSLEY 23 24 25

							Page 686
1							
2			VERI	TEXT LEG	AL SOLU	JTIONS	
3	NAME	OF CAS	E: IACO	VACCI v.	BREVET	ŗ	
	DATE	OF DEP	OSITION	: Novemb	er 1, 2	2021	
4	NAME	OF DEP	ONENT:	DOUGLAS	MONTIC	ccioro	
5	PAGE	LINE	(S)	CHANGE			REASON
6		I	I			l	
7		I	I			l	
8		l	1			l	
9		I	!			l	
10		I	I				
11		I	I	·		l	
12		l	I	·		 	
13		I	I			 	
14		l	1			l	
15		l	I		!		
16		l	I				
17		l	I				
18		l	I				
19		l	!				
20							
21				RN TO BE			
	THIS_	DAY	OF		, 20	•	
22							
23	/NO.	. D.V. D.II.	T T C \	WY COM	T 0 0 T 0 2	EVDID	T. C.
24	(NOTZ	AKI PUB	TIC)	MY COMM	ISSION	EXPIR	E9:
25							

[**025010 - 30**] Page 1

0	12112 685:22	2015 640:18	623:12 636:19
0	13 454:10 595:13	656:13,14	26 464:14 474:17
025010 622:2	595:15,21 614:20	2015/16 660:6	474:21 570:2,4,7
08048 452:7	619:13 623:13	2016 478:14 479:3	582:24 646:12,15
1	624:21 625:8,18	479:18 480:9,19	648:4 682:14,15
1 452:12 464:13	629:13 640:6	481:4 484:11	26a 563:12
595:21 606:20	14 454:11 591:23	485:5 486:20	27 683:7
655:9 681:8	592:6 598:11	487:14 489:18,23	28 546:12 624:9
682:14,16,24	599:20 629:23	490:8 491:2,14	29 546:17 623:19
686:3	630:3,9 683:7	492:3,21 493:11	625:2,21 626:14
1/17 629:2	14th 588:4 599:2	494:12 496:5	626:21,22 627:11
1/2018 454:9	600:22	505:2 507:21	627:12
10 454:16 464:25	15 454:12 545:21	509:11,20 510:6	3
466:9 545:19	586:22 602:21	513:6 517:4	3 454:17 590:7
547:2,7 548:6	625:21 630:8,11	532:11 545:15	683:11,12
549:3 586:22,23	631:8,9,18 632:2	546:9 547:14	30 452:16 454:16
587:16 588:21,25	632:12 637:17	548:8,12,16,19	457:5 459:19
596:6,7,8,13	158735/2016	549:4,10,17 550:9	461:22 463:7
598:13,19 599:14	611:12	551:20 575:2,11	464:10,14,17
614:20 626:24	16 454:13 545:21	580:25 581:20	465:2,8,25 466:16
683:7,13	602:21 626:13	582:20 583:25	466:25 471:25
100 472:9,11	628:2 642:14,16	588:5 591:24	479:7 480:12
10006 453:5	168 472:14,16	592:2,7,9 656:14	482:9 483:3,21
10022 453:12	17 623:24	2017 624:9 625:3	484:5 520:14
10:04 452:12	17th 608:17	2018 490:15,16	523:17 543:6,14
456:3	18 545:22,23,24	544:17,18 545:2	546:24 551:2
10th 685:20	19 546:2	608:19 611:11	555:2 559:19,20
11 454:8,13 544:15	1:05 602:2	623:12,24 624:5	563:5 582:23
544:16,20,25	1:18 452:7	629:2	588:10 591:10
545:21 548:7	1:37 602:5	2021 452:12 456:4	597:8 608:6
610:6,7 614:20	1:45 609:9	464:11 684:10,18	610:23 612:23
615:10	1:46 609:12	685:6,20 686:3	614:5,16 619:7
11/1/2021 464:23	1st 456:4 466:23	205010 631:19	626:18,20,20
465:22	684:9 685:6	21 546:2	627:9,10,21 628:9
11:33 538:4	2	22nd 453:12	632:6 633:20
11:48 538:8	20 471:22,23 546:2	23 546:2,17 586:21	635:25 636:19
12 454:9 544:15,17 544:20 545:21	686:21	611:22 625:8,16	637:20 641:5
608:21 614:20	2000 549:13	626:11 628:9	655:7 660:17
629:9,12	2007 623:19	24 546:2,17 624:5	664:10 668:6
047.7,14	2014 656:14	25 544:17 545:2	669:4 673:13
		588:10 611:11	675:10,19,23

[30 - additional] Page 2

676:25 681:7	582:23 591:10	9/25/2018 609:22	accommodated
683:10	597:8 608:6	609:23	675:20
31 628:10,20 683:7	609:22,23 610:12	9/29/17 625:16	accompanied
32 646:9 682:18	610:23 612:23	626:11	586:8
36 683:8	614:5,16 619:7	90 674:22	account 600:2
38 683:8	624:6 632:6	a	615:16
39 669:3	633:20 635:25	a.m. 452:12 456:3	accountability
3:01 672:11	637:20 641:5	538:4,8	579:13
3:06 672:14	655:7 660:17	abandon 577:4	accounting 646:24
3:15 680:21	664:10 668:6	abide 477:6	accounts 489:15
3:18 683:24,25	669:4 673:13	ability 574:11	516:22 518:20
3rd 453:5	675:10,19,23	575:3,11 611:25	accuracy 495:21
4	676:25 681:7	622:3 660:9	accurate 486:24
452 457:4	683:6,10	able 478:16	495:17 496:22
464 454:4	60 463:13 674:23	562:22 653:4	504:9 510:13
465 454:16	632 454:12	670:4 671:3	600:25 656:18
	642 454:13	675:22 678:16,20	accusation 518:16
5	7	678:23	act 588:2,13
5 464:13 596:14,20	7 464:11 466:15	absent 573:14	acted 576:12,24
606:20 629:9,22	596:9 624:15	absolutely 538:2	577:4 659:18
655:9 681:8	640:18	563:19 578:8	acting 459:14
682:14,17,25	7.1b. 596:9	581:18	585:18
50 472:4,6	7.10. 390.9 7th 466:22 473:7	abusive 512:19	action 456:14
544 454:8,9	675:24		573:22 616:18,20
55 453:5		accept 572:20 575:11,17 576:14	616:23 621:3
590 454:17	8	576:19	actions 616:17
595 454:10	8 454:13 613:11,20		activities 499:2
599 453:12	614:20 619:11	acceptance 573:14 576:6	531:23 602:16
6	621:21 623:12		activity 475:23
6 452:16 454:16	625:18 631:17	accepted 573:2 676:9	532:11
457:5 459:19	632:14 640:6,19		actual 477:18
461:22 463:7	640:20,20 641:2	accepting 571:13 access 562:21	528:2 562:20
464:10,14,17	642:4,23 643:8,20		654:7 668:13
465:2,8,25 466:16	683:7	632:13 649:15,17	add 589:18 645:24
466:25 471:25	9	651:4 652:7,14,24 652:25 653:3,4	646:9 682:20
479:7 480:12	9 596:10 614:20	661:4,10	addition 481:22
482:9 483:3,21	9/25 613:9	accessed 651:3	540:7 634:22
484:5 520:14	9/25/18 454:8	654:21	additional 466:21
523:17 543:6,14	625:19 631:16		552:5 630:7
551:2 555:2	632:15 640:7,21	accessing 649:12 671:8	647:25
559:19,20 563:5	052.15 070.7,21	0/1.0	
337.17,20 303.3			

[additions - appear] Page 3

	507.11.507.12.17	W 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	700 04 700 7
additions 646:8	605:11 607:12,17	alluded 613:20	509:24 520:7
647:23	654:25	alternative 646:22	522:18 524:16
address 463:14,16	affiliations 456:18	amenable 599:24	528:11 530:21
463:17 488:14	affirmation 629:2	amount 470:16	531:21 541:2
497:7 502:13,20	aforegoing 627:3	471:6,20 606:12	570:22 574:13
552:17,17 563:16	afternoon 602:4	677:14	575:8 581:17
570:11 614:10	ago 482:7 531:7	ampersand 649:6	582:12,13 584:2
629:15 664:7	537:23 654:19	analogy 506:13	584:18,19 585:3,4
666:9,21 669:17	671:22	analysis 568:7	586:3 594:21
670:5,17 671:5	agree 480:23	569:11	595:6 600:6
681:17	481:2 555:8	analyzing 486:16	601:14 620:7,11
addresses 662:20	565:25 663:25	announced 484:14	620:18,21 641:18
667:4	agreed 455:4,9,14	answer 472:3,7,8	652:5 666:11,12
adequate 614:15	476:2 477:10	472:13,18,22	666:14,16
adina 453:14	523:13	473:14 477:15	answering 536:19
adjectives 549:12	agreeing 571:13	480:13 484:25	672:17
administer 455:16	agreement 454:10	485:11,13 489:5,6	answers 512:6
administrator	570:11 581:21	492:16 498:17,17	548:21 554:20
649:4,22	596:3,18,22	507:6 511:25	555:6 558:14
advance 674:23	601:10 630:24	512:24 515:23	608:6 635:14
advantage 498:2	agreements	527:22 534:22,24	667:23,24 668:11
674:19	547:10 589:20	535:15 538:19	677:16 684:12
advantages 675:4	602:18,19 605:10	548:5 561:5	anticipate 587:8
advice 478:19	646:19,20	569:23 570:24	anticipatory
485:20 559:6	ahead 479:9	571:5 572:25	666:17
561:17 566:22	484:18 485:14	574:23 580:4,13	anybody 463:15
567:6 568:4,5	497:13 513:25	580:21 582:21	468:2 475:10
advise 562:16	515:21 555:25	583:7 584:8 589:9	492:11 500:17
advisement 462:9	607:9 611:23	592:23 610:12	581:3 618:16
advisor 481:20	630:15 632:25	614:7 617:7	636:8
482:15	638:19 673:23	620:22,23,24	anyway 485:17
affidavit 454:8,9	679:8	625:21 636:2	574:20 585:25
490:12 544:17,18	al 452:8	637:5,22 639:3,4	apart 460:2
545:2,12 608:2,15	allegations 562:9	641:24 642:20	462:14 463:20,22
608:19 610:10	alleged 491:11	650:16 655:16	466:14 468:14
623:21	560:2,11,21 601:9	657:16 666:17	470:7 561:16
affidavits 490:11	allow 511:24	673:9,21,25	670:13 680:10
491:16,20 500:12	535:3 603:16	675:15	apologies 666:15
516:15 532:25	672:25 677:13	answered 471:13	apparently 612:17
544:13,16,19	allowed 488:17	471:15,16 499:21	appear 488:10
545:4,7 548:22	515:11,13 676:3	507:25 508:2,3,17	614:20 622:11

ted 468:20
3 603:19
605:5
5,22 607:4
0,23 608:8
7,18 610:25
616:3,14
,11 619:15
0 621:8
5 625:6,12
630:2 631:4
3 632:17
,15 634:2
,21 636:10
1 638:25
1 640:9,24
6 642:6,24
,18
ting 605:23
8 620:5,16
4 627:17
4 629:18
637:14
639:24,25
1,23 644:3
6 645:8
ts 639:20
458:13
463:3,5
ed 462:14
ng 459:11
1,25 460:6
1 461:7,12
3 463:15,23
on 536:3
y 456:21
3
ys 453:4,11
467:23
5

[attract - best] Page 5

		I	
attract 658:10	668:6 669:4	basis 513:13	504:6 518:12
august 476:7	673:13 675:10,19	538:24 539:13	519:21 521:2
584:12	675:23 676:25	542:8,13 567:19	527:8,12 529:3,12
australia 634:20	681:7 683:10	578:23 621:13	530:5 531:10
authorities 579:16	baby 526:9	641:6 670:15	538:23 549:19
authorization	back 470:14	bates 591:19,21	550:19 553:11,17
605:17	484:24 491:23	613:24 614:2	554:9 567:15
authorized 455:16	523:15 531:24	622:2 625:10	578:17 581:7,8,9
available 644:14	535:21 537:15	627:8 628:4,12	581:10 582:5,13
avenue 453:12	538:8 547:2 548:3	629:24 631:18,19	582:22 585:19,20
aware 462:25	548:12 549:7,7	641:9 642:12,18	586:11 594:2
474:13 478:12,15	550:4 565:22,23	bathroom 601:24	598:4 599:25
478:25 479:3,18	576:9 577:5	began 673:12	604:5 607:25
479:23 480:5,18	580:19 590:2	beginning 456:21	608:14,16,24
481:3,6 483:5	591:25 592:8	549:18 584:13	609:22 614:22
543:25 588:23	602:6,8 606:6	594:11 619:11	621:12 649:19
589:24 602:15	609:12,14 612:8	626:25 628:20	650:12 664:4
630:18,20	622:18 641:21	behalf 456:23	674:5 675:9,18,25
awareness 476:14	647:11 650:4	457:21 460:23,25	676:11,15 677:10
b	655:20 656:6,17	461:15 473:3	679:23 681:10
b 452:16 454:6,16	659:25 660:14	523:22 524:5,8	682:22 683:12
457:5 459:19	661:22 662:2	525:24 526:8,24	believed 577:25
461:22 463:7	666:2 672:14,18	527:25 530:8,15	599:23
464:10,14,17	680:14,19 682:10	530:19 531:2,8,13	believes 464:4
465:2,8,25 466:16	backbone 633:3	531:19 533:21	believing 670:13
466:25 471:25	661:5	538:14,17,22	bell 666:4
479:7 480:12	backbones 633:11	539:2,15,23,25	benefit 457:19
482:9 483:3,21	background	540:6,8,16,17,24	best 467:9,25
484:5 520:14	473:18	541:18 543:19	469:15 473:19,19
523:17 543:6,14	bad 506:20 513:9	573:3 579:15	495:6 500:5
551:2 555:2	barriers 671:14	582:23 585:9	501:17 502:6
559:19,20 563:5	base 470:4,6	599:23 600:15	504:2,6 508:4
563:12 582:23	based 485:3	belief 621:13	509:16 514:8
591:10 597:8	515:23 525:9	659:16,17	519:21 523:24
608:6 610:23	531:14 541:13	believe 476:15,20	524:9 535:2
612:23 614:5,16	560:24 561:11	477:21 480:3	551:14,14,15,18
619:7 632:6	562:12 574:7	482:11,12 483:6	553:4 571:16
633:20 635:25	663:19	486:5 487:15	574:9 578:2
637:20 641:5	basic 503:5 508:8	489:25 490:20	579:10 588:24
644:8 655:7	634:13	491:15 498:15,16	603:10 630:18
660:17 664:10		499:25 503:25	660:16 680:2
000.17 004.10			

[better - bunch] Page 6

better 477:18	break 458:17	570.4 575.5 12	625:7,12 627:4,13
	462:9 463:16	572:4 575:5,13 579:20 582:24	628:7,15 629:19
498:9,10 504:13 508:21 646:14			· ·
	477:14 494:25	583:13 591:20,24	629:22 631:4,23 632:18 633:9
beyond 479:7	499:22 526:9	592:7,16,17 593:2	
480:11 482:9	537:25 538:9	593:3,19,21,22	635:22 636:21
483:2,21 484:17	549:23 550:3	594:3,6,7,25 595:2	637:12 638:10,25
498:4 585:21,24	595:23,24 601:23	595:9 599:9	639:12 640:10,24
632:5 633:19	672:15	601:18 602:20	641:17 642:7,25
634:7 635:24	brevet 452:8	603:5 605:14,16	643:5,10,19
637:19 641:4,19	453:20 456:7	606:7 611:10	644:17 645:8
669:24 673:16	458:7,10 459:25	615:18,19,21	648:16 654:17
675:8	463:22 473:3	616:11,13 632:21	657:19 658:6
big 462:20 674:19	474:19 475:7,8,9	632:21 633:3,4,12	663:16
binding 602:23	488:9,11,13,18,22	634:11 635:19	brevet013260
605:16	489:12,14,17,24	636:5,14 641:11	454:11
bit 476:14,22	490:25 491:5,7,11	642:10 643:12,25	brevet205010
488:25 501:5	491:13 492:12,19	645:16,21 647:4	454:12
bizarre 518:6	493:6,7,13,14	649:11 651:22	brick 618:4
black 515:22	494:5,6 495:12,14	653:21,25 654:5,9	brief 470:4,6
blatantly 508:8	499:17,18 501:3	654:10,21 655:8	471:2 538:6
blood 685:14	501:14 504:18	656:9 657:23	609:10 672:12
board 646:23,23	505:18 506:9,14	659:4,13 660:24	bring 493:18
bofi 644:7	506:16 507:24	662:7,24 663:9,25	bringing 552:9
bone 493:24	508:12 509:12,21	664:3,13 665:5	602:20
books 649:19	513:8 516:3	671:8,17 674:13	broad 487:8
borrowers 635:11	520:19,20 522:22	674:13 676:3,23	broadway 453:5
bother 594:5	522:24 523:5,7,19	686:3	brought 550:16
bottom 625:22	524:14 525:7,9,11	brevet's 486:10	buck 572:2
626:14,25 627:25	525:16,21,22	496:7 507:2	bullet 613:16,20
628:19	526:17 527:5,15	561:18 564:12	614:19 615:2
breach 492:14,20	528:6 530:14,16	568:8,17 569:12	616:25 619:9,10
493:8 596:15,21	533:12 543:7	591:21 598:6	619:11,13 620:4
596:23,25 597:4	544:4 547:21	602:10,13 603:20	620:14 621:7,21
597:13,14,15	552:14,16,23,25	604:4 605:5	631:16 632:14
598:10,13,17,18	553:6 554:14	606:19,23 607:5	633:16 637:6
599:14,16,17	558:3,17 559:13	607:24 608:9	638:5 640:17,22
breached 547:9	560:20 561:13	609:19 610:22	640:25 641:14
breaches 494:4	562:23 564:4,8,19	611:2 613:18	642:3,22 643:7,20
496:6 564:24	565:4,15 566:10	615:5 616:15	bullets 640:8
565:3 599:22	566:12 569:11	617:4,7 619:15,21	bunch 627:14
600:9 601:10	570:20 571:15	621:9,25 622:25	653:3

1 502.2	II 502 0 507 10	(20.2.676.9	(70.0.5
business 502:3	call 523:9 527:18	629:3 676:8	cases 679:2,5
503:6,7 506:24	527:18 604:20	callahan's 485:4	catalina 604:9,23
508:9 588:6,7	659:25 660:15,19	495:11 526:14,22	607:2
601:19 602:9,12	679:12	527:3 532:24	categories 648:2,2
603:18 604:2	callahan 477:12	544:13 571:13	648:3,4,5 669:3
605:3,22 606:2,6	478:4,13 479:4,18	575:12 608:2	682:19 683:2
606:14,21 607:3	480:5,19 481:9,15	called 523:23	category 672:24
607:22 608:7	481:21 482:4	604:9 649:6 674:6	caught 461:14
609:17 610:24	484:9 486:12,18	calling 670:12,14	516:21
613:13,17 615:3	486:19 487:9	670:20	causal 660:21
615:14 616:2,14	490:3 494:2	calls 468:5,7,11,14	cause 596:20
616:19,21,23,24	495:16 496:5	469:9,10	caused 507:21
617:5,10 618:4,7	501:10,18 505:2	camera 677:11	causes 564:21,24
618:10 619:14,19	510:19 516:15,16	679:24	center 618:2
620:4,15 621:4,8	517:3,6 520:10	cameras 679:23	ceo 475:25 525:8
621:23 622:24	522:4,19 523:11	candidly 587:12	525:11,21 528:6
625:5,11 627:16	524:17,21,25	capabilities	544:4 572:3
628:5,13 629:17	525:4,14,20,24	504:14	579:20 598:21
629:25 631:3,22	526:13 528:4	capacity 459:15	certain 508:18
632:16 633:3,7,14	529:17,19 530:6	462:22 463:7	650:11 662:23
633:21 634:3	530:25 531:6	475:25 486:23	664:11 665:3,17
635:12,18,21	532:15 534:15,17	533:8	676:7
636:9,20,25	538:13,25 539:11	capital 453:20	certainly 460:24
637:15 638:10,24	539:14,17,19	604:25 644:8,8	508:15 603:6
639:10,18,21,24	540:14,15,23	capsulating 631:8	678:18
639:25 640:8,23	541:18 542:11	card 656:21	certainty 614:25
641:15 642:5,23	543:19 544:16	657:10,18,25	certification 455:7
643:8,12,17,22	545:2,14 546:9	658:15	certify 684:7
644:2,12,15 645:5	547:14 548:8,19	cards 615:10,10	685:4
645:7 647:7	549:9 550:12,21	658:5	cetera 530:23
656:21 657:10,17	551:19 552:3	care 572:16	561:20 614:22
658:5,9,14 668:14	553:17 554:22	career 494:23	challenging
businesses 644:11	562:13 570:18	495:2 656:10	615:24
busy 470:21 471:4	571:19 573:3,20	careful 521:6	chance 532:18
	574:6 575:4	529:13 540:9	662:10,15
c	578:17,18,21	carefully 588:13	change 473:5
c 453:2 457:14,14	579:6 580:24	630:5 631:7	686:5
604:25 649:6	581:12,23 582:8	case 452:6 496:25	characterization
684:2 685:3,3	585:7,13 586:5,6	519:6 578:16	527:9 653:9
calculate 674:14	590:13 600:14,18		characterize 493:6
calculated 674:15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	644:8,11 679:7	512:12 513:22
674:16	605:11 608:16	686:3	312.12 313:22

[characterize - compliance]

Page 8

			_
537:8 566:15	500:12 501:3	comes 537:12	528:7 579:6,23
578:5 676:14	503:6 505:19	622:18	581:4,14 586:21
characterized	508:4 516:14	comfort 520:2,21	596:3,24 597:12
500:10	532:23 536:9	comfortable	598:9,21 604:9
charge 553:12	538:12 541:11,17	471:20 476:25	632:23 634:20
charged 561:22	541:23,24 549:19	502:18 526:6	company's 563:23
check 485:21,23	549:20 563:18,20	coming 527:20	567:23
485:25 490:14	569:7,8 570:3	531:24 595:12	competent 474:14
493:17 497:9	584:20,23 585:10	commencement	535:5
509:4 510:16	595:8 610:21	685:8	competitive
516:18,24 529:24	611:9 617:14	comment 560:15	671:14
597:22 656:17	637:10 641:24	568:12	competitors
659:25	646:16 647:23	comments 563:9	671:23
checked 504:8	657:12 664:19	commission	complete 500:24
521:15	667:17 681:21	686:24	604:14 606:18
checking 532:19	682:12	committees	623:19 650:13
chose 544:8 621:5	clearly 534:9	527:10	675:23 676:12,22
637:23	541:7 563:11,15	common 508:8	677:13,24 680:13
chris 665:21,22	615:6 616:16	commonly 670:23	684:11
666:5	647:14	communicate	completed 680:3
circuit 614:17	client 542:23	542:22	682:6,9
circumstance	615:18,19 629:22	communicated	completely 516:11
666:13	642:11 667:19	570:16 573:20	619:5
circumstanced	close 507:2 529:5	communication	complexity 504:21
663:18	529:12 682:9	557:24 664:20	compliance
circumstances	closing 673:7	communications	476:19 479:13
507:8	coach 512:9	542:10,12	481:7,10,16,17,22
cite 545:17	coaching 512:17	companies 498:2	482:3,5 499:12
clarification	513:15 515:8	634:23	519:2 520:11
540:11 546:11	coca 506:10	company 458:2	522:4,20 523:12
625:24 627:6	coincidence	459:11 460:11,15	524:18,22 530:23
clarified 656:4	494:18 581:19	460:16,19,23,25	531:3,9 533:10
clarify 535:19	coke 505:7,8,11,12	461:3,16,16,19	534:3 537:19
558:13 627:21	505:14 506:8	462:4,4,14 463:3,5	538:22 539:2,16
clarifying 567:17	cola 506:10	464:15 466:24	539:16 540:17
627:18	colin 453:14 457:9	483:14 484:15	541:14 543:20
clarity 504:22,24	colleague 491:22	486:17,17 489:25	553:18 554:22
565:8 570:12	colloquy 515:8	492:21 493:9	586:14 601:15
clear 457:3 465:13	come 521:19 576:9	497:14 499:3	649:13,20 651:19
477:3 487:7	577:5 588:7 606:7	501:23 507:5	652:9 653:18
488:16 497:15	647:8 680:19	520:15,19 521:7	

561.15	102.7.14.404.5	(24.9 (25.0 12	44-d ((7.2
component 561:15	493:7,14 494:5	634:8 635:9,13	contacted 667:3
compositions	495:12 496:7	637:21 642:12	contacting 658:8
646:23	510:8 516:4	644:22 651:20	contacts 662:3
computer 510:13	547:21 552:15,24	conjecturing	664:16
510:25 519:12,14	559:13 560:2,11	618:6 635:16	contained 491:6
concern 487:19	560:22 562:10	644:21	619:23
583:9,10,14 589:6	583:13 592:16	conjunction 541:3	containing 491:11
661:3 666:3,6	593:2,21 594:7,25	541:13 543:20	499:17 516:3
concerned 582:16	635:10 642:25	connected 685:14	658:15
587:11	648:14 657:13,15	connection 541:19	contend 605:4,20
concerning 487:23	658:2 669:16,16	559:2,7,12 562:8	605:21,22 607:23
487:25 488:9	669:17	582:24	621:23
500:14 549:11,15	confidently 660:5	consequences	contending 605:25
concerns 582:14	confirm 495:9	669:12	616:13 617:10
583:3,20,23	498:11,19 501:20	consider 557:2	670:15
584:13 585:16	501:25 504:15,20	considerations	contends 645:16
587:13,25	508:11 510:3,5,6,9	600:3	645:21
concise 489:2	514:4,5,7,13,21,25	considering	contention 627:11
conclude 667:16	518:8 519:18	582:18,18 584:15	628:3 659:3
concluded 593:19	532:12,20 545:3	consistent 464:5	context 530:10,11
675:12	556:21 562:12	570:4	continue 464:10
conclusion 521:19	565:7 591:25	constitute 582:9	482:25 486:2,2
557:6 592:13	592:8 618:9 664:8	673:3	641:5 676:21
595:12	664:9 681:14	constituted 566:9	679:15 681:10
conclusions 497:2	confirmation	constitutes 666:10	continued 452:15
582:14 592:15	510:2 521:9	666:21	476:4 552:4
conclusive 500:13	confirmed 495:5	constituting	continues 596:13
conduct 550:21	496:15 500:16	566:16	continuing 633:18
553:13 557:4	510:12 514:3	consult 507:16	638:12
594:2,12	521:17 591:24	consumer 644:12	contrary 462:10
conducted 550:12	592:7,25	contact 585:15,19	677:5
559:24 560:9	confirming 577:25	650:7,10,14,19	contributed
conducting 478:13	conflated 659:9	657:10,18 658:5	588:12 593:9
479:4	conflict 679:19	658:15 659:6,14	control 517:18,22
conference 468:19	conform 642:20	660:22 661:25	603:5
468:21	confront 520:5	662:7,11,16 663:8	conversation
conferences	confused 461:6	664:3,5,6 665:5,18	541:11 665:25
468:24 470:8	527:10	666:4 667:3	667:10
confident 504:8	conjecture 573:6	669:19 670:16	conversations
confidential 488:7	573:11 584:4	671:3	660:10
489:9,24 492:10	598:20 599:4,6		

[convince - cyrulnik]

Page 10

	1		
convince 636:8	correctly 530:25	couple 477:15	critical 488:7
654:3	531:6 659:8	528:25 623:4	495:2 634:11
copy 649:20	correspondence	660:18	653:24
651:18 654:24	479:14,25 480:14	course 470:13	criticized 567:9
corporate 457:20	costs 680:16	471:24 472:11,16	cross 515:19
458:3,12,20	counsel 456:16	476:23 495:2	535:10 609:3
459:20,22 460:5	457:25 459:17	497:16 507:10	614:8 619:3
461:4 473:2	460:16 461:11	603:15 612:22	636:23
475:11,12,14	463:3,22 466:18	668:7	culminated 531:23
476:21 486:23	466:24 467:5,6,13	courses 507:12	culmination
491:4 514:10	467:16 474:4,7	court 452:2	531:22
523:25 532:16	476:3,18 518:25	455:18 456:11	cumulative 623:21
533:8 543:6,14	520:10 521:18	457:12 458:23	674:16
568:18 579:7,15	522:3,20 523:12	470:22 514:18	cumulatively
580:3 597:8 598:2	524:18,22 530:22	515:7 536:24	470:11 471:9
598:7 599:9	531:3,8 533:3,10	542:19 547:25	cure 597:5,14
606:19 610:22	534:3 535:5	556:7 565:21	currently 637:16
615:25 616:11,25	537:19 541:14,16	578:19 580:11,14	customer 633:22
617:4 635:14	541:19,25 542:2	611:13,14,15,16	cut 497:12 514:9
637:12 643:6	542:14 543:8,16	611:20 613:2	637:10 658:20
655:8	549:23 550:2	622:18 641:22	664:19 677:7
corporation 459:3	552:10 553:7	665:13 673:8	cv 452:7
460:11	559:6 561:2,12	677:4,22,23	cyrulnik 453:3,6
correct 462:17,19	562:16 570:10	678:14,21 679:10	454:4 456:22,23
467:2,3 468:11,12	590:21,25 591:5,7	679:13,14,20	456:23 457:7,11
483:13 487:15	601:15 609:2	680:6,11,14,23	457:18 458:6,11
500:2 518:15	626:2 647:18	681:2,4,19 682:4	458:25 459:23
521:15 530:5	681:25 682:3	683:15,16,20	460:7,18 461:13
531:11 543:18	685:11,18	court's 618:12	463:11 464:6
547:16 548:10	counseling 542:3	677:6,24	465:19 466:3,7,12
558:11 560:13	count 612:23	courtesies 587:5	470:25 474:23
562:24 580:21	656:22 674:20	cover 603:8,15,16	485:10,19 486:3
585:12 587:18	counted 646:13	619:2 676:4,24	509:2,8 511:8
592:2,9 604:19	673:12	covered 555:2,8	512:8,21 513:14
605:19 629:4	counterparties	555:10 681:12	513:19 515:6,10
631:12 649:10	644:18	682:15 683:2	516:9 517:10,17
651:9 652:17	counterparty	covering 676:10	517:21,23 533:16
669:25 684:13	644:4,5	create 671:14	535:21 536:8,12
corrected 511:7	counting 668:17	creative 517:23	536:17,21 537:3
512:5 579:5	county 684:5	criminal 499:2	554:23 555:15,23
		503:24 504:4	556:3 559:17

[cyrulnik - deposition]

Page 11

563:10 565:21	dates 546:14	decisions 475:15	departments
567:2,8 590:7	552:11 624:4	475:19 477:16	526:25 531:13,19
602:7 608:25	656:2,18	492:6,25 588:7	532:5,7,10 533:9
609:6,13 610:14	dating 470:14	622:19	534:2 535:13,14
611:24 612:6,11	david 453:20	deck 615:10	537:18 539:8
612:15 613:7	458:9 459:9	declaratory	540:24 572:12
623:7,16 624:3,13	day 459:18 469:22	623:23	600:16
624:19,22 632:7	493:24 529:10,10	deem 465:7	departure 492:7
638:14 667:17	665:15 676:5	defendant 452:16	depends 657:2
668:9,15 669:7	684:10,17 685:6	defendant's	deponent 462:15
672:5,15 673:4,24	685:20 686:21	609:20	686:4
675:13 678:3,10	days 528:21	defendants 452:9	deposed 459:4,14
678:13,20 679:4	596:23 597:5,14	453:11 457:2,22	460:12 463:19,21
d	598:13,19 599:15	613:12,21 621:22	677:10 682:2
-	674:8,10,22,23	627:3 628:20	deposing 466:4
d 454:2 457:14	deal 462:9 615:15	641:2 642:5	deposition 452:15
684:2	644:19 656:8	defense's 608:23	455:15 456:5
da 453:19 457:24	669:11 683:14	deficient 619:5	457:6,21 459:12
458:22 459:9,16	dealing 498:25	definitely 489:20	459:21 460:6,12
468:4,9,16 483:22	529:17 656:15	648:6	460:21,22 461:8
555:13,24 556:3	death 476:13	definition 607:8	461:12,22 462:14
668:24 676:6,18	debate 506:7	636:3 639:24,25	463:4,5,24 464:9
681:13 683:3,5,21	622:15	definitive 649:21	464:10,19 465:2,7
damage 506:17	decide 514:18	definitively	465:8,21 466:10
damages 604:12	577:10 580:11	501:20 502:7	466:14,16 467:2,6
606:14 622:11	decided 587:18	delay 507:21	470:13 472:2,12
648:4,5,6 658:3,13	deciding 558:19	delegated 657:4	472:17 473:7,11
data 509:18	decision 474:18	denial 526:2,16	473:25 474:10
date 462:12	475:6 495:25	527:6 544:5 576:7	478:22 484:5
464:21 466:22,23	500:17 507:22	576:7,20,20	488:25 511:18,19
544:21 550:23	518:21 520:8	denied 572:23	512:10,17 514:17
551:10,13,16,18	521:21,24 522:8	576:3 578:3	515:11 517:14
551:24,25 595:16	522:15 527:17	denoted 616:17	522:7 526:11
614:22 623:16	553:6 558:5	647:14	529:25 536:2,23
624:8 632:3	560:23 561:3,7,9	deny 574:8,11,15	540:4 549:24
642:17 655:23 664:21 675:25	564:12 566:19	575:3,11,21	550:3 551:3 554:8
	567:24 568:8,20	576:15,17,22,22	555:19 556:20
686:3	569:12 570:17	576:24 577:3,7,8	580:10 590:19
dated 608:16	573:22 584:21	577:11,19,19	591:11 608:6
609:21 611:10	593:9 600:4	department	610:24 612:24
629:2	601:13	520:11 553:18	614:6 619:7

[deposition - douglas]

Page	12

			1
645:19 646:2	662:17,19,24	directory 634:10	622:6 625:3 626:8
647:10,10 668:25	663:19,22 665:25	disability 476:13	626:13 628:23,25
669:8 672:20	667:9 671:4	disagree 668:2	631:20,25 632:12
673:7,11,22	determination	disclose 559:5	637:7,8,15 638:7
675:10,11,19,23	502:23	568:3	638:11,21 641:12
676:5 677:7,14	determine 476:10	disclosure 602:18	642:15 645:2
678:6 679:11,25	483:16 502:14,17	602:19 605:10	650:2
680:13 681:3,6,11	503:14,21 507:4	discovered 490:24	documents 466:19
681:16,23 682:16	508:11 509:12	491:2 503:13	469:6,9 488:17
682:24 683:10,11	540:23 551:2	546:9 547:14	490:2 503:15
683:13 684:9	554:14 619:18	548:8,19 549:4,10	545:5 547:3,13,21
685:5,13 686:3	620:3,13 638:20	550:10 552:14	548:7 550:10
depositions 458:14	determining	613:12 615:14	559:14 579:19
462:6,24 554:10	509:21	discovery 650:2	613:6,8 615:18,20
676:12,22 677:25	development	discuss 523:6	625:24 628:4
679:16,18 682:6	618:5	600:7,18	633:22 635:8
depth 473:12,20	devin 629:21	discussed 490:8	641:10 642:10
473:22 504:21	dictate 580:10	554:10	647:14 671:20
describe 468:20	difference 498:20	discussion 471:2	doing 478:15
477:23 487:16	616:4,9 663:4	476:9 518:17,23	480:24 481:2
549:12 613:16	668:23	586:12 600:12	486:7 498:6 499:2
621:22 631:21	different 458:15	discussions 473:9	499:8 501:19
644:2 648:11	564:25 620:17	473:23 474:6	516:9 559:10
described 468:7	621:7,18 623:5	542:23 581:2	563:2 567:12
470:7 532:12	628:5,13 636:24	disregarding	571:25 574:9
539:9 577:20	646:10 676:2,3	496:12	584:14 601:19
640:25 655:12	differentiation	disrespect 587:11	603:10 630:16
description 454:7	661:5	disrupt 654:2	661:6 671:24
545:14 547:3,16	differentiations	distinction 461:17	dollars 622:12
552:7	506:18	487:3	domain 654:17,21
designate 676:3	differently 541:6	distributing	double 493:17
designated 461:21	674:20	505:17	495:3 497:9
464:13 676:11,23	difficult 469:7	district 452:2,3	510:16 516:24
682:13 683:6	542:25 670:23	divert 658:9	doubt 486:3
desire 581:3	direct 596:12	diverted 615:21	495:16 513:5
588:13	directed 548:20,22	616:12	514:2,4
destroyed 492:11	607:14	diverting 613:13	doug 464:22 466:4
detail 631:13	directly 494:3	615:14,25	525:5 528:6
647:13	557:5 563:11	document 595:14	576:13 637:16
details 630:6	569:16,19,21	595:17,22 596:7	douglas 452:16
634:13 644:25	577:14 685:16	609:2,16 611:6,8	454:4 456:6
Varitant Lagal Colutions			

[douglas - entity] Page 13

465:21 684:7,15	603:3 605:2	efforts 479:13	588:4 594:16
685:5 686:4	607:12,15 612:5	egregious 476:20	595:3 599:3
drafted 590:16,24	613:4 614:21,21	493:8 500:15	600:17 663:24
591:7,9	617:8,20 619:22	501:22 521:17,18	empty 465:17,22
dramatic 469:17	620:25 621:3	egregiously	465:24
draw 660:20	625:25 627:7,8,19	496:14	encapsulated
drawing 461:18	629:7,14,15,23	egregiousness	594:3
487:3 592:15	630:19 639:17	521:4	endeavor 535:2
drawn 592:13	640:17 641:20	either 467:17	ended 557:9
driving 589:6	643:21 644:6	468:10,25 501:9	660:11 674:7,7
duly 457:15 685:7	650:5,6 654:24	541:13 556:21	engage 625:6,12
dumain 453:7	655:6,14,22 656:7	557:19 558:18,25	627:17 628:7,14
duplicative 647:24	656:20 657:17	576:6,20 577:4,7	629:18 630:3
duty 482:16	658:4,14 662:4,20	578:17 583:4,9	631:4 640:10
503:25	663:5,12,13 664:7	586:14 598:8	641:17 642:25
e	664:17,21 665:7	600:15 677:13	680:17
e 453:2,2 454:2,6	666:5,9,21 667:4	681:24	engaged 553:15
475:22 477:24	669:17,19 670:4	elaborate 652:3	581:23 584:24
478:14,20 479:5	670:17 671:5	electronic 656:21	598:11 600:10
479:12,14,20	684:2,2 685:3,3	658:5	engagement
480:2,7,15,20	earlier 529:10,10	ellen 467:21	553:20,23,25
482:6,24 483:19	544:13,24 545:4,9	embezzling 516:21	554:3 555:11
484:11 485:5	546:8 560:20	employ 685:18	556:10,15
486:11,14,21	588:10	employee 462:13	engaging 463:13
487:8,12,13,17	earliest 485:2	523:2 589:5,22	479:19 480:6,19
488:12,14,21	early 476:16	605:14 649:11	482:5 518:12
489:15,21,22	528:12,14 549:13	employees 460:6	580:24 639:19
490:4,19,24 491:7	549:14 550:16	462:4 480:6,8,20	ensure 493:16
491:11 492:19	551:23 557:7	482:6,24 483:19	601:8 682:5
497:6 499:16	558:2 584:20	504:14	entered 555:14,16
500:11 501:9	585:5,14 656:10	employment 525:6	555:19 605:16
502:13,20,20,22	656:11,12	528:8 533:12	entering 602:17
503:15 504:16,17	easily 651:5 654:4	541:21 542:5,15	entire 494:23,25
510:7,20,22 511:3	easy 670:8	543:9,17 554:15	498:17 654:5,10
513:8 516:3 517:5	eb 629:22	558:6,20 564:20	entities 528:2,5
519:15,17 547:4	effect 455:17	565:5,14 566:11	530:9,16 531:24
547:15,21 548:9	efficiency 622:16	567:25 568:9,21	532:20 585:9
549:5,14 550:11	efficient 535:25	569:13 570:19	entitled 513:17,20
552:5,16,17	efficiently 603:12	573:5,15,21 575:5	533:4 673:18
562:21 580:25	effort 539:6	575:13 581:5	entity 475:11,13
581:13,24 601:22		583:15,24 584:16	475:14 522:22
301.13,27 001.22			

[entity - fair] Page 14

505.5		500 50 505 12 15	4 665 10
525:5	examination 454:3	590:7,8 595:13,15	extra 665:12
entry 623:23	464:6	595:20 608:21	668:21
enumerate 611:5	examined 457:16	610:6,7 611:25	extraordinarily
enumerated 490:3	example 497:4	612:18 630:24	667:21
490:4,6 671:22	562:20 604:11	631:18 632:2,12	eyes 483:9 495:11
environment	619:13,19 636:9	637:17 642:14,16	495:11 498:16
603:5	638:23 639:10	683:11,12,13	501:10
equation 506:11	657:20 664:23	exhibits 454:14,15	f
error 510:25	examples 547:20	465:20 466:9	f 644:8 685:3
escrow 516:21	604:14 608:5	544:14,15,20,23	fact 458:7 461:20
518:20	618:17 622:12,23	631:12	465:6 485:3
especially 584:5	664:18	exiting 476:12	488:12 489:12,16
esq 453:6,7,7,13	exclusion 603:14	expand 669:2,2,2	500:13 503:14
453:14,14,15,15	execute 602:9,13	expect 600:3	504:17 508:18
essence 506:9,9	603:20 604:3	expectation	510:24 511:13
633:2 636:14	605:5,24 606:15	492:12	512:4 531:18
661:5 671:19	606:22 607:5,10	expected 641:19	533:11 568:11
estate 615:17	607:23 608:8	experience 500:19	588:24 632:15
617:15 618:3,5	609:18 610:25	508:7	635:18 636:7
637:25 644:11	613:18 615:4	experienced	638:23 639:15
estimate 652:12	616:4,14 617:6,12	512:15	641:14 657:3
et 452:8 530:23	619:15,20 620:6	expires 686:24	660:23 663:18
561:19 614:22	620:16 621:9,24	explain 461:3	666:12 667:8
event 528:10	622:25 631:23	488:2 518:4,13	674:20 676:2
events 560:10	632:17 633:8,15	541:6 564:13	677:18
everybody 670:11	634:3 635:4,12,18	583:6 616:9	factored 540:12
670:14	635:21 636:11,21	632:14 633:6,13	factors 600:3
everybody's 601:6	637:14 638:10,25	679:9	facts 507:7 559:25
evidence 568:11	639:11 640:24	explanation	560:18 562:19
596:25 597:4,12	642:6 643:9,18	534:21	factual 559:10
598:9 653:19	644:4,17 645:8	explicitly 506:5	560:10 561:14,21
ex 680:23	executed 607:13	647:3	568:7 569:10,10
exact 487:18 501:7	executives 481:11	expressed 587:13	failure 596:22
548:11 613:22	exercise 680:3,17	expressly 600:23	fair 502:25 503:2
652:10,10 664:21	exhibit 454:8,9,10	extensive 671:18	514:16 516:5
665:25	454:11,12,13,13	extensively 490:3	527:8 552:6
exactly 466:17	454:16,17 464:18	490:6	557:12,13 563:11
499:25 530:17	464:20,25 465:5	extent 463:24	565:18 566:4
562:14 573:7,9	466:9 544:16,17	465:6 651:21	570:2 576:14
616:17 639:15	544:25 547:20	652:4 657:8 677:4	585:10 606:12
	548:7 590:2,2,3,6		651:14,16 663:21
			031.17,10 003.21

[fair - four] Page 15

((1.2.((0.22	e• 1• 770 4	(17.10.(01.01	6 11 457 17
664:3 668:22	findings 552:4	617:19 621:21	follows 457:17
fairness 664:22	557:19,22,24	624:18 631:15	475:18
faith 476:5 492:8	558:25 562:13,20	632:14 637:3,3,24	force 455:17
fall 475:20	565:11 566:9,17	638:5 639:15,23	force's 659:17
false 518:16	567:22 568:7	650:17 659:23	forget 604:6
familiar 460:4	569:10 570:15	664:23,24 675:14	forgetting 585:25
far 606:24	571:10 577:13	682:15	forgive 484:24
fashion 675:2	593:9	firsthand 516:13	678:7
fattaruso 453:3,7	fine 457:3 505:6	542:6	forgot 529:16,16
456:23	526:6 540:13	five 470:12,20	form 455:10
favor 511:20	589:19 607:20	471:8 641:21	477:25 484:18
fd 523:2	641:23	663:9 667:15	485:8 511:11,21
federal 611:14	finish 669:5	668:21 669:5	511:24 512:23
feel 501:13 502:18	673:19 679:16	681:22	514:19 515:5,15
577:12 587:23	fire 492:2 576:4,11	floor 453:5,12	516:6 517:12,20
601:16 620:8,9	578:14	focus 475:4 489:2	537:12 539:21
675:7	fired 574:12	527:20 528:2	540:18 570:21
feeling 516:23	575:18,22	539:10 574:24	663:15
feelings 588:6,11	firm 466:20	587:9 603:18	formal 557:19
feels 482:2 535:7	476:10 481:12,19	606:11,18 618:20	649:19
677:20	483:25 492:13	focusing 640:4	forms 480:15
felt 476:25 499:10	495:23 496:4	folder 464:20,24	542:13
521:22	502:9 505:20	465:9,20 466:9	formula 505:6,11
fifth 515:18	506:18,19 508:6	544:15 608:21	506:8 507:3,15
figure 461:9 494:8	514:10 577:16,18	folders 464:22	formulate 508:20
files 591:22	577:25 586:23	folks 476:18	forth 606:6
filing 455:6 641:11	592:20 600:13	600:13	forward 555:24
finally 584:23	601:7 602:23	follow 475:17	556:5 643:25
591:24 592:7,12	603:2 634:12	478:17 492:16	forwarded 502:19
finance 644:9	657:14,15 662:13	494:16 535:6	forwarding
financial 604:12	671:19	567:18 571:24	502:22 552:15
630:22,23 631:2	firm's 522:2	583:7 638:15	562:22 657:9
631:10	firms 665:8	675:15 676:5	found 465:9
financially 456:14	first 457:15 465:2	followed 492:25	507:13 517:6
financing 635:6	469:21,22 484:25	505:20 584:22	549:10,14,17
644:10	495:4 505:9	592:23	552:6 582:8,17
find 550:18 617:18	517:13 518:8	following 496:16	594:24 619:4
634:9 670:4,23	547:6 548:23,24	501:8 507:19	foundation 478:2
671:11	550:16 567:8	517:4 613:21	four 513:23 663:9
finding 558:18	586:25 596:12	650:22 654:18	665:14 667:13
	611:19 613:15,20		

456:11 685:4,22 582:15 586:9 656:6,17 658:25 603:12 606:15,			I	
frequent 483:7 589:21 599:7 659:21,25 660:14 608:17 609:9 front 550:24 600:19 609:5 662:2 667:14 610:5 611:17 597:16 606:3 614:13 624:10 668:15 669:12 612:5,13,16,19 607:21 609:25 627:8 631:13 671:11,13 672:2,7 619:12 626:2 610:3,11 611:17 643:3,14 652:10 673:23 677:11 635:24 636:8 631:4 665:7 664:18 668:7,9,18 603:18 640:13 655:20 631:14 665:7 664:18 668:7,9,18 goal 606:4 659:22 664:20 631:3 624:11 672:17 680:18 goes 482:8 483:20 665:10,11 667: 674:7,25 598:12,17,24 633:19 634:7 673:7,9,19,21 673:7,9,19,21 674:7,25 598:12,17,24 637:19,25 648:15 676:7,15 678:4 549:16 550:20 684:12 599:10,13 642:8 going 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 625:23 628:20 684:12 472:24 464:18 470:3 472:6 673:7,5 648:15 629:23 638:21 gibal 674:16 483:5 484:24 464:8 476:5 49 629:23 6	fran 452:18	551:18 563:22	649:11 650:4	599:4 602:2
front 550:24 600:19 609:5 662:2 667:14 610:5 611:17 597:16 606:3 614:13 624:10 668:15 669:12 612:5,13,16,19 607:21 609:25 627:8 631:13 671:11,13 672:2,7 619:12 626:2 610:3,11 611:17 643:3,14 652:10 673:23 677:11 635:24 636:8 631:14 665:7 664:18 668:7,9,18 663:18 640:13 655:20, 631:14 697:20 506:15 674:17 680:18 goes 482:8 483:20 665:10,11 667: 506:17 591:3 given 520:9 521:3 484:17 580:10 667:18 668:7,2 596:13 624:11 537:22 554:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 fund of 71:21 674:7 562:20 595:9,10 633:19 634:7 673:7,9,19,21 further 455:9,14 662:12,14 665:12 459:14,19 462:19 679:2 681:10,1 further 455:9,14 662:12,14 665:12 470:3 472:6 464:8 476:5 49 576:8 583:21 gives 674:16 483:2 482:25 514:10 534:21 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 677:17 683:8 g 457:14 684:2			·	603:12 606:15,17
597:16 606:3 614:13 624:10 668:15 669:12 612:5,13,16,19 607:21 609:25 627:8 631:13 671:11,13 672:2,7 619:12 626:2 610:3,11 611:17 643:3,14 652:10 673:23 677:11 635:24 636:8 631:14 665:7 664:18 668:7,918 683:18 640:13 652:20 631:14 665:7 664:18 668:7,918 683:18 659:22 664:20 631:14 665:7 664:18 668:7,918 683:18 659:22 664:20 631:15 60:15 674:17 680:18 606:4 659:22 664:20 650:17 591:3 674:17 680:18 665:10,116 67: 667:18 668:7,2 596:13 624:11 537:22 554:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 6074:7,25 598:12,17,24 637:19,25 648:15 673:7,9,19,21 674:75:5 531:25 599:10,13 642:8 631:9 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 677:25 531:25 667:14,16 65:12 459:14,19 462:19 683:24 475:25 531:25 677:14,15 680:20 463:12 464:18 603:24 464:18 590:68 583:21 gives 674:21 470:3 472:6 464:8 476:5 49 629:23 638:21 gibal 67	_		· ·	
607:21 609:25 610:3,11 611:17 613:8 623:14 652:12 660:16 631:14 665:7 full 497:20 506:15 506:17 591:3 596:13 624:11 fund 671:21 674:7 674:7,25 funding 629:22 further 455:9,14 475:25 531:25 576:8 583:21 625:23 628:20 639:7,8 future 537:5 fuzzy 473:16,17 532:4 g g g g 457:14 684:2 gap 528:23,24 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 getting 471:20 627:8 631:13 643:3,14 652:10 643:3,14 652:10 643:3,14 652:10 643:3,14 652:10 643:3,14 652:10 643:3,14 652:10 643:18 664:18 668:7,9,18 663:18 640:13 655:20,665:20 665:10,11 667: 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 633:19 634:7 673:7,9,19,21 637:19,25 648:15 going 456:3 459:14,19 462:19 463:12 464:18 470:3 472:6 470:3 472:6 478:22 479:9 484:18 485:14 479:19 501:4,21 508:10 512:19 508:10 512:19 508:23 524:20 21 5478:22 479:9 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 508:10 512:19 508:10 512:19 508:23 524:20 509:10,13 642:8 679:2 681:10,1 683:18 640:13 655:20,665:20 665:10,11 667: 6679:2 664:20 669:8,9 672:11 673:7,9,19,21 674:7,25 676:7,15 678:4 679:2 681:10,1 679:2 681:10,1 683:18 640:13 655:20,665:20 665:10,11 667: 6679:2 664:20 667:18 668:7,2 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 673:7,9,19,21 674:7,25 678:40 677:14,15 680:20 644:17,980:10 667:18 667:12 676:7,15 678:4 679:2 681:10 679:2 681:10 679:2 681:10 679:2 681:10 679:2 681:10 679:2 681:10 679:2 681:10 679:2 681:10 679:2 681:10 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 663:20 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 663:20 679:2 665:10 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:2 683:25 679:				
610:3,11 611:17 613:8 623:14 652:12 660:16 631:14 665:7 full 497:20 506:15 506:17 591:3 596:13 624:11 fund 671:21 674:7 674:7,25 further 455:9,14 475:25 531:25 549:16 550:20 684:12 629:23 638:21 625:23 628:20 630:14 64:18 640:13 655:20 665:10,11 667: 665:18 668:7,2 667:18,668:7,2 677:19,25 648:15 677:14,15 680:20 633:19 664:18 633:19 634:7 673:19,25 648:15 677:19,25 648:15 677:14,15 680:20 646:12 466:12 470:3 472:6 484:12 470:16 484:12 531:14 532:4 501:21 513:25 501:21 503:25 503:25 504:20 509:60:15 500:15 500:15 500:15 500:15	597:16 606:3	614:13 624:10	668:15 669:12	612:5,13,16,19
613:8 623:14 631:14 665:7 631:14 665:7 full 497:20 506:15 506:17 591:3 596:13 624:11 fund 671:21 674:7 674:7,25 funding 629:22 further 455:9,14 475:25 531:25 674:14,15 680:20 576:8 583:21 625:23 628:20 639:7,8 future 537:5 future 537:5 future 537:5 fuzzy 473:16,17 532:4 g 457:14 684:2 g ap 528:23,24 general 470:16 484:12 531:14 588:16 generalize 666:23 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 getting 471:20 664:18 668:7,9,18 goal 606:4 goes 482:8 483:20 665:10,11 667: 667:18 668:7,2 667:18 668:7,2 667:19,25 648:15 669:8,9 672:11 625:23 632:5 679:24 684:15 676:7,15 678:4 676:7,15 678:4 676:7,15 678:4 676:7,15 678:4 676:7,15 678:4 676:7,15 678:4 676:17,16 67:10 673:19,25 648:15 679:24 681:10 67:18 668:7,2 676:7,15 678:4 676:7,15 678:4 676:17,16 67:10 67:18 663:19 673:19,25 648:15 679:24 683:12 679:24 683:12 679:24 683:12 679:24 683:12 679:24 683:12 679:24 683:12 679:24 683:12 679:24 683:12 679:24 683:12 679:24 683:12 679:2	607:21 609:25	627:8 631:13	671:11,13 672:2,7	619:12 626:2
631:14 665:7 664:18 668:7,9,18 goal 606:4 659:22 664:20 full 497:20 506:15 674:17 680:18 goes 482:8 483:20 665:10,11 667: 506:17 591:3 given 520:9 521:3 484:17 580:10 667:18 668:7,2 596:13 624:11 537:22 554:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 674:7,25 598:12,17,24 633:19 634:7 673:7,9,19,21 674:7525 599:10,13 642:8 going 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 funding 629:22 599:10,13 642:8 going 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 further 455:9,14 662:12,14 665:12 463:12 464:18 good 456:2 464 549:16 550:20 684:12 471,15 680:20 463:12 464:18 good 456:2 464 549:16 550:20 684:12 474:20 476:15,23 502:3 506:13 629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 502:3 506:13 639:7,8 go 455:4 469:21 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 general 470:16 484:18 485:14 502:8 505:23 558:10 50:23 555:25 590:2 538:4 540:4 555:25 590:2 538:4 540:4	610:3,11 611:17	643:3,14 652:10	673:23 677:11	635:24 636:8
full 497:20 506:15 674:17 680:18 goes 482:8 483:20 665:10,11 667:8 668:7,2 484:17 580:10 667:18 668:7,2 667:18 668:7,2 667:18 668:7,2 667:18 668:7,2 667:18 668:7,2 667:18 667:18 668:7,2 667:17 59:13 674:7,25 674:7,25 598:12,17,24 59:10 633:19 634:7 673:7,9,19,21 674:7,25 679:10,13 642:8 679:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13 642:10,13	613:8 623:14	652:12 660:16	683:18	640:13 655:20,21
506:17 591:3 given 520:9 521:3 484:17 580:10 667:18 668:7,2 596:13 624:11 537:22 554:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 fund 671:21 674:7 562:20 595:9,10 633:19 634:7 673:7,9,19,21 674:7,25 598:12,17,24 637:19,25 648:15 676:7,15 678:4 funding 629:22 599:10,13 642:8 going 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 further 455:9,14 662:12,14 665:12 459:14,19 462:19 683:24 going 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 625:25 531:25 677:14,15 680:20 463:12 464:18 good 456:2 464 576:8 583:21 gives 674:21 470:3 472:6 464:8 476:5 49 625:23 628:20 glitch 519:13,14 478:22 482:25 514:10 534:21 629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 guzy 473:16,17 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 great 466:12 49	631:14 665:7	664:18 668:7,9,18	goal 606:4	659:22 664:20
596:13 624:11 537:22 554:11 625:23 632:5 669:8,9 672:11 fund 671:21 674:7 562:20 595:9,10 633:19 634:7 673:7,9,19,21 674:7,25 598:12,17,24 637:19,25 648:15 676:7,15 678:4 funding 629:22 599:10,13 642:8 going 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 further 455:9,14 662:12,14 665:12 459:14,19 462:19 683:24 475:25 531:25 677:14,15 680:20 463:12 464:18 469:04 476:15,23 683:24 576:8 583:21 gives 674:21 474:20 476:15,23 502:3 506:13 625:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:4 488:24,24 490:14 502:8 505:23 677:17 683:8 g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 555:12 556:10, 556:23 general 470:16 484:12 531:14 524:19 545:16 522:5 524:20,21 557:3 558:3,23 573:8,10 574:18 602:25 603:7	full 497:20 506:15	674:17 680:18	goes 482:8 483:20	665:10,11 667:16
fund 671:21 674:7 562:20 595:9,10 633:19 634:7 673:7,9,19,21 674:7,25 598:12,17,24 637:19,25 648:15 676:7,15 678:4 funding 629:22 599:10,13 642:8 going 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 further 455:9,14 662:12,14 665:12 459:14,19 462:19 683:24 475:25 531:25 677:14,15 680:20 463:12 464:18 good 456:2 464 549:16 550:20 684:12 470:3 472:6 464:8 476:5 49 576:8 583:21 gives 674:21 474:20 476:15,23 502:3 506:13 625:23 628:20 glitch 519:13,14 478:22 482:25 514:10 534:21 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 555:12 556:10, g 457:14 684:2 501:21 52:7 520:23 521:20 557:3 558:3,23 559:2,6,10,24 general 470:16 <td>506:17 591:3</td> <td>given 520:9 521:3</td> <td>484:17 580:10</td> <td>667:18 668:7,21</td>	506:17 591:3	given 520:9 521:3	484:17 580:10	667:18 668:7,21
674:7,25 598:12,17,24 637:19,25 648:15 676:7,15 678:4 funding 629:22 599:10,13 642:8 going 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 further 455:9,14 662:12,14 665:12 459:14,19 462:19 683:24 475:25 531:25 677:14,15 680:20 463:12 464:18 god 456:2 464 549:16 550:20 684:12 470:3 472:6 464:8 476:5 49 576:8 583:21 gives 674:21 474:20 476:15,23 502:3 506:13 629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:16,17 488:24,24 490:14 502:8 505:23 great 466:12 49 g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 528:19 528:23,24 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 555:12 556:10 general 470:16 484:18 485:16 52	596:13 624:11	537:22 554:11	625:23 632:5	669:8,9 672:11
funding 629:22 599:10,13 642:8 going 456:3 679:2 681:10,1 further 455:9,14 662:12,14 665:12 459:14,19 462:19 683:24 475:25 531:25 677:14,15 680:20 463:12 464:18 good 456:2 464 549:16 550:20 684:12 470:3 472:6 464:8 476:5 49 576:8 583:21 gives 674:21 474:20 476:15,23 502:3 506:13 625:23 628:20 glitch 519:13,14 478:22 482:25 514:10 534:21 629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:14 684:2 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 57:17 683:8 g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 588:16 59n:6 601:24 522:5 524:20,21 557:3 558:3,23 general 470:16 542:19 549:7 526:7 535:6,25	fund 671:21 674:7	562:20 595:9,10	633:19 634:7	673:7,9,19,21
further 455:9,14 662:12,14 665:12 459:14,19 462:19 683:24 475:25 531:25 677:14,15 680:20 463:12 464:18 good 456:2 464 549:16 550:20 684:12 470:3 472:6 464:8 476:5 49 576:8 583:21 gives 674:21 474:20 476:15,23 502:3 506:13 625:23 628:20 glitch 519:13,14 478:22 482:25 514:10 534:21 629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:14 684:2 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 great 466:12 49 g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 gap 528:23,24 524:19 545:16 522:5 524:20,21 557:3 558:3,23 general 470:16 484:12 531:14 588:16 522:5 590:2 538:4 540:4 560:9,18 561:1 588:16	674:7,25	598:12,17,24	637:19,25 648:15	676:7,15 678:4
475:25 531:25 677:14,15 680:20 463:12 464:18 good 456:2 464 549:16 550:20 684:12 470:3 472:6 464:8 476:5 49 576:8 583:21 gives 674:21 474:20 476:15,23 502:3 506:13 625:23 628:20 glitch 519:13,14 478:22 482:25 514:10 534:21 629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:16,17 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 677:17 683:8 g 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 greenberg 553: gap 528:23,24 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 58:16 548:12 522:5 524:20,21 557:3 558:3,23 58:16 548:12 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 560:9,18 561:1 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4	funding 629:22	599:10,13 642:8	going 456:3	679:2 681:10,16
549:16 550:20 684:12 470:3 472:6 464:8 476:5 49 576:8 583:21 gives 674:21 474:20 476:15,23 502:3 506:13 625:23 628:20 glitch 519:13,14 478:22 482:25 514:10 534:21 629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:16,17 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 677:17 683:8 g 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 greenberg 553: 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 528:23,24 515:21 522:7 520:23 521:20 555:12 556:10, 484:12 531:14 547:2 549:7 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 502:25 603:7 502:25 603:7 502:4 554:23 564:2,6,10 565 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 509:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 561:4 21 569:10 568:10 569:10 568:10 569:10	further 455:9,14	662:12,14 665:12	459:14,19 462:19	683:24
576:8 583:21 gives 674:21 474:20 476:15,23 502:3 506:13 625:23 628:20 glitch 519:13,14 478:22 482:25 514:10 534:21 629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:16,17 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 677:17 683:8 g 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 greenberg 553: gap 528:23,24 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 588:16 547:2 549:7 520:23 521:20 555:12 556:10, generalize 666:23 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 560:9,18 561:1 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 568:10 568:4 getting 471:20 611:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 568:10 569:10	475:25 531:25	677:14,15 680:20	463:12 464:18	good 456:2 464:7
625:23 628:20 glitch 519:13,14 478:22 482:25 514:10 534:21 629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:16,17 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 677:17 683:8 great 466:12 49 g 457:14 684:2 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 greenberg 553:2 gap 528:23,24 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 588:16 547:2 549:7 520:23 521:20 555:12 556:10, generalize 666:23 597:6 601:24 522:5 524:20,21 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 522:5 590:2 538:4 540:4 560:9,18 561:1 generally 485:6 607:9,20 608:15 556:23 559:4 565:10 566:8 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 getting 471:20	549:16 550:20	684:12	470:3 472:6	464:8 476:5 492:8
629:23 638:21 global 674:16 483:5 484:24 545:16 546:25 639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:16,17 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 677:17 683:8 g 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 greenberg 553: gap 528:23,24 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 588:16 522:5 524:20,21 557:3 558:3,23 general 470:16 547:2 549:7 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 560:9,18 561:1 generalize 666:23 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 561:22 562:6 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 getting 471:20 611:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 568:19 569:10	576:8 583:21	gives 674:21	474:20 476:15,23	502:3 506:13
639:7,8 go 465:4 469:21 485:20,22 489:2 604:11 668:16 future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:16,17 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 677:17 683:8 g 488:24,24 490:14 502:8 505:23 great 466:12 49 g 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 greenberg 553: gap 528:23,24 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 555:12 556:10, general 470:16 484:12 531:14 522:5 524:20,21 557:3 558:3,23 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 generally 485:6 597:6 601:24 550:4 554:23 560:9,18 561:1 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 getting 471:20 611:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21	625:23 628:20	glitch 519:13,14	478:22 482:25	514:10 534:21
future 537:5 478:22 479:9 493:3,24 497:10 gotten 636:23 fuzzy 473:16,17 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 677:17 683:8 g 488:24,24 490:14 502:8 505:23 great 466:12 49 g 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 greenberg 553: gap 528:23,24 515:21 522:7 520:23 521:20 555:12 556:10, general 470:16 547:2 549:7 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 560:9,18 561:1 generally 485:6 602:25 603:7 550:4 554:23 564:2,6,10 565 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 getting 471:20 61:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 568:19 569:10	629:23 638:21	global 674:16	483:5 484:24	545:16 546:25
fuzzy 473:16,17 484:18 485:14 497:19 501:4,21 677:17 683:8 g 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 great 466:12 49 g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 gap 528:23,24 515:21 522:7 520:23 521:20 555:12 556:10, general 470:16 547:2 549:7 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 560:9,18 561:1 generally 485:6 602:25 603:7 550:4 554:23 564:2,6,10 565 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 getting 471:20 611:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 568:19 569:10	639:7,8	go 465:4 469:21	485:20,22 489:2	604:11 668:16
532:4 488:24,24 490:14 502:8 505:23 great 466:12 49 g 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 greenberg 553: g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 gap 528:23,24 515:21 522:7 520:23 521:20 555:12 556:10, general 470:16 547:2 549:7 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 555:25 590:2 538:4 540:4 560:9,18 561:1 generally 485:6 602:25 603:7 550:4 554:23 564:2,6,10 565 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 getting 471:20 611:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 568:10 569:10	future 537:5	478:22 479:9	493:3,24 497:10	gotten 636:23
532:4 488:24,24 490:14 502:8 505:23 great 466:12 49 g 491:17,23 497:13 508:10 512:19 greenberg 553: g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 gap 528:23,24 524:19 545:16 522:5 524:20,21 555:12 556:10, 484:12 531:14 588:16 547:2 549:7 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 560:9,18 561:1 generally 485:6 607:9,20 608:15 556:23 559:4 563:10 566:8 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 getting 471:20 611:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 568:10 569:10	fuzzy 473:16,17	484:18 485:14	497:19 501:4,21	677:17 683:8
g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 gap 528:23,24 524:19 545:16 522:5 524:20,21 555:12 556:10, 484:12 531:14 588:16 547:2 549:7 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 560:9,18 561:1 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 607:9,20 608:15 556:23 559:4 565:10 566:8 getting 471:20 601:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 568:2 572:21	532:4	488:24,24 490:14	502:8 505:23	great 466:12 492:9
g 457:14 684:2 501:21 513:25 513:13 519:11 554:2,3,13,17 gap 528:23,24 524:19 545:16 522:5 524:20,21 555:12 556:10, 484:12 531:14 588:16 547:2 549:7 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 560:9,18 561:1 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 607:9,20 608:15 556:23 559:4 565:10 566:8 getting 471:20 601:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 568:2 572:21	σ	491:17,23 497:13	508:10 512:19	greenberg 553:10
gap 528:23,24 general 470:16 484:12 531:14 547:2 549:7 588:16 597:6 601:24 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 getting 471:20 515:21 522:7 520:23 521:20 522:5 524:20,21 557:3 558:3,23 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 560:9,18 561:1 560:9,18 561:1 550:4 554:23 564:2,6,10 565 550:10 566:8 560:15 566:20 568:2 572:21 568:19 569:10	_		513:13 519:11	
gap 328:23,24 general 470:16 484:12 531:14 547:2 549:7 588:16 522:5 524:20,21 generalize 566:23 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 getting 471:20 522:5 524:20,21 557:3 558:3,23 522:5 524:20,21 559:2,6,10,24 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 559:2,6,10,24 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 522:5 524:20,21 560:9,18 561:1 <		515:21 522:7	520:23 521:20	555:12 556:10,16
general 470:16 484:12 531:14 547:2 549:7 526:7 535:6,25 559:2,6,10,24 588:16 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 560:9,18 561:1 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 559:2,6,10,24 getting 471:20 471:20 556:23 559:4 569:2,6,10,24 58:10 566:8 560:9,18 561:1 561:22 562:6 564:2,6,10 565 559:2,6,10,24 560:9,18 561:1 561:22 562:6 561:22 562:6 559:2,6,10,24 560:9,18 561:1 561:22 562:6 561:22 562:6 559:2,6,10,24 560:9,18 561:1 561:22 562:6 561:22 562:6 559:2,6,10,24 560:9,18 561:1 561:22 562:6 561:22 562:6 559:2,6,10,24 560:9,18 561:1 561:22 562:6 561:22 562:6 559:2,6,10,24 560:15,24 54:2 561:22 562:6 561:22 562:6 559:2,6,10,24 560:15,24 54:2 561:22 562:6 563:10 566:8 559:2,6,10,24 560:15,24 54:2 561:22 562:6 563:10 566:8 559:2,6,10,24 560:15,24 54:2 563:10 566:8 563:10 566:8 559:2,6,10,24 560:15,24 54:2 563:10 566:8		524:19 545:16	522:5 524:20,21	
588:16 555:25 590:2 538:4 540:4 560:9,18 561:1 generalize 666:23 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 561:22 562:6 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 560:9,18 561:1 561:22 562:6 getting 471:20 556:23 559:4 565:10 566:8 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 568:2 572:21 568:19 569:10	O	547:2 549:7	i '	· ·
generalize 666:23 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 609:6 610:18 getting 471:20 597:6 601:24 542:16,24 546:6 550:4 554:23 564:2,6,10 565 556:23 559:4 565:10 566:8 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4 568:2 572:21 568:19 569:10		555:25 590:2	538:4 540:4	560:9,18 561:15
generalize 666:23 generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 getting 471:20 602:25 603:7 607:9,20 608:15 609:6 610:18 609:6 610:18 611:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 564:2,6,10 565 565:10 566:8 567:6,21 568:4		597:6 601:24	542:16,24 546:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
generally 485:6 573:8,10 574:18 607:9,20 608:15 556:23 559:4 565:10 566:8 getting 471:20 611:4 21 22 612:6 568:2 572:21 568:19 569:10		602:25 603:7	,	564:2,6,10 565:9
getting 471:20 609:6 610:18 560:15 566:20 567:6,21 568:4	•			, ,
getting 4/1:20 611:4.21.22.612:6 568:2.572:21 568:10.569:10	<i>'</i>	,		567:6,21 568:4,6
4/3:13 309:13	0 0			' '
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				570:14 571:11,22
330:2 337:13 617:15 627:7 14 574:2 575:22 577:13			' ' '	,
539:14 307:3 627:21 632:25 580:9 584:7 greenherg's		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
390:23 662:17,19 638:10 642:14 585:18 500:2 558:17 560:16	·			-
give 463:12 469:15 646:8 648:3 596:11 598:20	0			220.17.200.10
535:3 542:17	535:3 542:17		570.11 570.20	

grossly 508:7	happy 458:16	holdings 452:8	533:10 534:3
ground 574:3	462:8 488:24	456:7 522:25	537:19 538:14,18
grounds 502:24	491:21 511:20	523:5,7,19 524:15	539:2,16,18
503:16 505:22	607:20 608:20	525:7,9,12,16,21	540:17 541:13
506:5,6 554:14	612:24 630:15	525:22 526:17	543:20 586:14
581:4,14,24 582:6	679:6	527:5 528:7	601:15
582:9 593:23,24	hard 473:18	533:13 544:4	hundred 490:20
595:3	harm 658:3,13,17	565:15 566:12	495:10 614:24
group 522:11	harvest 604:6,17	570:20 571:15	644:25
523:22 530:19,20	606:25	572:4 575:6,14	hundreds 510:22
534:14 644:9,9	hats 481:13	579:21	511:2 513:6 516:2
guess 498:12	head 484:2 608:14	holds 652:25	552:13,22 593:3
521:21,25 522:9	608:18 658:23	holidays 674:5,6	593:20 615:8,9
522:16 577:15	healthcare 618:2	674:20	617:22 622:8,11
654:18 680:2	hear 473:14,18	holland 665:9	630:19 639:13
681:19	542:19 591:3	home 497:8	655:14,22
guessing 469:13	heard 459:7	552:17 634:10	hurd 665:21,22
469:16 577:17,23	462:24 489:5	657:25	666:5
578:2,6	603:20 604:15,22	homework 555:9	hypothesize
guidance 518:25	606:24 658:24	618:8	572:17,18
537:22 542:2	hearing 470:24	hook 681:20	hypothetical
601:14 673:8	473:16 585:23	hopefully 570:10	507:11 572:22
677:6,22,24 682:5	heart 544:10	hour 668:3,6	i
guidelines 480:17	heavy 544:10	673:16	iacovacci 452:4
guilty 519:23	heightened 476:14	hours 470:12,17	456:7 474:19
guys 661:11	held 452:18 471:2	470:20 471:5,8,11	475:7 486:7,9
h	685:5	471:12,19,22,24	487:2 488:13
h 454:6	help 562:12 574:3	472:4,9,11,14,16	489:13,14,18
half 586:23 626:14	606:17 610:15	572:21,24 665:14	491:8,13 492:19
682:15,23	614:12 624:24	667:13 673:12,14	493:12 494:5
hand 685:20	627:20 642:20	673:17 677:18	495:12 494.5
handled 586:13	helpful 465:15	679:17	499:15 501:13,19
happen 510:13	611:12 627:19	house 463:4	502:12 504:16,17
572:19 660:19	hereunto 685:19	housed 650:25	505:4 507:3,23
happened 469:14	high 565:18	651:8,11,18	509:13,22 510:23
520:4,22 532:13	hired 554:13	654:10 671:17	513:8 516:2,5
572:14 573:5,7	historically	houses 649:9	518:4 519:5,10,19
679:6	674:19	hr 476:18 519:2	520:5,23 521:8
happening 498:19	history 483:25	520:11 522:4,20	520:3,23 321.6
happens 498:25	hold 623:18	523:12 524:18,22	524:14 525:16,22
паррена 7/0.23		530:22 531:3,8	524:14 323:10,22
			576.4 17 577.5

-			
528:19 534:5	641:16 642:6,24	identified 458:2	577:12 603:11
537:21 538:11	643:9,18 644:3,16	467:24 468:15	633:10 646:18
539:12 540:16	644:19 645:7,17	475:22 505:9	647:4 677:2
543:24 547:3,8,15	645:21 652:16	533:9 534:3	importantly
548:9 549:5	654:15 655:11	537:19 540:25	567:14
550:10 552:23	657:6,9,17,21	547:7 560:19	impossible 567:12
553:8 559:14	659:5,14,20	581:24 593:8	improper 552:15
560:3,12 561:23	660:21 661:10,16	623:4 625:18	605:21 680:5
562:8 564:12	661:21,23 662:15	630:3 632:15	improperly 673:5
571:14 572:13	662:23 663:7,14	638:6 643:19	inaudible 632:11
574:5,12 575:18	663:23 664:12	645:15 647:20	include 488:20
575:22 576:4,11	665:3,17 667:3	655:6 667:19	552:10 623:10
578:10 579:24	669:15 670:6,18	672:25 676:24	included 478:19
581:5,22 582:15	671:6 686:3	identifies 554:2	480:8 489:5 605:9
583:2,12,23	iacovacci's 477:24	620:14 628:5,13	669:20,23
584:11,24 585:15	478:14 479:5,11	629:16 640:8	including 470:14
587:16,24 588:11	479:20,24 484:10	642:5,23 643:8	477:22 480:14
589:14 590:12	484:13 485:5	identify 491:10	481:13
592:16,25 593:10	486:16,21 510:20	535:22 593:17	incomplete 623:2
593:19 594:6	523:6 525:6 528:8	594:11 607:3	inconsistent 526:4
597:3,11 598:10	532:22 533:12	615:3 616:22	increased 552:6
598:17,25 599:24	539:18,20 541:20	618:24 627:3	independent
600:9,20 601:9,19	542:5,15 543:9,17	636:19 655:10,22	493:18 504:11
602:9,13 603:19	552:16 554:15	671:15	553:13
604:3 605:4,13,16	558:6,20 560:21	identifying 627:12	independently
605:23 606:15,22	561:19 562:21	632:16 648:8	496:23 521:16
607:4,14,23 608:8	564:8,20 565:2,14	650:24	index 611:11
609:18 610:25	566:11 567:24	immediately	indicates 591:19
613:17 615:4	568:9,21 569:12	585:15 630:20	indirectly 685:16
616:3,12,14 617:6	570:19 573:4,15	impact 602:25	individual 522:10
617:11 619:14,20	573:21 575:5,13	604:12	523:24 525:2
620:5,15 621:8,24	580:25 581:13,24	impending 589:14	527:14 656:5
622:24 625:6,11	582:10 591:11	impermissible	666:25 670:5
627:16 628:6,14	597:10 598:3	513:16	individualized
629:18 630:2	600:17 658:4,14	implications	650:10
631:4,22 632:17	ian 453:7	504:21 515:24	individuals 523:20
633:8,14 634:2	idea 499:7 514:23	imply 527:23	530:8 667:2,5
635:4,17,20	598:16	importance	670:5,18 671:6
636:10,20 637:14	identification	529:15	industry 595:9,11
638:9,24 639:11	544:20 595:15	important 494:16	674:15
639:17 640:9,23	632:2 642:16	495:22 571:21,23	

[infinite - job] Page 19

	T	T	
infinite 630:18	insider 497:23	introduced 464:19	invite 677:12
informal 557:19	499:2 501:23	invest 658:18	involve 481:17
information	503:25	659:4,13 660:24	involved 494:21
466:21 488:8	insinuate 601:7	investigate 492:5	530:13 532:11
491:12 492:10	insist 679:13	494:17 581:4	539:3,8 557:6
493:7,14 495:16	insley 452:18	investigating	562:12 563:2
496:8 497:23,25	456:12 685:4,22	561:23	568:15 569:17,19
499:3,17 501:24	instances 495:10	investigation	569:21 581:2
503:11 507:20	496:6 510:21,22	553:14 554:4	589:16 590:17
509:10,15,18,19	511:2 513:7 552:5	557:4,10,11,12	600:12
509:25 510:8,24	552:13,22	558:4 559:3,11,25	involves 667:7
516:4 522:21	instruct 515:17	560:10 561:16	irony 463:11
552:16,24 560:3	542:16 559:4	564:3,7,11 565:9	irrespective
560:12,22,25,25	568:2	565:10 566:9	484:13 533:5
561:6,8 562:10	instructing 542:21	567:21 568:19	issue 484:4
583:13 614:14	instruction 568:23	investigations	issues 463:25
615:15 630:7	569:15	558:18 588:15	498:3 499:12
632:20,22,23	intend 680:5	investing 660:10	item 551:7
633:17 635:11	intended 530:18	661:9	items 506:21
650:7,11,14,19	intentions 484:14	investment 482:15	546:15 647:6,9
656:6 657:2,11,14	interest 599:3	537:5 646:22	648:14 669:21
657:15,18 658:6	interested 456:15	648:21,22 649:8	671:21
658:15 659:6,15	509:15 685:16	671:15	iterations 593:20
660:22 661:25	interference	investor 648:7,13	594:24
662:7,11,16 663:8	511:25	648:25 649:9,12	j
664:3,5,6,13 665:5	interferes 511:17	650:6,23 651:8,11	january 490:16
665:18 667:4,8	interfering 512:16	651:17,25 652:8	544:18 608:17
669:17,20 670:17	internal 498:4	652:15 653:22	jason 453:6
671:4,12,17	596:8	654:11 655:5,11	456:22 457:4
informs 650:12	internet 670:3,19	655:19,25 656:5	474:20 536:6
initial 552:11	interrogatories	656:21,23 657:7	555:13 672:3
562:12,13	610:13 611:19	657:11,21 658:2	678:23
initially 494:24	624:18 625:17,19	661:10,12 663:16	jerk 492:23 493:4
640:5	interrogatory	671:8	493:20 500:17
innocent 519:22	533:6 610:12	investors 604:10	501:16,16 502:8
input 523:13	611:10 614:11	648:16,23 650:11	508:5,10 529:14
561:12	619:4 622:23	650:15 653:24	584:3 588:14
inquiry 614:18	624:5,15 625:16	654:2,3 655:5	jersey 452:19
inserted 558:15	640:7,21	656:8,9,15 657:13	job 478:16 480:23
inside 466:20	interview 557:14	657:19 658:7,8,16	483:13 485:18
506:12,12,13	557:16	658:17,19	515:15 517:7
			313.13 317.7

[job - legal] Page 20

577:15 578:13,14	know 457:23	557:15,20,21,25	542:6 552:8
578:24 598:4	458:15 459:7	561:7 562:5,15	579:10 641:20
600:12 601:6,8	464:10 469:22,25	563:7 568:18	653:25
614:9 635:15	470:18 471:7,11	569:9,18,23 571:6	known 494:21
646:14	471:23 472:10,15	571:21 578:13	651:22 652:4
jobs 571:25	473:16 474:25	582:21,25 584:21	knows 661:6 668:8
john 578:17,21	476:24 477:11,15	586:12,20 587:3,5	668:10
jointly 677:22	478:24,25 479:2	589:9 590:4,17,24	l
judgment 623:23	479:10,11 480:7	591:6 594:18	l 455:2 457:14,14
656:25	481:2 482:4,10,19	595:12 597:10	/
july 584:12	482:21 484:19	598:7,15 599:12	604:25 644:6,7,7,7
jump 496:25	486:8,9,19,22	600:6 601:18,21	684:2
582:14	487:4,4,7,9,11	601:23 607:22	lacked 507:20
jumping 498:14	490:5 491:5	608:7 614:11	laid 534:9
june 584:12	493:19 494:7,18	615:9 616:10,13	large 488:6
justify 653:14,16	494:21 497:17,22	617:3,8,8,11,12	larger 508:13
k	497:24 498:9	620:8 626:22	laughing 517:9,15
	500:21,21,24	628:17 633:2	law 502:10 589:11
k 684:2	501:12 502:6,21	634:24 635:16	679:7
keep 470:16	503:8 504:11	637:12 638:4,8,22	lawyer 504:13,15
485:21,23,24	505:5,8,11,13	639:5,5,9,22	512:16 593:13
505:23 509:3	506:12,17 507:2,8	641:13,25 642:21	599:5
517:18,22 584:7	509:9,14,16 510:6	643:6,13 644:23	lawyers 504:20
612:13 638:18	510:16 511:13	644:24 645:12	507:17 599:18
678:25	512:15 513:4,23	649:14,16 651:3,6	656:25 657:4
keeping 471:18	514:7,13,22 515:2	651:7,10,13,17	lead 515:13
keeps 472:5	515:2 516:14	652:6,15,20,22	learn 489:8,23
kept 489:14	517:2 518:18	653:13,15,17	491:14 532:12
505:15 649:20	519:9 522:8,12,15	654:20 656:2	learned 493:11
651:19	523:17 528:17	657:6 662:5,22	499:16 583:11
kind 505:7 516:23	529:9 530:3	663:2,3,4 664:4,7	leave 492:13 654:3
516:24 618:15	531:18 532:14	665:2,6,14 666:3	leaves 492:11
knee 492:23 493:4	533:9,19,24,25	666:19 669:14,19	leaving 484:14
493:20 500:17	534:6,19,20,21,23	669:22 671:2	603:2 657:14,15
501:15,16 502:8	535:12,15,16,16	672:18 675:5	681:6
508:5,10 529:14	537:17 540:22	678:10 679:19	led 583:3 587:25
584:3 588:13	548:11,13 549:2,6	683:19	606:2
knew 494:25	551:8,13,14,17	knowing 494:23	leeway 677:15
498:10 500:19	553:19,22,25	663:21,22	left 673:14 675:9
501:4 515:25	554:16,18,20	knowledge 462:12	675:21
516:12,18 520:21	, ,	508:6 516:13	legal 456:10,12
520:21 645:4,6	556:9 557:5,6,8,10	300.0 310.13	561:16 566:22
- : , -			

[legal - lost] Page 21

560 4 5 500 5	660.01	10.40	600.4
568:4,5 599:7	669:21	listing 465:8	680:4
646:20 686:2	line 505:6 622:14	lists 547:13 636:24	look 465:12 466:2
legislate 477:8	686:5	639:16,17 647:12	469:6 484:4 495:3
579:7	linear 498:23	648:8,13,18,20	499:10,11 504:11
legislates 580:22	link 660:21	649:24 651:8,11	507:9 544:14,22
lender 644:10	list 459:8 490:3,22	651:17,25 652:8	550:20 552:4
length 580:22	490:25 491:6	655:12,25 656:3,6	554:18 562:22
lengthy 489:5	547:19 548:6,7,12	657:7,22 661:12	563:14 581:12
556:18 614:18	548:14 602:25	663:16	588:14 589:25
636:24 645:20	604:14 606:18,21	literally 567:14	595:13 597:7
668:11	606:24 607:16,19	569:2	613:15 617:20
letter 454:17	608:12 609:16	litigation 650:3	621:11 622:15
477:11 528:18	610:19,20 611:6	little 476:22	625:20 626:21
529:2,5,6,11	614:8,19 615:6	488:25 532:4	630:11,13 631:15
553:21,23 554:2	616:25 618:10	541:6,7 542:24	638:21 639:7
555:11 556:10,16	623:2,13 625:4,15	562:3 596:14	640:16 641:10
578:10 579:22	625:18 631:16	680:10	642:13 650:4
580:23 582:20	632:15 637:23	live 536:22	654:23 655:21
588:3 590:4,11,16	640:3,22 642:8	lived 496:13	656:7 660:14
591:10,15,18	645:15,20,25	llc 452:8 456:8	662:2 664:16
593:8,14,18 595:8	646:6,9 647:5,9	523:2 547:9	looked 469:8
598:12 599:2,21	648:3,5,17,18,23	578:15 579:2	496:23 498:3
600:22	648:25 649:9,12	589:20 596:18	499:5 500:25
letters 578:25	649:15,17,21,21	llp 453:3,10	560:18 583:20
579:9 594:17	649:23 650:8,12	loan 671:20	618:7 619:22
level 520:2,21	650:13,18,21,23	loans 644:12	620:25,25 630:15
565:18 587:11	651:7 652:15	locate 609:15	639:8 647:12
levine 453:14	653:23 654:7,11	located 648:17	654:4
lexington 453:12	655:5,19 656:23	649:2,25 671:16	looking 484:10,20
li 453:19 459:9	658:2 661:10	logistics 466:5	503:19 518:13,14
468:4 483:22	669:10,13 671:8	logs 660:2,15	534:13 547:5,6,16
liability 454:10	682:21	long 469:11	548:16,23 555:24
596:2	listed 476:13	475:21 488:24	556:5 596:20
life 586:23	549:3 605:7 628:4	493:24 500:20	599:21 612:19
light 659:19	631:6 648:2	579:23 588:11	613:2 614:19
limit 511:10 515:4	682:18	608:11 618:24	622:6 626:17
517:19 543:3	listen 668:22	637:22 660:3	629:5 641:12,15
558:14 667:10	listening 457:20	667:21	looks 476:10
limited 454:10	457:24 459:5	longer 478:22	643:11
503:9 596:2	460:21 512:6	500:20 540:5	lost 463:15 606:8,9
646:18 652:2	523:11	645:5 659:18	606:13

[lot - meet] Page 22

lot 470:3 478:22	mailed 503:15	making 492:6	675:4
535:25 662:3	547:4 550:11	494:16 500:17	materials 471:21
lou 456:25 457:18	657:17 663:5	505:8 522:2	477:7 488:12
458:19 459:2,24	664:17 669:19	526:23 540:23	489:9 491:16
466:3 475:3	mailing 547:15,21	558:5 573:4	494:6 495:13
485:10,20 509:3	548:9 658:4,14	management	498:25 502:13
513:14 515:6,20	mails 477:24	481:19	507:3 549:3
517:10 535:21	478:20 479:12,14	manager 483:9	592:17 593:2,21
536:8 554:24	480:2,7,15,20	661:6	594:7,25 602:24
555:15 563:10	482:6,24 483:19	managing 579:5	606:5 608:10
612:2,24 623:17	484:11 485:5	manuals 477:8	618:23 619:24
638:14	486:11,14,21	480:16	620:2 621:2
louder 470:23	487:12,13,17	marcelo 453:18	643:25 644:13,21
louis 453:13	488:12 490:4,19	456:9	646:17,18
lower 644:8	490:24 491:7,11	mark 475:21	matter 456:7
lunch 602:3	492:19 497:6	476:17 477:12	462:7 500:16
m	499:16 500:11	478:4 484:9 486:6	587:6 606:12
m 457:14 590:9	501:9 502:20,22	499:4 505:9 541:4	674:6 685:17
684:2	504:16,17 510:7	541:12 550:16	matters 668:5
machines 489:15	510:22 511:3	578:17,18,21	mean 457:24
magnitude 503:20	513:8 516:3 517:5	579:6 586:4	458:5 470:14
503:22 504:9,20	549:5,14 552:5	601:14	474:23 477:23
510:2,15 515:24	562:21 580:25	mark's 491:16	497:12 503:3
516:19 519:24	581:13,24 601:22	marked 454:15	504:25 524:3,12
584:5	607:12 613:4	464:25 465:20	530:18 536:24
mail 475:22	617:8,20 619:22	466:9 544:14,19	537:3 538:17
478:14 479:5,20	620:25 625:25	595:14 631:25	555:16,18 563:10
487:8 488:14,21	627:7,8,19 629:14	637:16 642:15	564:13 576:2
489:15,21,22	629:23 630:19	market 615:17	583:6 586:20
502:13,20 510:20	639:17 641:20	617:15 634:22	587:10 616:9
519:15,17 552:16	650:5,6 654:24	637:25	658:20 659:11
552:17 603:3	655:14,22 656:7	marriage 685:15	660:4
607:15 612:5	656:20	master 649:21	meaning 552:14
614:21,21 621:3	main 670:20	material 489:24	means 511:14
629:7,15 640:17	maintained	495:25 497:20,24	541:5 678:11
643:21 655:6	648:20 649:2,3,17	501:17 506:21	meant 487:20
662:4,20 663:12	649:18,22 651:2	551:5 596:15,21	measure 514:8
663:13 664:7,21	maintaining 481:7	597:13,24 598:10	521:20 529:8
665:7 666:5,9,21	661:12	599:22 600:9	meat 493:23
667:4 669:17	major 499:6	601:10 603:11	meet 467:5
670:4,17 671:5		619:2 632:20	
0/0.4,1/0/1.3			

meeting 523:6,8	methods 671:14	667:15 668:21	588:19
542:10 555:24	671:16	669:5 681:22	misstates 485:7
556:6 557:7,9	metric 529:7	misappropriate	487:6 494:14
meetings 467:4,8	mexico 665:9,9	654:16 655:18	495:19 499:20
467:18,22 468:3,6	middle 512:10	misappropriated	533:14 535:18
468:18,19 469:2	515:10 574:3	562:9 650:9	583:17
469:11	627:11,25 629:20	655:11,25 656:2	misstating 496:10
mei 453:19 459:9	669:7,9 672:18	657:6 659:5,14	512:3 513:12
468:4 483:22	675:19 676:17	661:24 663:15	516:7
member 481:9	677:8 678:5	664:2 665:4,17	mistakes 563:6
523:2 596:19	679:11,25 681:7	669:15	misunderstanding
member's 596:15	682:17,24	misappropriating	459:6,24
596:21,22	millions 622:12	656:22 657:21	misunderstood
members 467:16	mind 461:23	misappropriation	484:23
561:13	534:22 545:13	559:12 560:2,11	models 630:21
membership	609:16 610:8	636:13,16 643:15	moment 468:17
599:3	657:9,12	650:10	moments 482:7
memoranda	minds 510:3	misappropriations	531:7 654:19
646:17	minimize 579:12	560:21	monica 453:15
memorization	minimum 677:20	mischaracterize	457:9 467:21
618:21	minnesota 602:17	537:9	monitor 483:5
memorize 615:8	603:22 604:8,22	mischaracterized	month 557:10,11
615:12 618:12	607:2 658:10,25	521:11	557:11
622:4 664:17	659:2,7,12,17,23	mischaracterizing	monthly 629:10
memory 607:16	659:24 660:23,24	577:24	months 588:15,15
607:18 618:15,25	661:8 662:6,16	misconduct	monticciolo
630:18	663:10,24 664:12	584:25	452:17 454:4,7
mention 603:21	665:2 666:24	misinformation	456:1,6 457:1
604:15,22	667:2 669:18	513:10	458:1 459:1 460:1
mentioned 521:19	670:7	missed 532:3	461:1,4 462:1
534:13 548:24	minnesota's	548:15 624:25	463:1,20 464:1,7
572:12 593:15,17	661:24,25 662:11	missing 496:22	464:22 465:1,21
604:8,21 605:8	663:7 664:2,6	509:11 666:15	466:1,13 467:1
629:11 630:22	665:4,18 666:9,20	misstate 485:15	468:1 469:1 470:1
634:23,25 655:4	670:3,16	499:23 500:3,6	471:1,22 472:1,10
658:22,22 661:2	minute 604:6	511:14 513:18	472:15 473:1
664:24 670:25	609:5 674:3	535:23	474:1 475:1,5
671:25	680:19	misstated 510:11	476:1 477:1 478:1
met 466:18 556:3	minutes 515:19	511:5,6,12	479:1,9 480:1
mothodically.	537:23 588:10	misstatement	481:1 482:1 483:1
methodically 603:11 606:16	636:19 665:13	506:3 512:5	484:1,8 485:1,14

[monticciolo - negotiating]

Page 24

486:1,4 487:1	573:13 574:1,16	668:1 669:1 670:1	need 465:4 473:5
488:1 489:1 490:1	575:1 576:1,13	671:1 672:1 673:1	474:23 482:11,12
490:13 491:1	577:1 578:1 579:1	674:1,3 675:1	485:12 491:20
492:1,3 493:1,5	580:1 581:1 582:1	676:1,9 677:1	494:7 495:8 498:4
494:1 495:1,8	583:1 584:1 585:1	678:1,12 679:1,22	499:3,10 501:12
496:1 497:1,4	586:1,16 587:1,7	680:1 681:1,6	502:11 504:3,15
498:1,13 499:1,22	588:1,9 589:1	682:1,13 683:1	506:17 507:2
500:1 501:1 502:1	590:1 591:1 592:1	684:7,15 685:5	514:6,12,17,21,25
502:11 503:1	593:1 594:1 595:1	686:4	517:11,18 521:24
504:1 505:1 506:1	595:18 596:1	morning 456:2	522:5 530:10
506:25 507:1	597:1 598:1 599:1	464:7,8	536:5,7 537:11
508:1 509:1,9	600:1 601:1 602:1	motion 623:23	556:13 563:16
510:1 511:1 512:1	602:8 603:1 604:1	mouth 527:21	574:2 576:13,15
512:25 513:1	605:1 606:1 607:1	move 627:9,10	576:17 579:2,3
514:1,6,12 515:1	607:19 608:1,4	630:7	589:10 597:17
515:21 516:1	609:1,3,14 610:1,9	moving 638:18	606:17 607:18
517:1,24 518:1	610:15 611:1	multiple 481:13	622:15 624:23
519:1 520:1,13,18	612:1 613:1 614:1	541:11 655:24	638:16 649:14,16
521:1 522:1,6	614:5 615:1,23	n	651:7 652:6,20,21
523:1,16 524:1	616:1 617:1 618:1	n 453:2 454:2	652:23 653:5,9,12
525:1,5 526:1,15	618:19 619:1	455:2 457:14	653:14,16 672:2,7
527:1 528:1,6	620:1,20 621:1,6	604:25 684:2,2	683:2,4
529:1 530:1 531:1	622:1,19 623:1	name 456:9	needed 497:7
532:1 533:1 534:1	624:1,23 625:1	578:20 579:4	498:3,18 499:5
535:1,3 536:1	626:1 627:1 628:1	604:6 624:11	500:25 501:13
537:1,16 538:1,9	629:1 630:1 631:1	644:6 650:6 686:3	504:20 510:5,9
539:1 540:1,11	632:1 633:1,6	686:4	569:24 576:8
541:1 542:1 543:1	634:1,14 635:1	names 484:2	583:20 632:13
544:1,12 545:1	636:1 637:1,4,17	632:23 633:23	637:7 671:10
546:1,7 547:1	638:1,3,19 639:1	nature 588:8	673:14 682:5
548:1 549:1 550:1	639:16 640:1	633:7 635:3 645:4	needing 583:15
550:9 551:1 552:1	641:1 642:1,19	nda 615:19 639:23	needs 495:24
553:1 554:1 555:1	643:1 644:1 645:1	640:2	500:15 514:3
555:3 556:1 557:1	646:1 647:1 648:1	ndas 605:12,15	515:20 540:5
558:1 559:1,9	649:1 650:1 651:1	607:13	635:8
560:1 561:1 562:1	652:1 653:1 654:1	necessary 509:11	neglect 500:24
563:1,25 564:1,19	655:1,7 656:1	554:12 614:3	negotiate 476:5
565:1 566:1 567:1	657:1 658:1 659:1	681:5	negotiated 581:15
568:1,6 569:1,9	660:1 661:1 662:1	necessitated	negotiating 492:7
570:1 571:1,9	663:1 664:1,22	614:18	581:22
572:1,2,10 573:1	665:1 666:1 667:1	017.10	

negotiations 476:8	644:11 664:16	671:5 673:12	575:7 577:21
581:20	683:25	numbered 629:24	578:11 582:3,11
neither 468:24	notice 454:16	numbers 552:6	584:17 585:2
net 674:18	461:22 464:14,17	625:10 627:8	588:17 592:3,10
network 627:4,13	465:2,8,8,25 482:9	662:20 667:4	593:11 594:14
627:15	483:3,21 582:16	670:21	595:5 601:4 607:6
never 492:13	582:25 583:23	numeration	607:7 616:5 623:3
556:3 579:8,14	584:11 585:16	490:17	632:4 635:23
582:6 587:20	586:10 587:24	numerous 488:19	637:18 641:3,6
588:20 645:6	589:5,13,21	490:2 594:3 595:9	653:6
667:23,24	596:24 597:4,12	605:12	objected 609:21
new 452:3,19,19	598:9,17,25	0	objecting 567:10
453:5,5,12,12	599:11 600:9,20	o 455:2 457:14,14	612:16
464:21 576:9	601:9 614:16	457:14,14 630:23	objection 461:9
665:8,9,9	632:6 633:20	644:6,7,8 684:2	462:25 463:2,9,10
nine 546:4	635:25 637:20	oath 455:17	479:21 480:22
noise 525:19	641:5 669:4 674:7	472:20 684:9	481:5 483:20
nokley 601:20	674:8,9 675:11	object 477:25	509:23,23 511:11
602:16 603:21	683:10	479:6 480:10	511:21,23 512:23
604:5,15 606:25	notices 668:6	482:8 483:2	522:17 533:15
non 488:13 576:20	noticing 456:21	484:16,18 485:8	537:9 567:19
577:7,19 602:18	notwithstanding	487:5 494:10,13	569:14 583:17
602:19 605:10	461:24	495:18 496:9,19	632:8 633:19
nonappearance	november 452:12	499:19 500:8	634:6 638:13,15
683:21	456:4 466:23	506:2 508:25	638:17
noncompete 476:9	684:10 685:6,20	509:2,5 510:10	objectionable
nonconfidential	686:3	511:4 512:2,3,4	515:16 537:10
497:25	number 461:13	513:13 514:19	objections 455:10
nondisclosures	462:3 465:14,14	515:4,15 516:6	456:19 485:25
602:23	488:6 511:12,17	517:8,11,16 520:6	511:10 512:19,21
nonpublic 503:10	511:18 572:15	520:24 535:17	517:19,19 611:18
norm 674:15	590:6 591:19,21	537:11 539:21	624:17
notary 452:18	606:5 609:22,23	540:18 541:8	objective 476:11
457:16 684:20	610:12 611:12,22	543:11 547:23	obligations 492:21
686:24	622:2 624:6,15	550:13 551:21	493:8 547:9
note 612:22	625:16 627:12	552:18 553:2	561:19 565:3
633:18 679:21	628:5 629:12	560:5,14 561:24	619:6
682:7	631:18 641:9	563:8 565:16	observing 496:6
noted 602:16	642:19 652:10	566:5,13 567:4	obvious 595:11
619:24 629:8	663:11 666:9,21	568:10,22 569:5	obviously 483:14
630:21 632:9	669:16 670:4,17	570:21 572:5	497:17 501:4
		310.21 312.3	

[obviously - part] Page 26

506:6 554:24	610:14 611:4,21	oral 530:3 571:12	p
555:7 604:7 620:9	613:7 627:22	orally 570:16	
653:24 675:13,18	628:3,11,22,25	order 494:8	p 453:2,2 455:2
680:15 681:3	629:16 630:11	501:13 502:14	630:23
occasion 583:5	632:8 646:4	504:4 507:4	p.m. 602:2,5 609:9
occurred 494:4	651:10	569:25 576:10	609:12 672:11,14
oceanlinx 634:16	old 586:21 666:5	577:10 606:16	680:21 683:24,25
634:18,19,20	once 481:15	632:13	page 454:3,7,13 457:4 534:19
635:2,5,19,22	488:23 497:18	orders 680:14	537:7 596:6,7,8,10
october 464:11	514:9 558:12	orient 465:5	596:13 613:11,20
466:15,22 473:7	573:19 645:4	orienting 475:2	614:19 617:19
476:16 528:13,15	ones 605:7 617:25	outcome 456:15	619:11,12,13
557:7,9 558:2	622:10 623:5	685:17	621:21 622:22
582:20 583:5,25	628:17,18 640:4	outlined 480:16	625:8,21 626:13
584:13,20 585:5	676:11	outlines 629:23	628:2,10 629:9
585:14 588:4	ongoing 587:13	outside 463:22	631:7,17 632:14
591:23 592:6	open 674:7,7	466:24 476:2,17	640:19,20,20
598:11 599:2,20	683:9	488:8,11,18,22	641:2 642:4,23
600:22 640:18	opinion 599:7,8	489:11,14,17	643:8,20 686:5
645:19 647:9	668:23	490:25 491:7,12	pages 613:23
675:24,25	opinions 646:21	492:19 493:7,14	615:9 622:22
offend 519:5	646:23,25 647:20	494:6 495:13	623:12,13 624:19
offense 676:15	opportunities	499:18 501:3	625:2,18 626:9,10
offensive 509:6	606:8,10,13	504:17 505:18	628:12,12 640:6
offer 597:5	613:13 615:15,25	506:19 513:8	pagination 596:8
offering 597:25	616:11 617:2	518:25 520:10	panic 497:18
646:16,17	644:13	521:18 522:3,19	paragraph 545:17
officer 455:16	opportunity	523:12 524:17,22	545:19,21 547:6,7
oh 548:13,17	535:10 549:22	530:22 531:2,8	548:6 549:3
549:17	555:4 575:25	533:10 534:3	593:25 613:11,14
okay 457:11	598:18 599:14	537:19 541:14,16	631:9
465:10 466:12	603:7 609:15	541:19,25 542:13	paralegal 459:15
480:3 485:17,22	615:17 617:16	543:8,16 552:9,25	460:17 463:4
536:6 537:24	618:2,3 638:2	553:7 561:12	parenthetical
541:16 545:6,18	643:23	562:23 583:12	547:17,18
545:20,25 546:13	opposed 486:14	592:17 593:3,22	part 468:2 478:10
546:20,24 548:17	opposing 682:3	594:7 595:2	478:11 479:24
552:2 559:22	opposite 501:7	601:15 605:13	480:23 481:6,15
570:24 576:10	opposition 623:22	634:11 636:4	481:22 482:2,14
585:13 587:10	options 576:21	643:12,25 654:17	482:16 484:6,12
596:17 609:23		654:20	484:20 486:10,13
	1	1	<u> </u>

[part - plus] Page 27

486:21 487:8	partnership 581:6	perfect 491:25	666:9,20 667:4
530:11 531:15	646:19	performed 517:3	669:16 670:4,17
532:3 593:5 594:8	parts 634:12	551:19	670:20 671:4
600:23 602:20	party 456:14	period 487:8	physical 555:16,22
603:8 620:18	634:14,15 649:3	526:11 539:11	pick 527:19
625:22 650:17	685:10	546:16 557:3	piece 498:16,17
653:25 655:16	passive 523:15		527:7 561:21
656:4 657:7,22	585:23	656:15 660:6,12 666:2	622:21
	path 521:2		
parte 680:24	*	periodic 475:22	pieces 477:14
partial 489:5	paul 452:4 453:7	477:22,24 478:7	place 467:8 483:12
participants	476:19 477:6	478:10,12,13,20	483:19 484:13
633:23	479:11,24 484:10	479:4,19 480:6,20	522:25 528:10
particular 475:10	487:13 494:20	482:6,17,22,23	579:17 634:8
489:19 545:12	496:11 497:22,24	483:6,8,11,18	668:12
551:17 636:16	498:6,9 499:7	484:12 485:4	places 488:21
particularly 493:2	508:7 579:23	486:11,14,22	plaintiff 452:5
494:19,20 562:5	586:5,7,9,9,15,18	487:12 545:15	453:4 456:24
588:7 677:14	594:17,19,23	550:11,22 551:19	547:20 565:13
parties 455:6	595:7 650:19,22	581:23 582:9	613:12 628:21
462:21 482:16	651:3,6,10,17	periodically 480:2	plaintiff's 564:3,7
493:18 541:4	652:16 653:20	480:14	568:20 614:21
602:19 633:24,25	655:6 656:8,15	periods 587:5	624:18
634:4,5 650:2	660:12 661:6	674:8,8	plaintiffs 609:21
685:15	paul's 477:12	permanent 476:11	plan 493:21 588:2
partner 481:12	650:13 666:5	476:13	618:4
493:2 494:20	pay 536:3	permitted 460:9	play 459:7 559:9
495:23 496:24	people 459:8,8,25	462:6 512:9	please 456:19
500:18 502:8,9	462:23 463:19	person 518:10	457:13 485:21,23
505:24 508:6	466:20,24 478:4	527:16 529:20,23	509:3 511:19,24
510:16 518:11,19	481:18 483:14,24	568:15	512:16 513:24
578:14 586:15,18	498:4,9 521:19	personal 488:21	515:19 517:17,21
586:24 587:2,16	522:11 523:22,22	489:21,22 497:6	536:3 541:7
587:21 588:12,21	524:10,12 526:25	502:13,20 603:3	544:22 556:20
588:21 589:5,22	527:11 528:5	607:7,15 615:16	565:22 574:23
605:14 651:4	530:19,20 534:16	629:14 634:10	580:13 590:5
partner's 493:8	579:11,17 651:7	664:7	606:11 618:19
partners 481:19	652:7,14,19,24	personally 517:2,5	620:23 638:17
502:10 516:21	653:2,4,13 661:3	perspective 678:8	648:11 650:17
578:16 579:3,5	perceived 518:19	phone 468:7 523:9	667:15 669:6
604:17 606:25	percent 614:25	529:21,23 660:19	plus 586:23,24
		662:20 663:11	587:17 667:8

[point - probably] Page 28

point 476:6 477:2	policy 483:14	523:24 524:2	presumably
492:8,15 498:24	497:14 530:12,14	570:9 588:24	459:10
500:11,14 507:10	530:14 579:16	practices 495:6	presume 519:22
514:15 519:25	politely 606:10	504:2 509:17	pretty 476:25
520:20 551:5	portion 466:16,25	574:9 578:2	499:4 529:5 570:3
584:22 586:13,16	506:20 545:12,16	precise 562:3	595:8 617:14
586:19,22 587:17	position 461:25	precluded 509:20	660:5
591:23 592:6,19	462:7,10,16,19	precludes 460:5	previously 454:15
592:24 593:25	507:23 518:24	precursory 505:10	464:25 470:8
613:16 616:25	563:23 585:11	prefer 572:24,25	502:17 548:18
619:10,11,13	619:8 634:2	preferably 504:10	551:24 561:11
620:11 621:21	635:17 656:24	preferred 630:14	562:11 576:18
631:16 632:14	675:6	prematurely	590:3,14 592:22
633:16 637:6	positions 498:9	672:21	605:8,10 618:8,11
638:6 640:3,17,22	possibilities	premises 508:9	629:11
640:25 641:14	507:17	preparation 551:2	primarily 475:2
642:4,23 643:7,20	possibility 577:9	554:8 591:10	print 497:7
652:10 655:3,14	659:19	614:15 618:13	prior 466:18
656:10 681:2,21	possible 493:23	prepare 466:14,15	478:14 479:3,18
pointed 615:8	535:23 664:13	466:25 467:6	480:8,18 481:4
630:19 637:4,24	666:18	484:5 554:7	484:23 488:19
637:24 639:13,16	possibly 468:15	556:13 589:10	497:16 531:23
640:5 646:12	503:12 632:8,10	597:18,21 598:5	554:10 570:17
points 613:20	668:4	668:4 671:10	571:12 580:24
614:19 615:2	post 532:11 610:5	prepared 563:22	582:19 583:24
619:9 620:4,14	663:24	570:7 592:20	588:3 589:5
621:7	posted 632:12	614:7,9 617:20	597:25 598:11
polarized 569:2	potential 559:12	676:21 677:3,21	599:20 600:21
policies 475:16,18	581:25 584:4	679:9,12,15	620:19 675:25
476:21 477:3,6	615:17,18,19	preparing 470:12	private 497:25
478:17 480:16	616:10 637:25	471:6,25 472:12	499:3 501:23
488:16,19 492:4	641:11 642:10	472:17	privilege 515:17
492:22 493:22	potentially 500:15	present 453:17	561:2 562:18
494:22 496:8	513:9 521:16	456:16 467:17	probably 487:21
505:16,18,22	583:14 587:4	presented 478:9	504:13 522:20
531:15 579:7,18	633:23,25 634:3,4	516:16 548:25	537:4 546:12
594:4 595:9	power 629:21	549:9 575:25	552:2 574:19
633:22 646:24	practice 462:12	presenting 571:20	578:18 590:20
671:20 673:2	501:17 502:4	637:2	591:4 618:22
674:5	504:6,7 508:5	preserve 511:22	635:8 651:5
	514:8,11 519:21		
	1	1	I.

problem 466:4,7	607:11,17 608:2	589:5,13,21 597:3	purposes 590:19
499:7 508:13	613:24 617:24	600:8 607:20	pursuant 452:17
561:4 563:16	630:25	608:20 619:7	pursue 475:24
647:25 668:10	product 562:15	681:4	481:25 643:23
679:12	590:21,25 591:4	provided 523:11	659:20 660:10
problematic 469:5	production 591:20	523:21 542:2	pursued 476:2
procedure 577:19	650:2	560:25 561:6,8,18	501:2 507:13
procedures 475:16	professionals	569:11 582:25	549:16 554:12
475:19 476:21	577:16,18	583:23 597:11	pursuing 541:12
477:3,7 478:17	program 629:22	598:8 622:12	659:19
483:15 492:4,23	programs 632:21	625:3 628:24	put 488:22 512:19
493:22 494:22	projections 627:3	630:23 633:16	512:21 540:3
505:17 522:2	prolongs 511:19	638:7 645:20,25	544:15 610:3,11
671:20	promised 679:5	647:13 650:7	611:17,25 618:11
proceed 581:14	promising 678:25	provides 596:19	623:5,14,25
proceeded 565:13	promptly 556:25	644:10	631:18 650:18,21
566:10	proper 485:25	providing 523:13	651:2
proceeding 456:19	492:6 495:21	provision 596:21	puts 650:22
476:24	653:10,11 680:25	ps 578:22	putting 493:23
process 475:21	properly 478:16	public 452:18	562:6 676:18
476:24 492:24,24	492:24 493:17	457:16 684:20	q
493:16 494:16	504:10 522:13	686:24	qualification
	1		uuaiiiicauvii
495:6,21 503:4	661:12	pull 474:21 595:21	-
505:8 519:3	proprietary	622:2 629:7 641:9	548:15
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24	proprietary 491:12 499:17	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21	_
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18	548:15 quantify 653:21
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2 585:17 629:21	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2 585:17 629:21 protect 477:7	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2 585:17 629:21 protect 477:7 506:10	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2 585:17 629:21 protect 477:7 506:10 protected 477:2	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11 527:15	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2 585:17 629:21 protect 477:7 506:10 protected 477:2 648:14	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11 653:22 654:16	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11 484:17,25 485:9
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11 527:15 processing 602:23	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2 585:17 629:21 protect 477:7 506:10 protected 477:2 648:14 protecting 647:7	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11 653:22 654:16 682:19,20	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11 484:17,25 485:9 485:13 487:6
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11 527:15 processing 602:23 produce 557:18	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2 585:17 629:21 protect 477:7 506:10 protected 477:2 648:14 protecting 647:7 protection 507:18	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11 653:22 654:16 682:19,20 purporting 512:12	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11 484:17,25 485:9 485:13 487:6 489:3,4 491:20,24
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11 527:15 processing 602:23 produce 557:18 produced 454:14	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2 585:17 629:21 protect 477:7 506:10 protected 477:2 648:14 protecting 647:7 protection 507:18 508:11	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11 653:22 654:16 682:19,20 purporting 512:12 513:22	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11 484:17,25 485:9 485:13 487:6 489:3,4 491:20,24 493:25 494:11,14
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11 527:15 processing 602:23 produce 557:18 produced 454:14 480:15 486:11	proprietary 491:12 499:17 510:23 552:23 632:21 648:14 prospective 583:2 585:17 629:21 protect 477:7 506:10 protected 477:2 648:14 protecting 647:7 protection 507:18 508:11 protections 492:9	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11 653:22 654:16 682:19,20 purporting 512:12 513:22 purpose 464:9	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11 484:17,25 485:9 485:13 487:6 489:3,4 491:20,24 493:25 494:11,14 495:19 496:10,20
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11 527:15 processing 602:23 produce 557:18 produced 454:14 480:15 486:11 489:25 491:15	## proprietary ## 491:12 499:17 ## 510:23 552:23 ## 632:21 648:14 ## prospective 583:2 ## 585:17 629:21 ## protect 477:7 ## 506:10 ## protected 477:2 ## 648:14 ## protecting 647:7 ## protection 507:18 ## 508:11 ## protections 492:9 ## proven 519:22	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11 653:22 654:16 682:19,20 purporting 512:12 513:22 purpose 464:9 486:15 502:21,23	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11 484:17,25 485:9 485:13 487:6 489:3,4 491:20,24 493:25 494:11,14 495:19 496:10,20 499:13,14,20,23
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11 527:15 processing 602:23 produce 557:18 produced 454:14 480:15 486:11 489:25 491:15 555:12 556:11,21	## Proprietary ## 491:12 499:17 ## 510:23 552:23 ## 632:21 648:14 ## Prospective 583:2 ## 585:17 629:21 ## protect 477:7 ## 506:10 ## protected 477:2 ## 648:14 ## protection 507:18 ## 508:11 ## protections 492:9 ## provide 474:14	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11 653:22 654:16 682:19,20 purporting 512:12 513:22 purpose 464:9 486:15 502:21,23 503:6,7 577:18	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11 484:17,25 485:9 485:13 487:6 489:3,4 491:20,24 493:25 494:11,14 495:19 496:10,20 499:13,14,20,23 500:7,9 501:8
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11 527:15 processing 602:23 produce 557:18 produced 454:14 480:15 486:11 489:25 491:15 555:12 556:11,21 556:25 591:16,18	## proprietary ## 491:12 499:17 ## 510:23 552:23 ## 632:21 648:14 ## prospective 583:2 ## 585:17 629:21 ## protect 477:7 ## 506:10 ## protected 477:2 ## 648:14 ## protecting 647:7 ## protection 507:18 ## 508:11 ## protections 492:9 ## provide 474:14 ## 567:22 584:10	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11 653:22 654:16 682:19,20 purporting 512:12 513:22 purpose 464:9 486:15 502:21,23	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11 484:17,25 485:9 485:13 487:6 489:3,4 491:20,24 493:25 494:11,14 495:19 496:10,20 499:13,14,20,23 500:7,9 501:8 507:8 508:4,15,23
505:8 519:3 521:21,22,24 527:9 533:19 534:9,11 539:4,8 550:19 554:11,19 556:18 571:24 572:8,9 577:24 580:2,3 653:10,12 processes 527:11 527:15 processing 602:23 produce 557:18 produced 454:14 480:15 486:11 489:25 491:15 555:12 556:11,21	## Proprietary ## 491:12 499:17 ## 510:23 552:23 ## 632:21 648:14 ## Prospective 583:2 ## 585:17 629:21 ## protect 477:7 ## 506:10 ## protected 477:2 ## 648:14 ## protection 507:18 ## 508:11 ## protections 492:9 ## provide 474:14	622:2 629:7 641:9 642:12 643:21 669:8 676:16 pulled 642:18 681:15 pulling 614:2 630:7 679:10 purport 500:4 purported 648:11 653:22 654:16 682:19,20 purporting 512:12 513:22 purpose 464:9 486:15 502:21,23 503:6,7 577:18	548:15 quantify 653:21 654:6 question 455:11 460:8 463:14 471:13,15,17 472:5 475:4 478:3 479:7,17 480:11 484:17,25 485:9 485:13 487:6 489:3,4 491:20,24 493:25 494:11,14 495:19 496:10,20 499:13,14,20,23 500:7,9 501:8 507:8 508:4,15,23 510:11 511:5,16

[question - recall] Page 30

514:24 515:16	620:7,12,18,19,22	quickly 615:2	618:3,4 637:25
516:7 517:12	620:23,23,24	quite 461:17 493:2	644:11
520:7,17,25	623:4,11 624:22	498:6 501:5	really 476:10,15
524:16 526:21	625:21 626:13	516:20 519:3	492:14 496:24
531:4 534:8,23	627:9,21 628:19	567:19 615:23	517:10 526:9
535:18,22,23,24	629:9,13 633:11	681:18	549:20 574:17
536:3,10,13 537:6	635:24 637:5,8,10	quote 489:11	580:9 587:6
537:13,15,16	637:11,19 638:4	500:4	662:21
539:22 540:12	641:4,6,18,24	\mathbf{r}	reason 474:13,24
541:2,9 543:3,12	643:3,16 645:18	r 453:2 604:25	500:23 503:12
547:24 548:2	652:5 653:7	630:23 644:6	505:13 534:7
549:8 550:14	655:21 657:12,16	685:3	566:16 588:23
551:12,22 552:19	658:12 659:10	r&d 635:7	589:13 594:9
552:20 553:3	661:19,20 673:6	raise 463:9,9,25	634:9 636:4
555:23 556:8,9	673:10,20,21	680:5	643:24 651:15
558:15 559:16,23	674:2	raised 592:8	657:24 659:3
560:6 561:25	questioning	range 551:15	661:17 670:24
562:2 564:2	523:10 622:14	ranges 628:12	686:5
565:17,19,22	681:7	reach 520:20	reasonable 471:5
566:6,7,8,14	questions 459:18	570:11 659:24	471:19 526:11
567:18,20 568:11	462:21 463:6	663:12 677:3,22	570:8 591:15
568:23 569:6	465:13 472:23	678:14,21 680:23	598:4 618:9
570:4,7,23 571:7,9	475:2 478:23	681:2 682:3	622:14 641:19
572:6,19,25	484:7 485:2	reached 518:3	reasonableness
574:17,20,24	508:21 512:14	663:10,23 670:6	641:23
575:8,9 577:22	533:4 535:6	670:18 671:6	reasons 497:7,11
578:12 580:4,5,13	536:15,20 540:9	reaching 520:2	564:11,13,14,17
580:17 581:17	554:7,21,25 555:6	660:12 679:13,19	564:19,22,24
582:4,12 584:8,9	556:14 559:18	680:11	565:4,13 566:10
584:10,18,19	563:4,20 564:17	reactions 588:14	676:8
585:3 586:3 587:9	580:9 591:13	read 474:22	recall 464:12
587:9,15 588:18	595:25 601:3	491:18 535:21	466:17 468:4,9,17
589:19 591:3	603:9,13,14,23	536:12 537:6	469:3,8 470:3
592:4,5,11,24,24	604:21 614:7,13	548:3 565:22,23	473:12 475:21
593:12 594:15,20	632:6 636:15	580:19 591:14	477:11 485:6
595:6 599:18	638:15 667:20,22	594:17 630:5	487:18,21 491:3,9
600:7,8,19 601:5	667:25 668:11	631:7 684:8	523:9 524:24
601:12,14 606:11	677:17	ready 520:4,22	526:19 528:22,23
606:18 607:7	quibble 574:22	596:16 610:16	529:22 531:16
610:17,21 616:6	quick 546:22	real 536:13,17,20	539:3 540:21
618:20 619:8	567:4 621:14	615:17 617:15	550:17 551:9,23
		013.17 017.13	

[recall - rejected] Page 31

552:3 553:9	543:2,8,16,23	672:14,19 675:17	475:12 482:18	
556:12,18 557:17	544:3,5 557:25	678:4,4,8 679:21	488:11,14 489:12	
579:3 613:22	558:4 560:24	681:9 682:8 683:3	489:16 490:7,9,10	
644:24 645:3,6,10	561:10,11 570:18	683:5,18,24	490:12 544:24	
645:17,22 647:22	571:14,20 572:11	684:11,12 685:13	545:4,8 546:8	
648:8 654:25	572:20 573:2,15	recording 471:5	586:2 590:13	
recalls 485:11	573:20 574:6,11	519:15,17 602:24	605:15,18 610:7	
recanting 478:7	575:4,12,17,21	681:11	618:25 621:18	
received 574:5	576:3,6,9,11,14,16	records 649:19	626:10,23 630:4	
641:10 642:10	576:19 577:6,6,11	recovery 604:17	649:24,25 650:8	
receiving 568:16	578:4,5 584:21	606:25	657:22	
596:24	585:6,8,8,14,20,22	reed 453:10	refers 593:14	
recess 538:6 602:3	585:24 586:4,7,8	463:23 467:23	reflective 621:7	
609:10 672:12	588:3 592:21	468:14 474:8	reflects 641:15	
recognize 595:17	593:6 599:25	refer 489:10	refusal 682:3	
596:4	600:15,24,25	608:10,11 618:23	refused 660:24	
recollection 467:9	601:16	618:24 628:20	refusing 536:21	
467:25 469:15,20	recommendations	reference 464:18	560:17	
524:9 551:14,16	475:24 477:17	475:3 493:22	regarding 581:3	
551:18 571:17	522:3 523:23	547:20 551:11	618:2 635:12	
656:12 660:17	528:3 534:12	607:11 611:6	638:15	
recommend	541:15 554:11	612:20 619:4	regardless 573:19	
476:19 524:14	558:19 565:11	625:10 629:8	573:23	
528:5 539:17,19	566:2,3 570:15	631:9,10 640:17	registered 481:20	
recommendation	571:11 577:13	642:18	482:15	
476:17 477:9,19	recommended	referenced 465:3	regular 653:3	
485:3 506:8	600:21	477:16 482:7	regulations 479:15	
520:12 522:11	recommending	483:11,18 484:25	482:23 508:9	
523:9,16,18,21,21	578:7	531:7 544:12	regulators 481:8	
523:25 524:5	record 456:3,18	611:7 613:19	501:22	
525:4,8,10,15,20	463:18 464:4	619:10 622:6	regulatory 497:21	
526:2,15,23 527:4	471:3 511:21	633:15 637:6,16	499:7,12 504:22	
529:20 530:7,25	512:20,22 513:23	646:10	508:13 587:4	
531:6,25 532:5,8	515:7 532:24	references 590:8	588:8,22 589:4,7	
532:21 533:11	535:8,9 538:5,8	629:14 636:23	589:10,15 648:15	
534:4,8,20,24	548:3 565:23	referencing 609:3	reiterate 568:23	
535:14 537:20	580:19 601:24	611:8 614:8,21	673:11	
538:10,14,21,25	602:2,6 609:7,9,12	624:20 625:4	reiterated 534:15	
539:10,15 540:15	611:9 612:7,10,12	626:12	reject 575:21	
540:24 541:3,12	612:16,21 632:9	referring 458:6,25	rejected 576:3	
541:20 542:4,14	668:18 672:3,8,11	467:14 475:10,11		

[relate - retain] Page 32

rolato 561:2 7 11	report 500:25	635:14 637:12	671.11 675.2 16
relate 564:3,7,11 related 456:13	repeat 509:25 513:3 524:17	643:6 655:8	674:11 675:3,16
			responding 666:6
489:15 560:10	548:2 552:20	representatives	response 461:21
563:11 565:12	556:7 559:22	457:20 458:8,21	479:10 608:23
578:15 589:8	560:7 565:19	459:3,11 460:15	611:10 615:13
604:5,7 615:18	566:7 571:7 575:9	460:19 461:2	622:23 623:8,11
621:2 644:13	580:15 592:5	462:3	623:13 624:5,7,10
646:21,22	661:18	represented	624:14 625:8,15
relates 629:10	repeated 533:23	486:20 525:25	625:22 626:11,13
relative 528:17	repeating 485:17	534:14 685:11	626:14,17,19,20
relevance 514:18	rephrased 567:15	representing	627:12,23,25
545:22 580:9	report 557:18,22	461:16 530:12	628:19 636:17
622:16	557:23	615:20	640:7 641:8
relevant 466:19	reported 494:3	request 556:24	645:14
482:23 514:14	496:5 505:2	638:8 649:14,17	responses 533:6
535:4 563:3 580:6	510:19 517:6	required 480:13	609:21 611:18
601:13	552:3	482:22	614:12 619:4
rely 558:3,17	reporter 456:11	requirement	624:17 625:17,19
636:7	457:13 458:23	483:4 589:4	640:21 650:3
remainder 681:12	470:22 536:25	requires 551:15	655:2
remaining 676:24	542:19 547:25	research 590:18	responsibilities
677:19 682:25	548:4 556:7	591:9 597:6	483:15
remarkable	565:22,24 578:19	662:25 679:8	responsibility
676:20	580:14,20 613:2	reserve 554:24	481:13,25 484:3
remediable 599:16	665:13 681:20	reserved 455:11	588:22,22
599:17	683:16,20	resolution 585:21	responsible
remedy 596:23	reporting 495:17	resolved 466:8	474:18 475:6
597:14 598:13,18	representation	468:25	481:20 482:15
599:14	614:24 653:10,12	resourceful	rest 546:14 674:2
remember 476:6	representative	670:20	resting 594:10
529:15 549:13	458:3,13 459:20	respect 484:14	restroom 537:25
567:12 580:17	459:22 460:10	486:25 489:4	550:5 595:23
588:16 615:9	461:5,18 462:13	505:3 532:22	result 531:24
622:9 664:21	462:22 473:2	554:4 555:9	674:18
665:24,25 666:5	486:23 491:5	560:20 561:18,21	resulted 658:3,13
remind 603:10	520:14 532:17	562:17 563:23	results 510:19
remonstration	533:8 543:7,15	621:20 638:5	513:9 516:17
536:6	559:21 568:18	664:25 672:20	530:19 558:24
remotely 456:6,16	597:9 598:2,7	respective 455:5	560:16 629:7
rendition 650:14	599:9 606:20	respond 554:7	retain 553:7
	610:23,23 617:4	610:17 614:12	
	,		

[retirement - se] Page 33

	······································	(4(11 15 (47 01	(0)(5
retirement 581:16	right 457:7 459:5	646:11,15 647:21	686:5
retract 627:20	460:3 465:4	653:5 654:6,22	safe 506:12,22
640:6	467:11 469:2,14	655:16 656:16	sales 659:16
retracting 630:9	471:8,10 474:8,25	657:23 660:25	660:11
return 672:23	480:9 487:10,14	662:25 672:9	salespeople 665:19
680:21	491:2 493:12,15	675:21 677:23	salvatore 644:5
returned 476:8	495:3,6,24,25	678:21	salzillo 644:6,14
492:11 680:22	496:3 497:3 502:2	rights 511:23	644:18
revelation 518:2	504:5 505:15,24	554:24 561:18,19	satisfied 675:11
revenue 654:13	509:13,21 510:15	rigor 571:24	saturday 470:4
review 474:10	511:3 514:7,13	rise 502:24 574:8	saw 501:9,10
477:20 479:14,25	516:18,25 517:25	576:6	513:7
480:14 484:12,21	519:6,8,9,11,20,24	rivera 453:18	saying 463:12
486:14,15,20,22	520:3 521:10,20	456:9	465:23 478:9
486:24 487:8,12	521:22 524:6	robert 601:20	568:24 616:16
487:17 505:10,10	525:12,17,23	role 479:25 481:7	653:2 655:24
510:20 517:5	526:18,22 527:7	486:16 574:4	661:7 663:2
545:15 546:22	530:4 531:3,9,15	605:13	says 465:16,17
550:12,22 551:19	531:20 532:23	roles 481:23	594:2 642:9 679:7
553:20 556:17	533:13 536:10	525:25	scenario 475:20
570:14 574:8	539:14 543:10,21	roman 596:14	schedule 470:21
577:12 580:25	544:6 546:10	room 457:9	471:4
581:23 582:9	552:25 554:9	555:14,17,19,20	scheduled 677:9
595:22 621:14	559:14 560:4	555:20,21,22	679:18
654:25	564:20 572:3,4	677:10 680:8	schedules 675:22
reviewed 466:18	574:12 576:23,25	rose 507:9 508:12	scintilla 495:15
479:12 499:6	577:8,14 579:9	583:5	scope 480:11
571:10 636:3	581:11 587:21	rough 652:12	482:9 483:2,21
651:11	588:5 591:12,16	roughly 469:11	484:17 503:8,19
reviewing 545:5	592:18 593:4	681:22 682:18	554:3 555:2 632:5
553:7 621:17	594:13 598:3,6,14	rule 460:5	633:19 634:7
631:20 655:13	598:22,23 601:3	rules 464:5 494:23	635:24 637:19
reviews 475:22	601:16 606:19	494:25 500:21	641:4
477:22,24 478:5,7	615:25 616:10	503:5 648:15	scott 453:15
478:10,12,13,20	623:2 626:19	673:18 677:19	629:21
479:4,19 480:6,20	627:5 628:16	run 666:4 674:25	screen 465:24,25
482:6,17,22,23	629:20 631:5,11	running 536:16	590:10
483:11,18 485:4	636:11 637:11	S	scroll 596:6
486:11	638:23 639:2,12	s 453:2 454:6	scrolling 614:25
revised 577:5	639:14,19 640:4	455:2,2 457:14	se 639:18 660:9
	640:16 645:13	630:23 644:6,7	
		030.43 044.0,7	

[sealing - showed] Page 34

sealing 455:6	613:19 615:5	seeking 673:8	separate 470:7
search 510:14	616:15 617:7	seen 494:2 556:15	534:7,11 561:16
513:9	619:16,21 621:3,9	selected 554:17	separately 464:2
searches 517:4	621:25 623:2	642:9	498:11 650:20
671:11	625:7,13 628:7,15	selling 518:19	681:14
sec 479:16	629:19 631:5,24	semantics 461:14	separation 581:21
second 463:13	632:18 633:9,11	send 612:18	september 490:15
469:25 495:5	635:19,22 636:13	624:11 634:10	544:17 545:2
509:6 521:21,25	636:16,22,22	663:13 664:5	584:12 611:11
522:9,16 529:16	638:11 639:2,12	674:9 679:2,5	623:12,19,24
577:15,17,23	640:10,25 641:17	sending 492:19	624:5,9 625:2
578:2,6,20 604:6	642:7 643:2,10,14	493:6 494:5	series 628:12
640:17,22,25	643:19 644:17	495:13 497:6	648:18
641:7,14 642:3,22	645:9,16,20	502:12 504:16,17	serious 498:3
643:3,7,20 661:18	646:10 647:4	510:7 513:8	seriously 493:20
672:3,8	650:24 660:25	552:23 582:19	seriousness 493:19
secret 496:7	661:4,13 667:6,7	583:12 588:3	served 464:15
506:14 507:2,15	667:18 669:10	592:16 594:24	session 602:4
510:24 619:23,25	671:25 672:24	598:11,25 599:20	set 610:13 611:19
648:4,9,12 651:21	673:3 674:18	600:21 626:3	624:18 674:16
651:23 653:22	682:19,25	632:19 639:23	685:19
654:16 657:23	section 457:5	640:2	setting 489:22
663:15 666:10,22	596:9	senior 481:11,18	568:5
674:13	sections 593:15,16	sense 599:17	setup 465:6
secrets 477:2	see 457:19 459:8	sent 488:8,10,13	shadow 649:20
488:7 489:10	464:24 495:9	489:11,13,17	651:18
492:10 493:6,13	497:17 504:12	490:24 491:7,11	share 464:18,20
494:6 495:13	514:14,17 515:7,8	491:12 493:13	465:6 572:16
499:18 503:9,24	547:2,4,17,19,22	499:16 507:4	611:25
505:4,18 506:18	548:5 556:20	510:23,24 511:3	shocked 516:22
507:18 510:8	581:13 586:6	516:2 528:18	shocking 494:24
552:24 559:13	591:20 596:14,18	529:6,11 578:10	495:5,22 496:24
560:3,12,22	596:25 621:11	590:12 593:2,20	508:5 518:2
562:10 583:13	632:7 636:3,7	594:6 606:5 613:5	short 614:17 677:7
592:17 593:3,22	640:18 652:23	617:8 618:11	shoulder 496:23
594:13,25 602:10	653:16 654:24	623:22 630:21	show 474:21
602:14,22 603:2,4	663:20 683:4	639:18 656:5	491:21 567:11
603:20 604:4	seeing 465:16	657:25 662:4	593:7 680:14
605:6,9 606:23	466:8	666:4	682:10
607:5,24 608:9	seek 677:6	sentence 594:10	showed 637:5
609:19 611:2		596:13 626:22	

[showing - sorry] Page 35

showing 511:2	635:15 655:13,17	480:10,22 481:5	610:11 611:16,22
showing 511.2 shown 590:3	664:20 681:17	482:8,25 483:20	612:3,9,13,15
615:13	sitting 491:4 533:7	484:16 485:7,15	613:5 616:5
shutting 673:22	533:25 535:5,12	485:22 487:5	622:17 623:3,8,9
side 535:5	537:17 557:21	494:10,13 495:18	623:14,18 624:9
sign 578:9,24	568:17 598:6,14	496:9,19 499:19	624:16,21 625:3
579:12,14 681:24	606:19 607:4	500:8 506:2	626:5,7 632:4,10
681:25	637:11 638:22	507:25 508:25	633:18 634:6
signature 579:20	639:9 641:13,25	509:5,23 510:10	635:23 637:18
579:21 685:22	642:2,21 643:5	511:4,8 512:2,8,18	638:12 641:3
signatures 579:19	662:5,22 663:22	513:11,17,19,25	653:6 665:11
signed 455:15,18	664:10 669:23	514:19 515:3,9	666:11 667:14
477:12 553:22	681:22	516:6 517:8,15,17	668:2,12,20 672:2
579:8 590:12	situation 521:3,23	517:21 520:6,24	672:7,17 673:4
684:17	532:2 553:8 587:3	522:17 528:11	675:7 676:13
significant 469:19	649:14	530:21 533:14	677:4,11,12 678:2
501:2 604:12	six 645:10 648:5	535:17 536:5,9,12	678:7,15,16,20,23
signs 580:22	slate 681:16	536:14,19,24	679:4,22 680:18
silva 453:19	slightly 620:17	539:21 540:18	680:20
457:25 458:22	slow 626:21	541:8 542:16,20	solomon's 587:13
459:9,16 468:4,10	small 490:4,18,23	542:21 543:11	675:17
468:16 483:22	499:5 500:16	547:23 550:13	solutions 456:10
555:13,25 556:4	644:12	551:21 552:18	456:13 686:2
668:24 676:6,19	smith 453:10	553:2 555:7,13,18	somebody 489:17
681:13 683:3,6,21	463:23 467:23	556:2,5,23,25	504:11 518:21
similar 635:7	468:15 474:8	557:2 559:4,15	524:4,6,7 527:11
675:2	sole 527:17 654:13	560:5,14 561:24	579:14 634:9
similarly 541:17	solely 526:19,21	563:8,17 565:16	649:6 656:20
541:23,24	539:25 540:3,3,7	566:5,13,20,25	670:24
simple 511:9	540:12,13,13	567:3 568:2,10,22	somebody's
585:21 674:21	558:7,9,10,13,15	569:5,14 570:21	527:21
simply 460:20	soliloquy 668:19	572:5 574:13	sorry 458:24
502:19 535:11	solomon 453:13	575:7 577:21	469:18,24 470:22
single 498:24	456:25,25 457:8	578:11 582:3,11	471:14 473:14
541:10 614:23	457:23 458:9,20	583:17 584:2,17	490:5 497:12
619:9 656:21	459:13 460:4,14	585:2 588:17	532:3 549:19
660:19	460:24 462:18	590:6,8 591:2	551:9 555:20
sir 640:15	464:2 465:11,23	592:3,10 593:11	558:8 560:7 570:6
sit 473:8 515:15	466:6,11 467:14	594:14 595:5	571:2 575:9
531:10 572:21,24	472:5 474:20	601:4 603:15	578:19 591:17
607:16 630:16	477:25 479:6,21	607:6 609:5 610:3	597:19 624:25

[sorry - structures] Page 36

634:17 645:18	558:23 563:2	stage 503:18	static 506:11
646:15 647:24	596:12 629:8	stage 303.18 stamp 613:24	static 500.11 stating 511:15
		631:19	
658:19 661:18 672:5 683:12	635:10 655:3,6		stay 612:21 672:4 672:8 683:18
	specifics 579:3	stamps 614:3	
sort 458:17 477:8	593:15 613:25	stand 502:7 600:4	steal 505:11
sound 473:17	664:19	620:11 645:2	stealing 505:6,7
492:25 618:6	spectrums 569:2	677:5	stealth 629:21
sounds 461:25	speculated 591:2	standing 638:14	stenographically
462:2 463:18	speech 463:13	stands 645:14	685:9
501:9 555:3	speeches 462:20	start 457:3,18	step 518:5,6
source 654:12,13	463:12	467:4 474:17	639:23 661:22
663:11	speed 569:25	477:20 492:17	steps 520:12 526:9
sourcing 627:4,13	597:24	504:24 524:11,25	572:22
627:15	spell 604:23	544:25 609:20	stick 478:23
southern 452:3	spent 470:11,17	627:15 635:2	640:11,12,13
speak 470:23	471:5,19,24	646:4 648:7 659:2	stipulated 455:4,9
473:17 530:15	472:10,15 494:22	673:25	455:14
549:22 550:2	668:3,6	started 464:11	stipulation 452:17
591:5 647:18	spinley 453:20	550:19 557:8	stole 505:14
speaking 524:7,8	458:9 459:9,13	631:17 668:24	stolen 505:4
524:10,11 526:8	spinning 638:3	starting 459:19	507:14
527:12,13,24	spoke 466:20,23	613:11 626:15	stood 622:10
530:8,15,18	spoken 543:4	679:24	679:24
531:13,19 574:18	spokesman 533:20	starts 547:8	stop 512:16,22
specific 480:7	spokesperson	596:10 614:23	513:14,24 515:19
481:18 486:15,24	527:16,19,21,24	640:2	515:20 626:5,5,7
491:19 507:7	528:4 529:19	state 456:17,19	660:7
508:20 522:6	531:2,8 534:16	459:6 512:11,11	stopped 660:8
528:14 550:23	539:5 541:18,25	512:12 559:9	stops 572:3
551:10,13,24,25	586:4	611:13,15,16,20	straight 521:5
552:8 586:13	spokesperson's	665:9 684:4,20	straightforward
603:9,25 617:23	528:3	stated 502:17	493:25 514:20
629:7 632:22,23	sport 460:20	539:4 561:12	677:17
643:16 650:6	sproutt 630:21	562:11 576:18,19	strategy 671:15
655:15 656:18	631:2,10 658:11	592:22 647:3	streaming 536:25
665:7 668:13	658:24	statement 464:3	street 500:20
specifically 469:4	ss 649:6 684:4	479:8 480:12	strike 475:3 543:5
469:9 479:24	ss&c 649:6,9,18	509:6 675:17	stroutt 659:4
484:10 486:7,8	650:25 651:8,12	676:17	structure 646:25
530:11 531:16	651:18	states 452:2,19	structures 646:20
539:9 551:7			646:21 671:21

[study - tasked] Page 37

study 531:25	629:6	567:19 579:17	532:17 537:25
stuff 503:24	summer 476:7	583:10 584:6	540:5,10 544:14
563:14	sun 670:12,14	590:22 592:23	544:22 546:23
subject 591:11	sunday 470:5	595:25 603:24	554:6 565:9 566:3
597:9 598:2	supplemental	610:18 615:23	589:25 595:13,23
606:12 625:23	611:18 623:8,11	622:17,20 624:3	595:24 613:8,15
626:15,25 627:2	623:13 624:7,10	630:5 641:22	616:20 631:8,15
628:2 668:5	624:14,17 647:6,9	647:14 663:6	640:16 646:5
submission 618:12	support 476:18	668:17 682:12	661:22,23 669:4
submitted 527:6	522:21 523:10	surprise 476:22	676:15,17 683:21
subscribed 684:17	557:25 566:16,18	498:7	taken 452:17
686:21	566:25 567:2,16	surprised 478:24	456:5 506:19
subscription	567:17,22 568:8	496:17 498:14,21	538:6 602:3
646:19	569:20 576:8	surprising 493:3	609:10 636:4
subsequent 626:9	supported 568:20	495:4 498:10	672:12 675:6
subset 490:4,18,23	569:11	516:20	684:9 685:9
490:23 499:5	supporting 530:13	surrounding	takes 603:4 612:18
608:3	supportive 522:4	559:25 560:19	675:5
subsets 608:5	suppose 682:10	suspicions 560:19	talk 515:11,19
substance 473:10	supposed 532:19	591:25	525:3 533:2
473:24 474:7	589:21 630:20	suspicious 475:23	633:10 634:13
524:13 542:17,22	648:3 676:6,10	487:16,19,25	674:2
559:5 566:21,23	682:2	549:11	talked 622:22
567:6 568:3	sure 458:21	swear 457:13	talking 458:22,23
substantial 606:4	461:17 473:20	sworn 455:16,18	488:2 489:18
substantially	483:24 489:6	457:16 685:7	512:22 520:18,19
670:22	492:5 494:17,17	686:21	529:18 538:10
subtract 645:25	495:3,23,24 496:2	synopsis 674:21	545:13 546:5
subtractions 646:5	496:11,21 497:2,9	systems 510:13	594:18 610:9
suddenly 506:19	497:19 498:5,11	671:17	615:24 617:17
sufficient 483:8,16	499:4,4 501:3,21	t	623:7 624:6
503:14,16 505:22	504:7 510:18,21		628:18 633:5
510:3 546:21	514:3,4 517:24,25	t 454:6 455:2,2	648:19 650:9
614:14 622:13	518:20 519:7,23	457:14 604:25	651:23 660:2,7,9
sufficiently 483:4	520:3 521:14	630:23,23 644:6	662:12 666:7
520:21	522:12 523:8,12	684:2 685:3,3	667:9
summarize 500:5	534:18 535:4	tab 595:21	target 634:22
558:22,24 659:7	538:11 541:10	table 567:20	targeted 603:13
summary 562:7	546:5 549:13	take 458:18 462:8	task 563:15
565:18 566:4	556:17 557:23	465:12 467:8	tasked 562:6
585:11 594:10	559:8 565:20	493:18 495:3 506:14,15 528:10	

[tax - things] Page 38

40 646,05.05	4alla 502.10	504.0 505.2 500.2	406.10 400.20 24
tax 646:25,25	tells 593:18	594:9 595:3 599:2	496:10 499:20,24
647:20	ten 471:11,12	termination	500:4,6 505:25
team 467:17	495:9 515:19	454:17 476:19	506:3 510:11
481:10,16,17,22	586:24 596:23	477:5,13 494:19	511:5,12,14,15
506:7 507:16	597:5,14	502:24 503:17	512:4,13 513:12
519:2 524:8	tenth 668:25	523:7 526:16	513:18,22 514:2
527:11 558:2	term 462:5 527:19	528:18 532:22	516:8 520:9
560:23 571:23	termed 476:20	539:18,20 564:3,8	533:15 535:19,24
600:2,7,11 646:14	terminate 474:18	566:18 574:4	537:8,10 538:12
660:11 665:6,16	475:7 477:10	578:9,24 579:8,22	538:24 539:13
techniques 670:24	499:15 502:15	581:15,25 582:7	540:15 542:13
technologies 649:7	503:20 504:5	582:10,20 583:2	543:7 545:8 550:8
teleconference	507:23 509:13,22	585:17 587:25	582:2,5 583:16,18
468:18 469:2	516:5 523:18	588:2 589:6,7,14	588:16 597:25
telephonic 468:22	525:6,16,21 526:3	590:4,11,16	614:10 619:7
469:7	527:4 528:7	591:12 592:21	625:9 640:6,11,12
tell 483:5,10,17	533:11 534:4	593:8,14,24	640:14 643:13
487:22 488:5	537:20 538:11	597:10 598:3,12	645:4 657:5
491:22 530:6,11	539:12,15 540:16	599:21 600:20	659:12 675:23
531:12 536:7,22	541:20 542:5,14	terms 485:24	684:8,11 685:8,8
540:20 544:23	543:9,17,24	499:11 548:20	thank 555:15
545:11 548:18	554:15 558:5,19	581:21 675:21	607:9 673:4 678:2
549:8 556:21	564:12 565:14	677:16 682:11	678:8,19
560:17 569:3	566:11 567:24	terrible 498:15	thankfully 514:16
586:8,9 593:13	568:8,20 569:12	testified 457:17	thanks 485:19
594:5,23 595:7	570:19 571:14	470:8 478:6	683:16
596:15 608:11	572:12 573:4,21	622:21 654:19	theft 499:3 501:23
610:7,16,22 613:3	575:4,12 583:15	testify 464:13	504:22,25
613:23 617:3,9	586:5,7 587:18	535:10 566:21	therefrom 565:11
620:21 621:20	593:10 600:16	569:25 588:10	thick 581:20
631:20 640:22	terminated 501:14	655:9,15	thing 495:25 497:8
644:15,23 661:8	502:2 507:5	testifying 461:21	498:8,15 504:12
665:22 667:11	522:23,24 565:4	473:2 589:12	516:18,25 546:6
668:12 674:22	573:16 587:20	615:4	570:8 577:5
679:7	596:19	testimony 473:6	591:15 628:11
telling 465:19	terminating 494:9	473:10,24 474:7	663:17 680:25
470:19 512:10	502:19 524:14	474:14 478:8	things 476:23
513:5 515:12	564:20,22 581:5	484:9,23 485:6,8	480:25 492:5,23
546:7 570:25	582:19 583:24	485:16,17 486:5	493:17 497:8
571:3 590:24	584:15 586:25	487:6 490:22	502:2,16 507:14
622:7 672:16	588:4 589:23	494:14 495:19	508:18 510:13,14

[things - told] Page 39

519:19 529:13	615:12 616:11	513:7 516:2	652:11 656:14
546:7 548:20	617:14,18,21,21	552:13,22 593:3	660:3,6,11 666:2
564:25 565:12	618:5,9,13,16,17	593:20 594:8,24	667:22 668:16,17
569:22 572:15	620:18,21 622:3	threatening	668:18 672:10,13
579:12 582:16	623:14,20,20	676:16,18	675:2,8,9 676:12
583:9,12 584:3,4	626:2 627:23	three 467:23,23	679:8 680:21
584:14 617:22	632:5 633:5	468:14 511:18	683:15,17,23,25
622:17 627:14	635:20 646:9,12	531:19 534:2	timeframe 656:13
646:9 647:2	647:2 659:9 660:8	535:13,13 557:11	timely 567:5,10
674:10,12	661:11 664:15,23	563:13 626:4	times 467:5 469:4
think 457:3	666:14,16 668:3	652:13,14,19	477:15 481:14
459:23 460:14	668:25 670:8,22	653:2 663:9	511:22 513:24
461:6,10 463:7	671:10 672:18	673:14,17	563:13,19 593:4
465:11,15,24	673:5,17 676:25	threshold 507:8	594:8 605:12
470:5 476:16	678:24 680:4,23	throw 523:5	618:22
485:7 486:18	680:25 683:19	time 455:11	timing 587:14
488:16 489:20	thinking 604:18	456:20 458:18,24	title 464:23
494:15 498:23	thinks 535:8	468:25 471:6,20	today 464:21
500:10,12 509:16	third 602:19	473:13,20 476:4,6	465:10 467:6
512:18 513:11	604:23 605:3	492:8,15 498:18	472:20 473:2
515:16 518:17	610:13 619:11	500:22 508:23	474:15 485:2
520:9 521:11	628:23 633:24,25	509:7 511:11	491:4 506:16
524:20 526:4,10	634:4,4,14,15	513:12 514:24	509:7 533:7,25
528:12 531:21	649:3	515:18 517:13	535:12 537:17
533:5,14,22 535:4	thoroughly 522:14	519:25 523:3	538:12 557:21
536:8 537:4,6	thought 492:7	525:18 526:12	568:17 588:10
541:2 542:25	500:25 518:3	528:24,24 529:2,4	639:9 641:13,25
546:21,24,24	521:5 522:14	529:5,8 531:4	642:2,21 643:5
548:24 551:4	532:23 534:9	536:2,13,16,18,20	648:2 662:5
554:11,25 556:12	563:3 570:9	538:3,7 539:11	663:22 664:10
562:4,16 563:22	573:19 584:14	546:16,23 557:3	676:22 677:25
564:24 567:9,20	590:23 614:6	560:8 564:5 571:8	679:16 682:6,14
568:25 569:7	622:13 647:11	580:22 586:16,19	682:20 683:9
570:8 574:8 580:5	654:19 658:20	586:25 587:5,12	today's 464:9
583:4,8,8 584:20	thoughts 556:24	587:12,17 591:23	466:10,14,23
587:7 591:14	thousand 664:16	592:6,25 601:18	647:10 681:16
594:21,22 597:17	thousands 490:19	601:21,25 609:8	told 486:13 487:10
597:20,23 598:24	490:23 494:3,4	609:11 612:14,17	487:24 521:6
599:10,13 603:7	495:10 496:6	612:23 620:21	542:25 563:13
604:16 606:3	499:16 500:11	622:18 630:15	589:10 590:23
610:6 615:7,11,11	510:21 511:2	645:15 647:21	601:2 618:21

[told - trying] Page 40

657:5 665:6,16	606:23 607:5,24	634:15 635:2,3,21	traurig's 554:2	
673:6	608:9 609:19	636:10 637:15	558:4,18 564:2,6	
tomorrow 506:16	611:2 613:18	638:10,24 639:10	564:10 565:10	
tone 485:23	615:5 616:15	640:9,23 641:16	566:9 567:21	
top 484:2 608:14	617:7 619:15,21	642:5,24 643:8,17	568:6,19 569:10	
608:18 619:12	619:23,25 621:3,9	644:3,16 645:5,7	570:15 571:22	
658:23	621:25 622:25	transactions	577:13	
topic 464:14	625:7,12 628:7,15	602:10,12,16	tremendously	
474:17,22,24	629:19 631:5,23	603:19 604:2,2,9	674:24	
475:4 490:2,19	632:18 633:9,10	605:23 606:2,7,14	trial 455:12	
555:2 563:12,21	635:19,22 636:13	606:21 607:3,13	tried 500:4 654:3	
570:2,13 582:24	636:16,21,22	607:22 608:7	tripp 578:18,21	
614:15 638:16	638:11,25 639:12	609:17 610:24	trouble 470:24	
topics 458:4	640:10,24 641:17	615:3,20 616:3	498:12 621:16	
464:13 465:9	642:7,25 643:10	617:10 618:7,10	true 493:3,10	
466:19 555:9	643:14,19 644:17	622:24 625:5,5	498:5 504:9	
563:24 591:13	645:9,16,20	628:13 629:10,17	506:11 510:12	
606:20 614:10	646:10 647:4	629:25 630:2	552:2 569:3	
617:5 655:9 676:4	648:4,9,11 650:24	632:22,24 635:11	580:12 632:8,11	
676:7,19,24 681:8	651:21,23 653:22	635:18 636:20,25	684:11,13 685:12	
681:12,18 682:8	654:16 657:23	639:18 664:18	trust 500:18	
682:11,14 683:6,9	660:25 661:4,13	668:14	571:25	
totally 484:23	663:15 666:10,21	transcribed	trusted 571:24	
513:15	667:5,7,18 669:10	685:10	truthfully 472:23	
touch 470:4,6	671:24 672:24	transcript 474:11	try 473:15 477:14	
traceable 651:5	673:3 674:13,17	536:22 681:5,11	485:12,21 509:3	
track 471:19	682:19,25	683:5 684:8,10	511:9 529:7	
trade 477:2 488:7	trading 497:23	685:12	534:18 562:4	
489:10 492:9	499:2 501:23	transitioned	574:23 603:10,12	
493:6,13 494:6	503:25	682:16	615:22 619:5	
495:12 496:7	transaction	translates 498:18	620:9 621:18	
499:18 503:9,23	603:21,22 604:7	transpired 498:22	638:17 670:23	
505:3,17 507:18	604:16 605:4	559:11	trying 503:21	
510:8,23 552:24	613:17 616:15,19	traurig 553:10	505:5 507:19	
559:13 560:3,12	616:21,23,24	554:3,13,17	508:20,22 515:13	
560:22 562:10	617:5 619:14,20	555:12 556:11,16	526:9 527:20	
583:13 592:17	620:5,15 621:8,23	557:4 559:2,10,24	539:9 540:9 552:7	
593:2,21 594:12	625:11 627:16	560:9,18 561:15	562:18 565:7	
594:25 602:10,14	628:6 629:17	561:22 562:6	569:4 571:4	
602:22 603:2,4,20	631:3,3,22 632:16	565:9 567:7 568:4	579:25 587:8	
604:4 605:5,9	633:7,14,21 634:3	571:11	601:7 634:25	

[trying - videographer]

Page 41

(57.4.9.659.0	472.10.25.477.10		(10.17 (14.11	
657:4,8 658:9	472:19,25 477:18	unequivocally	612:17 614:11	
661:15 669:12	479:13 487:2	581:18	616:18 619:6,25	
turned 679:23	490:18 497:18	unfair 516:11	620:20 642:20	
turning 476:6	502:12 503:19	unfortunate	646:24 668:16	
turns 468:21	507:20 508:16,21	573:12 630:17	useful 465:7 489:2	
twenty 546:4	512:25 517:12	681:18	537:5 544:24	
twice 467:7,11	520:13 527:10	unfortunately	587:8	
497:18 514:9	532:18 539:13	528:16 680:6	usually 468:21	
two 457:19 458:7	541:5 561:14	unfounded 537:12	utilize 633:22	
459:2,7,8,24	562:18 564:14	unidentified 676:8	643:12	
463:18 464:22	574:3,21,25 576:2	unique 621:12,15	v	
470:7,9,10 511:17	589:20 614:9	671:22 674:12	v 596:14 644:6	
528:22 539:7	616:4,8 634:25	unison 524:3	686:3	
540:24 545:3,7	636:11,12 650:16	united 452:2	vacation 673:2	
548:22,23 557:11	657:5,8,20 661:15	university 602:17	674:4	
563:13 568:25	663:6 675:14	603:22 604:8,22	vague 562:2,5	
576:21 578:21	understanding	606:25 658:10,24	valid 463:2	
618:22 634:23	458:11,16 460:9	659:2,6,12,17,23	valuable 674:25	
652:13,14,19	462:11 489:7	659:24 660:22,23		
653:2 658:23	498:13 530:24	661:8,23,24 662:6	value 506:16	
659:10	542:7,9 543:5,15	662:10,15 663:7	653:22 654:4,5,7,8	
type 674:25	553:4 555:5 559:8	663:10,24 664:2,6	654:10,14	
types 644:10	573:13,18 575:2	664:12 665:2,4,18	variety 560:24	
646:24 677:16	575:10,16,20	666:8,20,24 667:2	various 477:8	
typically 579:11	589:3 621:16	669:18 670:2,6,16	525:25 565:3	
u	622:20	unlimited 462:3	644:10	
	understands	unreasonable	vault 506:12,23	
u 455:2 457:14	512:24	615:7,11 617:19	vehicle 648:22	
630:23	understood 464:3	617:21 618:13	vehicles 646:22	
unable 474:14	486:6 518:2 520:3	622:4,9 664:15	648:21 649:8	
622:5 678:22	531:5,14 534:10	unsure 492:13,18	verbal 557:24	
unclear 505:3	569:24 574:10	496:4,17,18 497:5	verification 574:7	
561:5 619:5	576:5,12	498:22 518:7,9	veritext 456:10,12	
uncommon 498:8	undertake 517:5	unwieldy 524:20	686:2	
uncovered 545:14	616:24	unwise 508:14	version 558:14	
599:23	undertaken	unwritten 558:25	651:4	
underlying 564:11	486:15,25 573:23	updated 577:6	versus 456:7	
637:7 638:6	undertook 518:5	uploaded 491:22	video 468:19,21,23	
underscores	underwood	use 462:5 527:21	681:5	
511:13	453:14 457:9	539:23 563:18	videographer	
understand 457:4	TJJ.14 4J1.7	603:3 607:18	453:18 456:2,11	
458:4 459:4		003.3 007.10	457:12 538:3,7	
Veritext Legal Solutions				

[videographer - whys]

Page 42

601:25 602:5 virtually 572:8 574:25 590:22 567:10 568:12 609:8,11 612:25 visa 629:22 597:10 603:15,18 573:23 576:12 681:20 683:17,20 526:13,14,20,22 606:18,21 607:19 599:19 618:6 683:23 527:3,18 607:22 608:4,5,6,6 619:12 621:19 view 458:18 voices 526:24 608:11,12 610:20 637:13 638:4 482:22 498:24 585:23 610:21 614:4 641:13 642:22 509:12 563:18 volcano 506:13,23 616:10,12 617:3 643:6 645:12 569:13 571:22 wait 515:9 626:5 624:3 625:20 671:2 674:15 585:10 599:19 601:11 613:19 626:16 627:2 633:6,9,13 634:24 667:14 628:15 631:5 walk 677:8 678:5 679:25 641:24 643:14 617:23 631:18 667:5 672:21 667:5 672:21 667:10,14 668:21 667:10,14 668:21 667:10,14 668:21	2
667:12 672:10,13 voice 523:16 603:22 604:13,14 591:6 598:7 681:20 683:17,20 526:13,14,20,22 606:18,21 607:19 599:19 618:6 683:23 527:3,18 607:22 608:4,5,6,6 619:12 621:19 view 458:18 voices 526:24 608:11,12 610:20 637:13 638:4 482:22 498:24 585:23 610:21 614:4 641:13 642:22 500:7 501:4 volcano 506:13,23 616:10,12 617:3 643:6 645:12 509:12 563:18 w 617:12 618:23 651:20 659:13 567:23,23 568:7 w 684:2 619:3 623:5,25 662:22 669:22 580:8 584:20,24 waited 508:19 627:7,10 630:11 676:14 633:6,9,13 634:24 635:13,13,16 676:14	
681:20 683:17,20 526:13,14,20,22 606:18,21 607:19 599:19 618:6 683:23 527:3,18 607:22 608:4,5,6,6 619:12 621:19 view 458:18 voices 526:24 608:11,12 610:20 637:13 638:4 482:22 498:24 585:23 610:21 614:4 641:13 642:22 500:7 501:4 volcano 506:13,23 616:10,12 617:3 643:6 645:12 509:12 563:18 w 617:12 618:23 651:20 659:18 567:23,23 568:7 wisted 515:9 626:5 624:3 625:20 671:2 674:15 580:8 584:20,24 waited 508:19 626:16 627:2 627:7,10 630:11 676:14 676:14 628:15 631:5 626:16 627:2 635:13,13,16 637:22 638:12 524:21 544:15 641:23 656:23 663:14 666:8,20 679:25 641:24 643:14 617:23 631:18 667:5 673:21 walked 681:23 653:15 666:19 web 671:11	ı
683:23 527:3,18 607:22 608:4,5,6,6 619:12 621:19 view 458:18 voices 526:24 608:11,12 610:20 637:13 638:4 482:22 498:24 585:23 610:21 614:4 641:13 642:22 500:7 501:4 volcano 506:13,23 616:10,12 617:3 643:6 645:12 509:12 563:18 w 617:12 618:23 651:20 659:18 567:23,23 568:7 w 684:2 624:3 625:20 624:3 625:20 627:7,10 630:11 662:22 669:22 580:8 584:20,24 waived 455:7 633:6,9,13 634:24 ways 479:13 676:14 637:22 638:12 637:22 638:12 524:21 544:15 570:22 580:7 619:12 67:10 667:5 672:21 667:25 672:21 667:25 672:21 667:10 14 668:21 670:3	1
view 458:18 voices 526:24 608:11,12 610:20 637:13 638:4 482:22 498:24 585:23 610:21 614:4 641:13 642:22 500:7 501:4 volcano 506:13,23 616:10,12 617:3 643:6 645:12 509:12 563:18 w 617:12 618:23 651:20 659:18 567:23,23 568:7 w 684:2 619:3 623:5,25 662:22 669:22 573:24 574:25 waited 508:19 624:3 625:20 671:2 674:15 580:8 584:20,24 614:15 621:10 633:6,9,13 634:24 635:13,13,16 667:10 630:11 614:15 621:10 626:16 627:2 626:16 627:2 640:5,11,12 641:9 570:22 580:7 628:2 641:24 643:14 641:24 643:14 617:23 631:18 644:22,23 646:5,8 663:14 666:8,20 667:5 672:21 667:10 14 668:21 670:3	1
482:22 498:24 585:23 610:21 614:4 641:13 642:22 500:7 501:4 volcano 506:13,23 616:10,12 617:3 643:6 645:12 509:12 563:18 w 617:12 618:23 651:20 659:18 567:23,23 568:7 w 684:2 619:3 623:5,25 662:22 669:22 573:24 574:25 waited 508:19 624:3 625:20 671:2 674:15 585:10 599:19 waived 455:7 633:6,9,13 634:24 ways 479:13 614:15 621:10 626:16 627:2 637:22 638:12 570:22 580:7 628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 641:24 643:14 617:23 631:18 663:14 666:8,20 walk 677:8 678:5 653:15 666:19 web 671:11 667:5 672:21 walked 681:23 667:10 14 668:21 website 670:3	
500:7 501:4 volcano 506:13,23 616:10,12 617:3 643:6 645:12 509:12 563:18 w 617:12 618:23 651:20 659:18 567:23,23 568:7 w 684:2 619:3 623:5,25 662:22 669:22 569:13 571:22 wait 515:9 626:5 624:3 625:20 671:2 674:15 676:14 580:8 584:20,24 waived 455:7 633:6,9,13 634:24 ways 479:13 676:14 601:11 613:19 626:16 627:2 626:16 627:2 637:22 638:12 524:21 544:15 628:15 631:5 641:24 643:14 617:23 631:18 617:23 631:18 663:14 666:8,20 667:5 673:21 667:10 14 668:21 web 671:11 667:5 673:21 walked 681:23 667:10 14 668:21 website 670:3	
509:12 563:18 w 617:12 618:23 651:20 659:18 567:23,23 568:7 w 684:2 617:12 618:23 662:22 669:22 569:13 571:22 wait 515:9 626:5 624:3 625:20 671:2 674:15 580:8 584:20,24 waited 508:19 627:7,10 630:11 633:6,9,13 634:24 633:6,9,13 634:24 665:14 601:11 613:19 waiver 625:23 626:16 627:2 635:13,13,16 637:22 638:12 524:21 544:13 628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 641:24 643:14 617:23 631:18 667:5 672:21 walked 681:23 653:15 666:19 web 671:11	,
567:23,23 568:7 w 684:2 619:3 623:5,25 662:22 669:22 569:13 571:22 wait 515:9 626:5 624:3 625:20 671:2 674:15 573:24 574:25 waited 508:19 627:7,10 630:11 676:14 585:10 599:19 waived 455:7 633:6,9,13 634:24 ways 479:13 601:11 613:19 626:16 627:2 637:22 638:12 524:21 544:13 628:2 640:5,11,12 641:9 570:22 580:7 641:23 656:23 663:14 666:8,20 679:25 644:22,23 646:5,8 653:15 666:19 667:5 672:21 walked 681:23 667:10 14 668:21 web 671:11	
569:13 571:22 wait 515:9 626:5 624:3 625:20 671:2 674:15 573:24 574:25 waited 508:19 627:7,10 630:11 676:14 580:8 584:20,24 waived 455:7 63:6,9,13 634:24 ways 479:13 601:11 613:19 626:16 627:2 635:13,13,16 637:22 638:12 524:21 544:15 628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 641:24 643:14 641:24 643:14 677:23 631:18 667:5 672:21 667:5 672:21 667:10 14 668:21 670:3)
569:13 571:22 wait 515:9 626:5 624:3 625:20 671:2 674:15 573:24 574:25 waited 508:19 627:7,10 630:11 676:14 580:8 584:20,24 waived 455:7 633:6,9,13 634:24 ways 479:13 601:11 613:19 626:16 627:2 637:22 638:12 524:21 544:15 628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 641:24 643:14 642:23 646:5,8 663:14 666:8,20 667:5 672:21 681:23 667:10 14 668:21 670:3	,
573:24 574:25 waited 508:19 627:7,10 630:11 676:14 580:8 584:20,24 waived 455:7 633:6,9,13 634:24 ways 479:13 601:11 613:19 626:16 627:2 635:13,13,16 637:22 638:12 524:21 544:13 628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 641:24 643:14 642:22,23 646:5,8 642:22,23 646:5,8 667:5 672:21 667:10 14 668:21 667:10 14 668:21 670:3	
580:8 584:20,24 waived 455:7 585:10 599:19 601:11 613:19 601:11 613:19 626:16 627:2 628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 663:14 666:8,20 67:5 672:21 667:5 672:21 waived 455:7 waived 455:7 633:6,9,13 634:24 635:13,13,16 we've 458:2 637:22 638:12 524:21 544:19 640:5,11,12 641:9 640:5,11,12 641:9 641:24 643:14 644:22,23 646:5,8 653:15 666:19 web 671:11 web 671:11 website 670:3	
585:10 599:19 601:11 613:19 614:15 621:10 628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 663:14 666:8,20 667:5 672:21 waiver 625:23 626:16 627:2 628:2 walk 677:8 678:5 667:5 672:21 waiver 625:23 635:13,13,16 637:22 638:12 640:5,11,12 641:9 641:24 643:14 644:22,23 646:5,8 653:15 666:19 667:10 14 668:21 website 670:3	
601:11 613:19 614:15 621:10 628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 663:14 666:8,20 667:5 672:21 626:16 627:2 626:23 626:16 627:2 628:2 628:2 640:5,11,12 641:9 641:24 643:14 644:22,23 646:5,8 653:15 666:19 667:10 14 668:21 626:16 627:2 628:2 640:5,11,12 641:9 644:22,23 646:5,8 653:15 666:19 667:10 14 668:21 670:3	
614:15 621:10 628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 663:14 666:8,20 667:5 672:21 628:2 walk 677:8 678:5 679:25 walked 681:23 640:5,11,12 641:9 641:24 643:14 644:22,23 646:5,8 653:15 666:19 667:10 14 668:21 website 670:3	I
628:15 631:5 641:23 656:23 663:14 666:8,20 667:5 672:21 walk 677:8 678:5 679:25 walked 681:23 641:24 643:14 644:22,23 646:5,8 653:15 666:19 web 671:11 website 670:3	
641:23 656:23 663:14 666:8,20 667:5 672:21 667:5 672:21 667:10 14 668:21 667:10 14 668:21 667:10 14 668:21 667:10 14 668:21	,
663:14 666:8,20 walked 681:23 653:15 666:19 web 671:11	3
667.5 672.21 667.10 14 668.21 wobsite 670.2	
1 007.5 072.21 website 070.5	
673:3,22 677:5 want 459:15 461:5 669:5 670:11,14 week 467:10,14 462:5	1
viewed 500:11 461:10,14 462.3 674:17 675:14 469:14,23 470	:13
$-661 \cdot 16$ $-676 \cdot 13 \cdot 678 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 670 \cdot 14 \cdot 15 \cdot 470 \cdot 14 \cdot 15 \cdot 15 \cdot 15 \cdot 15 \cdot 15 \cdot 15 \cdot 15$:25
vint 453:19 457:25 477:18 478:24,25 682:11 470:14,13 472	:22
479:2 488:24,25 489:6 491:17 wanted 465:5 weekend 470:	1
1.469.510.16 $1.407.20.409.11$ wooks 529.21	25
492:23 490:25 400:10 501:10 20 weign 452:15	
556.4 669.24 505:11 508:18 502.21 505:12 welcome 590.	8
676.6 10 681.13 509:3,9,14,16 510.18 23 521.0 602.8 614.11	
683:3 6 22 311:22 313:4,21 521:14 536:10 618:22 623:10)
violete 503:5 515:14 516:24 501:25 502:8 642:10	
violated 505:16.21 318:8,10,13,20 603:8.618:17 want 510:10	
508.8 504.3 519.4,5,7,9 521.7 647.13 23 654.2 533.20 540.20)
violation 488:18 321:23 322:8,9,13 wents 535:78 561:3 0 647:1	
407:21 587:5 522:16 523:17 603:16 612:17 670:2 682:22	
589.7 527:19,23 528:2 672.19 23 677.4 whatsoever 59	31:2
violations 503:22 335:9,15,19 watch 460:20 whoreof 685:1	
503:23 504:4.23 338:11 339:12 way 457:8 470:18 white 515:23	
580:15 340:3,1 / 301: /,14 485:16 /01:5 wholly 680:4	
virtual 452:15 302:3 303:19 524:23 526:10 whyc 535:3	
505:19 507:5	
569:9 572:17,18	

[wieldy - zoom] Page 43

wieldy 659:22	work 468:24	589:2 595:10	
willing 592:20	486:17 501:5	641:21 645:11	
678:18	527:15 562:15	660:18 663:9	
withdrawn 480:4	590:21,25 591:4	york 452:3,19	
558:23 575:25	worked 481:21	453:5,5,12,12	
651:15 653:20	671:7	Z	
656:19	workforce 476:12	z 644:7	
witness 452:16	working 546:4	zoom 555:21	
454:3 457:2,10,13	663:8	Z00III 555.21	
457:15 460:2	works 527:9		
463:7 474:21	worse 503:23		
511:24 512:9,14	write 524:21 529:2		
512:17,23 513:15	529:4 594:16		
513:20 515:12	writing 529:21		
517:9 523:17	530:4 570:16		
536:15 542:22	written 488:19		
545:5 559:5,16,18	497:16 558:25		
563:12 567:23	571:12 596:24		
582:23 612:19	597:4,12 598:9,17		
660:17 664:11	wrong 460:2 461:7		
669:9 672:4,8	461:11 510:14		
673:9,20 675:15	519:6,16,18		
675:20,24 676:2	521:13		
676:16 677:8,9,15	wrote 663:5		
679:10 680:9,14	X		
680:19,20 681:23	x 452:3,10 454:2,6		
681:24,25 685:7	534:19		
685:13,19	xxxx 454:18		
witnesses 557:14	xxxxx 455:20		
673:13 675:21			
676:4,23	<u>y</u>		
word 539:23 540:3	yahoo 629:14		
558:15 563:18	yang 453:15		
565:25 566:3	457:10		
573:8 613:21	yeah 504:12		
621:21 626:15	519:12 537:4		
642:4 674:4	549:18 550:6		
words 487:18	568:12 595:25		
524:13 527:20	years 518:11		
585:25 594:11,12	586:16,19,21,24		
596:15 641:2	587:17 588:21		
Varitant Lagal Solutions			

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 30

- (e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
- (1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
- (A) to review the transcript or recording; and
- (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
- (2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule 30(f)(1) whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the 30-day period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.